

Supporting Workflow in a Course Management System

Chavdar Botev Hubert Chao Theodore Chao Yim Cheng
Raymond Doyle Sergey Grankin Jon Guarino Saikat Guha
Pei-Chen Lee Dan Perry Christopher Re Ilya Rifkin
Tingyan Yuan Dora Abdullah Kathy Carpenter David Gries
Dexter Kozen Andrew Myers David Schwartz Jayavel Shanmugasundaram

Department of Computer Science
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853
cms@cs.cornell.edu

ABSTRACT

CMS is a secure and scalable web-based course management system developed by the Cornell University Computer Science Department. The system was designed to simplify, streamline, and automate many aspects of the workflow associated with running a large course, such as course creation, importing students, management of student workgroups, online submission of assignments, assignment of graders, grading, handling regrade requests, and preparation of final grades. In contrast, other course management systems of which we are aware provide only specialized solutions for specific components, such as grading. CMS is increasingly widely used for course management at Cornell University. In this paper we articulate the principles we followed in designing the system and describe the features that users found most useful.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

D.m [Software]: Miscellaneous

General Terms

Education, courseware

Keywords

Courseware

1. INTRODUCTION

Managing a large course is a complex task. Many factors may contribute to this complexity: large enrollments; a course staff including several roles such as instructors, teaching assistants, staff assistants, lab consultants, and graders; both individual and group assignments; formation and disbanding of student workgroups during the course; large, multipart assignments whose grading may be partitioned across problems; online student submissions comprising many files; requests for regrading; administration of sensitive information such as scores, statistics, and final grades at an appro-

priate level of security; and the need to track the progress of these various steps and notify students where appropriate.

CMS is a course management system developed in the Computer Science Department at Cornell University that was designed to meet these needs. It has been developed and used over the past two years with considerable success. In this paper we describe the design of the system and how it solved many of these tasks in a robust and scalable way.

Although existing course management systems support some of these tasks, we are not aware of any system that supports the workflow associated with running a course to the degree that CMS does. For instance, the widely used Blackboard system [1] supports online file submissions and grades, but does not support assigning grading responsibilities, tracking regrade requests, or group assignments. While these other systems do ease the burden of course management, they also introduce new sources of complexity, because users must manually tie together information maintained by the system, such as grades, with information maintained outside the system, such as workgroups and regrade requests.

The main technical challenge we faced in designing a workflow-oriented course management system was scalability. Our objective was to make the system work effectively for a large number of courses, each with possibly a large enrollment and course staff and diverse requirements. Our solution to this challenge involved several ideas. One key principle was decentralization, so that course staff involvement in common course-related tasks was reduced as much as possible. For example, to make the creation of student project groups scalable, we devised an invitation-based group creation model in which students are able to create their own groups without course staff involvement (although staff can also directly manage groups if desired). Similarly, to make regrading scalable, the system directly routes regrade requests to the appropriate grader without requiring any action by other course staff.

Besides scalability, we had the following design goals:

- **Portability:** CMS is web-based and can be run on any operating system platform using a web browser.
- **Security:** CMS users (students and course staff) are authenticated using Kerberos authentication. CMS also supports a fine-grained access control model, with several levels of access for course staff. Decentralized workflow management makes fine-grained access control particularly important.
- **History:** CMS records all update events so users can view the history of changes. Information is never deleted.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

SIGCSE'05, February 23–27, 2005, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.
Copyright 2005 ACM 1-58113-997-7/11/0002 ...\$5.00.

- **Selective overriding:** Some policies and rules implemented by CMS can be overridden on a case-by-case basis. For example, a course administrator can override the deadline for submission of an assignment for a particular student.
- **Consistent, powerful GUI:** It is important that the system be easy to learn but also that it provide the power to get work done efficiently. This is accomplished by providing relatively few distinct views of course information but making these views consistent with one another, information-rich, and hyperlinked to allow convenient switching between views.
- **Integration with other software:** CMS integrates seamlessly with other university software for performing authentication and obtaining information about courses and students. Class lists can be imported and final grades exported using a simple ASCII format compatible with Excel.

We should note that the CMS system was not designed to support web-based courses, but rather traditional lecture-based or recitation-based courses. For web-based courses, many design decisions would have been different. For example, some features that other tools support, such as discussion forums, online quizzes, and repositories for lecture notes are not supported by CMS.

CMS is implemented in PHP and runs in a standard 3-tier architecture with multiple application servers connected to a high-performance database server. In Fall 2004, CMS is being used by more than 1900 students in 40 courses in computer science, engineering, physics, and economics. Some of these courses are large, with more than 400 students. Although CMS was originally designed with large courses in mind, many small courses have also chosen to use CMS because of its comprehensive workflow management. A demonstration version of CMS is available for public use at <http://www3.csuglab.cornell.edu/cmsdemo>. Secure authentication is disabled in this demonstration installation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Sections 2, 3, and 4 describe how workflow is supported for the CMS administrator, course staff, and students, respectively. Section 5 discusses related work, and Section 6 presents our conclusions.

2. CMS ADMINISTRATOR WORKFLOW

The CMS administrator is responsible for adding courses to the system and for reporting course grades at the end of each semester. The associated workflow is simple. To add a new course, the CMS administrator enters the IDs of the course and the course instructor; CMS automatically contacts the university systems to import information about the course, such as the course name, registration code, and instructor. Further details about a course, such as information about the course staff and students, are delegated to course staff. Thus, it is easy for the CMS administrator to set up a large number of courses. Similarly, at the end of the semester, the CMS administrator simply activates the reporting feature for active courses and CMS automatically exports the final grades in a format appropriate for the university grade management system. The course is archived in its final state, and students are no longer allowed access after a certain point.

3. COURSE STAFF WORKFLOW

The major portion of course administration falls on teaching assistants and administrative staff. Ordinarily these tasks can be time-consuming and error-prone, but CMS helps simplify and automate these processes.

Managing Course Staff. Large courses usually have a large course staff with several different roles: for example, a principal instructor, assistant instructors, a staff assistant, graduate teaching assis-

tants, undergraduate lab consultants, and graders. To permit decentralized management, it is important that staff members receive only rights appropriate to their staff role, following the principle of least privilege [4]. CMS supports this fine-grained control of privilege with four levels of access: (1) the ability to create assignments and edit their information, (2) the ability to manage student groups, (3) the ability to grade, and (4) complete administrative access including control of staff membership and privilege levels. Any of these privileges can be granted to any staff member. Staff can be added simply by giving their university ID; an LDAP lookup then retrieves all necessary information from the university system, and access rights are assigned with a few mouse clicks.

Managing students. Students can be added to a course either by a bulk upload, perhaps from the university's file for the course, or individually. In both cases, only the university ID of the student is given, and all other information is retrieved from university system using an LDAP lookup. A student may decide to drop a course — in that case, the student can be removed. However, no information about the student is lost, and if the student decides to re-enroll, a click of the mouse button in CMS will do the trick.

Managing assignments. To create a new assignment, a web form is used to enter the relevant information, including (1) the assignment description and associated files, (2) the deadline for submission, (3) a short grace period during which submissions will not be considered late, (4) whether late submissions are allowed, (5) the size of a group and who forms the groups (staff or students), (6) the assignment and source files, (7) the maximum score and the weight for the assignment, (8) whether assignment statistics should be shown, (9) the names of the files that the student should submit, and (10) whether regrades are allowed, whether they are tracked by CMS, and the deadline for a regrade. This information can be changed at any time.

To track the workflow involved in managing an assignment, assignments can be in one of four states: hidden from students (used during assignment creation), shown to students and available for submission, closed to submission but with grades hidden (used during grading), and closed to submission with grades shown to students. Even though an assignment is closed to submission, a staff member can override this setting to allow an individual student or group of students to submit, and can even submit files on their behalf. This flexibility helps when a student has a good reason to submit their assignment after the deadline or has submitted the assignment outside CMS.

Exams. Exams are a special case of assignments for which students usually do not submit files online. The bulk upload feature for grades is particularly useful for exams: a text file of student IDs and grades, compatible with Microsoft Excel, can be uploaded into an assignment or exam. CMS performs consistency checks before allowing bulk uploads: for example, validating student IDs.

Grading and regrading. For each assignment, a course staff member assigns a set of students (or a set of groups, in case of group assignments) to each grader. Figure 1 shows a snapshot of the CMS page that supports this functionality. Student or student groups are selected using check boxes and assigned to a grader selected using a pull-down menu. This page also provides an overview of the files submitted by each group and flags late submissions.

Each grader downloads the files for the students/groups assigned to that grader, grades the assignments, produces a feedback file for each one, and finally uploads the assignment grades and the feedback files (individually or all together). Online grading seems to promote more extensive, detailed feedback. Although a grader is assigned to each student/group, any staff person with the proper

Courses > COM S 100 > Assignments > Grade A2 See Student Side

Introduction to Computer Programming | Assignments | Students | Course Options | Search Logs | Final Grades | E-Mail | Help | Credits

Course Staff Feedback Form

Assignment 2 (edit) Group Size: 1 - 3, Groups: 23
Submissions: 3, Partial: 3, Complete: 0

select all assign to:

select ungraded

select regrade pending Regraded ● Regrade pending ●

group	submission	status	assigned to	grade		
<input type="checkbox"/> ts30 vvg79 wk22	Default	1 file remaining		90	<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> ryr11 sd23 sn10	Default	No submissions		87	<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> ar32	Default	No submissions		85	<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> am15	Default	No submissions		75	<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> rm33	Default	No submissions		70	<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> bp10 cc14	Enabled	1 file remaining, Allow submission			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/> <input type="button" value="X ungroup"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cm10	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cp15	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ea10	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> em11	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> fdg15	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> fk15	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> gk78	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> go17	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> hm25	Default	No submissions			<input type="button" value="grade"/>	<input type="button" value="files"/>

Figure 1: Assigning a Set of Students to a Grader

Courses > COM S 100 > Assignments > Grade Assignment 2 See Student Side

Introduction to Computer Programming | Assignments | Students | Course Options | Search Logs | Final Grades | E-Mail | Help | Credits

Course Staff Feedback Form

Grade Students Assignment 2 (Total: 100)

Theon Smyrna (ts30), Vincent van Gogh (vvg79), Wassily Kandinsky (wk22)

files <small>upload</small>	netid	score	Comments
a2.pdf Sep 10 2004 11:44AM a2.txt Sep 10 2004 11:44AM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> link scores		<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; height: 100px; width: 100%;"></div> <input type="button" value="Browse..."/>
ts30	<input type="text" value="90"/>		
vvg79	<input type="text" value="90"/>		
wk22	<input type="text" value="90"/>		

log (expand 10 entries)

time	ip	netid	action	details
Sep 10 2004 11:58AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Grade	Grade Assignment 2: wk22 (+90)
Sep 10 2004 11:58AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Grade	Grade Assignment 2: vvg79 (+90)
Sep 10 2004 11:58AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Grade	Grade Assignment 2: ts30 (+90)
Sep 10 2004 11:58AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Submit comment	
Sep 10 2004 11:44AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	File Submit	a2.txt submitted with id: 3
Sep 10 2004 11:44AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	File Submit	a2.pdf submitted with id: 2
Sep 10 2004 11:38AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Added To Group	ts30
Sep 10 2004 11:38AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Added To Group	vvg79
Sep 10 2004 11:38AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Added To Group	wk22
Sep 10 2004 11:38AM	128.84.98.253	ademo	Create New Group	

regrades (0 entries)

Figure 2: Entering Grades and Comments

Course Staff Feedback Form

Students							Enrollment: 174
Add students Show Hidden Assignments ● Regraded score ● Regrade pending ▲ More than max-score							
First Name / Last Name	netids	A1	A2	A3	A4	Total	Final Grade
		max: 100 high: 100 dev: 11.5 mean: 86.1 weight: 20	max: 100 high: 102 dev: 6.1 mean: 91.8 weight: 40	max: 100 high: 100 dev: 6.5 mean: 96.3 weight: 20	max: 100 high: 100 dev: 5.9 mean: 98.9 weight: 20	max: 100 high: 99.2 dev: 5.1 mean: 93.0	
		<i>In Groups</i>	<i>In Groups</i>	<i>In Groups</i>	<i>In Groups</i>		
Auguste Renoir	ar32	95.5	87	100	100	93.9	A-
Claude Monet	cm10	93	97.5	100	99	97.4	A
Edvard Munch	em11	98	88	100	100	94.8	A
Francisco de Goya	fdg15	88	85	87.5	98	88.7	B+
Frida Kahlo	fk15	80.25	102	100	100	96.85	A
Georgia O'Keeffe	go17	92	99	100	100	98	A
Henri Matisse	hm25	89	87	99	100	92.4	A-
Leonardo da Vinci	ldv9	46	80.5	82	100	77.8	B-
Michelangelo Buonarroti	mb236	84.5	99	100	100	96.5	A
Pablo Picasso	pb30	77	95	100	100	93.4	A
Rembrandt van Rijn	rvr11	87.5	86	75	100	86.9	B+
Rene Magritte	rm33	90.5	83	96	100	90.5	B+
Salvador Dali	sd23	68	97	100	100	92.4	A
Vincent van Gogh	vvg79	80.5	97.5	100	99	94.9	A

Figure 3: Administrative View of Students

Courses > COM S 100 View: | COM S 100
 COM S 100 - Introduction to Computer Programming, FALL2004 Logged in as Adrian Legendre(aml5) User Settings | Help | Credits

Student Feedback Form

COM S 100 - Introduction to Computer Programming								
assignment	status	due	score	max	high	avg	dev	
Assignment 3 (Assignment Source Solutions)	Open	September 25, 2004 00:00		0	Not Released		<input type="button" value="details"/> <input type="button" value="groups"/> <input type="button" value="submit"/>	
Assignment 2 (Assignment Source Solutions)	Graded	October 15, 2004 00:00	75	100	90	84.56	7.16	<input type="button" value="details"/> <input type="button" value="groups"/> <input type="button" value="submit"/>
Assignment 1 (Assignment Source Solutions)	Graded	December 31, 2004 11:54	89	100	Not Released		<input type="button" value="details"/> <input type="button" value="groups"/> <input type="button" value="submit"/>	

▲ Assignment 3 due in 14 days, 9 hours, and 6 minutes

Figure 4: Course Overview for Students

access level can grade the submission; this is useful for exceptional cases. The CMS page to enter the grades and comments for a single group is shown in Figure 2.

CMS provides direct support for regrading. A student wanting a regrade can submit a regrade request explaining their position; the appropriate grader is alerted and can handle it appropriately.

A log is maintained of all events associated with an assignment, including submission of files, uploading of grades and feedback files, regrade requests, and answers to regrade requests. This log supports complex queries. This capability is useful for grading disputes, for identifying staff errors, and for other special cases.

Administrative views of the students. It is often necessary to maintain several assignments and exams concurrently in various stages of development, submission, and grading. It is important that the course staff be able to track easily what has and has not been done. Figure 3 shows a snapshot of the administrative student view, which provides this information. The administrative student view shows a list of all the students, their names, students IDs, grades on all assignments and tests, total scores, and final grades (if available). Final grades can also be entered in this view at the end of the semester.

Unanswered requests for regrades are marked with a small red dot; answered ones with a gray dot. One can also change the view to see only students who have an outstanding regrade request, who are missing a particular assignment, and other conditions of interest. Thus, course staff receive an overall picture as well as more detailed information.

4. STUDENT WORKFLOW

A student enrolled in more than one course using CMS has a single portal—a single web page—that gives access to all the courses. Students can even access courses that they have taken in previous semesters, if the department allows it.

Managing course information. For each student, CMS provides an overview page for each course in which the student is enrolled. This page shows the student's grades and statistics for each assignment and exam. If a total weighted score is produced, the student can see the weights assigned to each assignment and exam, as well as their total score so far and the statistics for the total score. Finally, when final course grades have been assigned, the students can see them. Figure 4 shows a snapshot of this page.

Different instructors have different opinions on what information should be accessible to students, and should have some control over this. In CMS, the staff can control when the grades on an assignment or exam become viewable, whether the students see the statistics for an assignment or exam, and when (if ever) the students can see their weighted total score or final grade.

CMS also has many ways to notify students about important events. For example, the overview page shows upcoming assignments, due dates, and the time left on the next assignment. Students also receive automatic email notification about events such as availability of grades, feedback on an assignment, and actions on their regrade requests. Students can selectively turn off these notifications if they wish. Thus, CMS is a management tool for students as well as staff.

Managing assignments. CMS provides various ways for a student to manage course assignments. First, CMS provides the ability for students to obtain assignment writeups and other needed files such as source code from the course overview page.

Second, for a group assignment, students can form their own workgroups (and later disband them if necessary). This frees the course staff from a time-consuming chore. Students form groups using an invitation-based model; a student wishing to form a group




Figure 5: Student Group Formation

invites other students to join the group. The other students are notified of this request and can either accept or decline the invitation. Figure 5 shows a snapshot of the student group management page. If desired, course staff can disable group formation by students and can create groups themselves.

Third, CMS allows students to submit files as part of completing an assignment. Since students may make mistakes when submitting files, CMS allows students to submit files any number of times before the assignment is due. CMS keeps a history of all submitted files. It is also possible for a student to verify that the last recorded submission matches files on their own computer.

When grades and feedback for an assignment become available, the student can view the grades and feedback for the assignment, along with statistics about the overall class performance. If desired, regrade requests can be submitted and will be automatically routed to the appropriate grader.

5. RELATED WORK

Many course management systems have been developed; for a good overview and comparison of these systems, see the Edutools website [2]. However, none of these systems support the entire workflow associated with managing a course. For example, the widely used Blackboard system [1] supports online file submissions and grades, but does not support assigning grading responsibilities, tracking regrade requests, or group assignments.

The ongoing SakaiProject [3] aims to capture course workflow by integrating several existing pieces of software that support different functionality. In contrast, CMS is an operational system designed from the ground up to support a fully integrated workflow.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

CMS is a versatile system designed to support the management of workflow associated with large courses. CMS has been in production use for two years, during which time it has undergone considerable refinement in response to faculty and student feedback. In our experience, the resulting system simplifies course management more than other systems do.

We are currently moving our PHP-based CMS system to Java, using the J2EE platform. The resulting system will be more extensible and will support customizable content delivery. It will also provide students with a more unified view of the courses in which they are enrolled. This new system is expected to be operational in Spring 2005, and we plan to make it publicly available as open-source software.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Blackboard - <http://www.blackboard.com>.
- [2] Edutools - <http://www.edutools.info/course>.
- [3] SakaiProject - <http://www.sakaiproject.org>.
- [4] J. H. Saltzer. Protection and the control of information sharing in Multics. *Comm. ACM*, 17(7):388–402, July 1974.