Principled Programming

Introduction to Coding in Any Imperative Language

Tim Teitelbaum

Emeritus Professor


Department of Computer Science

Cornell University

Stepwise Refinement

We introduce Stepwise Refinement, a key approach to programming, and illustrate its use in many examples.

- Divide and Conquer
- Sequential Refinement
- Case Analysis
- Iterative Refinement
- Recursive Refinement



Divide and Conquer:

All Gaul is divided into three parts. To conquer Gaul:

First, conquer the first part.

Then, conquer the second part.

Finally, conquer the third part.

A methodology.

Divide and Conquer: Applied to programming

To write a program:

First, break it into subprograms.

Then, write each subprogram separately.

the methodology is called programming by Stepwise Refinement.

Divide and Conquer: Applied to programming

To write a program:


First, break it into subprograms.

Then, write each subprogram separately.

the methodology is called programming by Stepwise Refinement.

Program top-down, outside-in.

Stepwise Refinement: Creates a hierarchy of subprograms, each with its own specification.



Program top-down, outside-in.

Stepwise Refinement: A "program" to follow as you code.

if *P* is simple to write :

Write it

else:

Refine *P* into simpler subprograms

Write each subprogram

Program top-down, outside-in.

where **Refine** is:

Sequential steps

Do one thing after another.

Case analysis

Do one thing or another.

Iteration

Do one thing repeatedly.

Recursion

Do something based on self-similarity.

Selection from a library of patterns

Do some pattern of the previous kinds of refinement.

Stepwise Refinement: Is recursive

if *P* is simple to write :

Write it

else:

Refine *P* into simpler subprograms

Write each subprogram

because it uses itself for writing each subprogram.

Stepwise Refinement: Terminates

if *P* is simple to write :

Write it

else:

Refine *P* into simpler subprograms

Write each subprogram


provided the subprograms get simpler to write.

Stepwise Refinement: Terminates when *P* is so simple that you just write it.

if *P* is simple to write : Write it

This is called the base case of the recursion.

Stepwise Refinement: The subproblems of each refinement must fit together like pieces of a jigsaw puzzle.



We now consider each of the five kinds of refinement.

Sequential Refinement: Implement a specification P with a sequence of steps P_1 through P_n executed one after the other.

```
# Specification P.
| # ------|
| #.Specification P<sub>1</sub>.
| #.Specification P<sub>2</sub>.
| ...
| #.Specification P<sub>n</sub>.
```


where if any "#. Specification P_i " is simple enough, it can be just code.

Example 1: A top-level specification

#.Drive from LA to NYC.


Example 1: A top-level specification that calls for the state-space effect shown.

#.Drive from LA to NYC.



Example 1: A Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# -----
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```



Example 1: A Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```



Example 1: A Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```



Example 2: A different Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to St. Louis.
#.Drive from St. Louis to NYC.
```

Different roads and scenery, but the same net effect (the *external interface*): If I leave from LA, I will get to NYC.

Example 3: An incorrect Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from St. Louis to NYC.
```

The first step does not establish what the second step requires.

Example 3: A corrected Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to St. Louis.
#.Drive from St. Louis to NYC.
```

Example 4: An infeasible Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Tokyo.
#.Drive from Tokyo to NYC.
```

You can't drive from LA to Tokyo.

Just because you can express a requirement doesn't mean that it can be accomplished.

Example 1, continued:

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```

Example 1, continued:

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```

Refine specifications and placeholders in an order that makes sense for development, without regard to execution order.

Example 1, continued:

Refine specifications and placeholders in an order that makes sense for development, without regard to execution order.

Example 4, continued: Backtrack out of an infeasible Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Tokyo.
#.Drive from Tokyo to NYC.
```

You can't drive from LA to Tokyo.

Example 4, continued: Backtrack out of an infeasible Sequential Refinement

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Tokyo.
#.Drive from Tokyo to NYC.
```

You can't drive from LA to Tokyo.

Don't be wedded to code. Revise and rewrite when you discover a better way.

Example 4, continued: An infeasible Sequential Refinement undone.

#.Drive from LA to NYC.

Don't be wedded to code. Revise and rewrite when you discover a better way.

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# -----
#.Drive from LA to Denver.
#.Drive from Denver to NYC.
```

You can drive from LA to Denver and from Denver to NYC.


Don't be wedded to code. Revise and rewrite when you discover a better way.

Example 5: A new top-level specification

#.Drive from LA to NYC and buy a new car (in any order).

Example 5: A new top-level specification

#.Drive from LA to NYC and buy a new car (in any order).



Example 5: One possible order

```
# Drive from LA to NYC and buy a new car (in any order).
# ------
#.Buy a new car.
#.Drive from LA to NYC.
```

Example 5: Another possible order

```
# Drive from LA to NYC and buy a new car (in any order).
# ------
#.Drive from LA to NYC.
#.Buy a new car.
```

Implicitly, unmentioned components of state may not be changed.

I.e., the convention that unmentioned state components may not be changed implies that the previous version would be as shown above.


Generalization:

```
# Get from PRE to POST.

# -----

#.Get from PRE to MID.

#.Get from MID to POST.
```



Loosening the Coupling: Between the two sub-steps

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```



Loosening the Coupling: by weakening a precondition

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Illinois to NYC.
```



Loosening the Coupling: by weakening a precondition

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from California to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to NYC.
```




Loosening the Coupling: or by strengthening a postcondition

```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from LA to Chicago.
#.Drive from Chicago to Manhattan.
```



```
# Drive from LA to NYC.
# ------
#.Drive from California to Chicago.
#.Drive from Illinois to Manhattan.
```



2-Step Sequential Refinement: In general

```
# Specification P: Get from PRE to POST.

# -----

#.Get from A<sub>1</sub> to B<sub>1</sub>.

#.Get from A<sub>2</sub> to B<sub>2</sub>.

where

establishing PRE automatically establishes A<sub>1</sub>,

establishing B<sub>1</sub> automatically establishes A<sub>2</sub>, and
establishing B<sub>2</sub> automatically establishes POST.+
```

n-Step Sequential Refinement: In general

```
# Specification P: Get from PRE to POST.

# ------

#.Get from A<sub>1</sub> to B<sub>1</sub>.

#.Get from A<sub>2</sub> to B<sub>2</sub>.

...

#.Get from A<sub>n</sub> to B<sub>n</sub>.

where

establishing PRE automatically establishes A<sub>1</sub>,


establishing B<sub>k</sub> automatically establishes A<sub>k+1</sub>, for k from 1 through n-1, and establishing B<sub>n</sub> automatically establishes POST.
```

Refinement

Loosening in Practice: Consider an individual specification

#.Get from PRE to POST.

in the context of a program



Loosening in Practice: The specification

```
#.Get from PRE to POST.
```

can be implemented by any code that satisfies the specification

```
#.Get from PRE' to POST'.
```

where PRE' is any weakening of PRE, and POST' is any strengthening of POST.

<u>م</u>

Example 1: Precondition is essential, but postcondition can be strengthened

```
# Get from x \ge 0 to y is a number that when squared equals x. y = math.sqrt(x)
```

Any weakening of $x\geq 0$ would make the specification infeasible for real y, but we are free to choose y as either the positive or negative root of x.

Example 2: Precondition is convenient, but not essential

```
# Get from x \ge 0 to y is |x|. y = x
```

The precondition $x \ge 0$ simplifies the code that sets y to the absolute value of x, because in that case the absolute value of x is just x itself.

Example 3: Precondition is irrelevant

```
# Get from x \ge 0 to y is x squared.
y = x * x
```

because x squared is x*x regardless of whether x is positive or negative.

Example 4: Precondition is customarily ignored

```
#.Get from array A's elements are unique to A's elements are
# numerically ordered.
```


because conventional techniques for establishing the postcondition are more general, and do not rely on the given precondition.

م

1 C

ent

Consider the domain and range of the general-purpose output statement print(r)



The domain is any state where variable r exists and contains a value, regardless of whether it is the integer square root of n. The range is any state with the additional property that the output ends with the given value.

Conjunctive Normal Form: A condition of the form

 C_1 and C_2 and ... and C_n

where each C_i is called a *conjunct*.

Example:

x is declared **and** x contains a value **and** x is greater than or equal to 0 state is NY **and** city is NYC

Conjunctive Normal Form: A condition in CNF can be weakened by dropping a conjunct, e.g., Replace: x is declared **and** x contains a value **and** x is greater than or equal to 0 with: x is declared **and** x contains a value and can be strengthened by appending an additional conjunct, e.g., Replace: state is NY and city is NYC with:

state is NY and city is NYC and borough is Manhattan

<u>a</u>

P

Implicit Preconditions: In practice, explicit preconditions are often omitted.

```
# Get from LA to NYC.
#.Get to Chicago.
#.Get to St. Louis.
#.Get to NYC.
implicitly means
# Get from LA to NYC.
#.(Given that we are in LA) Get to Chicago.
#.(Given that we are in Chicago) Get to St. Louis.
#.(Given that we are in St. Louis) Get to NYC.
```

<u>a</u>

Implicit Preconditions: The reader of

```
# Get from LA to NYC.
# -----
#.Get to Chicago.
#.Get to St. Louis.
#.Get to NYC.
```

must infer the relevant precondition, and scan backwards to confirm that it has been established and survives, i.e., has not subsequently been invalidated.

<u>8</u>

Implicit Preconditions: Minimize the distance between code that establishes a precondition, and code that relies on it, if possible.

```
k = 0
# 10 pages of code to do whatever.
k += 1

If the 10 pages have nothing to do with variable k, the following is better
k = 0
whatever()
```

Many short procedures are better than large blocks of code.

k += 1

<u>a</u>

Implicit Preconditions: Minimize the distance between code that establishes a precondition, and code that relies on it, if possible, especially if the procedure can be placed outside of the scope of such a variable k.

If the distance remains great, consider an explicit indication of where the precondition was established:

#.Given PRE (established at point p in the code), get to POST.

Many short procedures are better than large blocks of code.

Problem Reduction: A special case of Sequential Refinement

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?

A 14 7 14 34 7

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?



Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?



Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?




A' 7 7 14 14 34

Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?



Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.



1 + the number of adjacent pairs of unequal elements in A', a version of A rearranged into numerical order.

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?



Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.

In worst case, running time is proportional to n².

A'	7	7	14	14	34


1 + the number of adjacent pairs of unequal elements in A', a version of A rearranged into numerical order.

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?



Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.

In worst case, running time is proportional to n².



1 + the number of adjacent pairs of unequal elements in A', a version of A rearranged into numerical order.


In worst case, running time is proportional to n log n, i.e., time to sort an array of length n + time to count the number of unequal adjacent element pairs.

How many distinct values occur in an **int** array A[0..n-1]?




Tally of each first instance of a value i.e., each A[k] for which that value doesn't occur in A[0..k-1], for k from 0 through n-1.

In worst case, running time is proportional to n².



rearranged into numerical order.



In worst case, running time is proportional to n log n, i.e., time to sort an array of length n + time to count the number of unequal adjacent element pairs.

Problem Reduction: In general

```
# Specification P: Get from PRE to POST.

# -----

#.Get from PRE to A.

#.Get from B to POST.

where establishing A automatically establishes B.
```

Problem Reduction: In general

```
# Specification P: Get from PRE to POST.

# -----

#.Get from PRE to A.

#.Get from B to POST.

where establishing A automatically establishes B.
```

Problem Reduction: In general

Case Analysis: Implement a specification P as a choice of one step to execute from among P_1, \ldots, P_n .

```
# Specification P.
if condition<sub>1</sub>:
    #.Specification P<sub>1</sub>.
elif condition<sub>2</sub>:
    #.Specification P<sub>2</sub>.
...
elif condition<sub>n-1</sub>:
    #.Specification P<sub>n-1</sub>.
else:
    #.Specification P<sub>n</sub>.
```

Case Analysis: Implement a specification P as a choice of one step to execute from among P_1 and P_2 .

```
# Specification P.
if condition<sub>1</sub>:
    #.Specification P<sub>1</sub>.

else:
    #.Specification P<sub>2</sub>.
```

Case Analysis: Implement a specification *P* as a choice of one step to execute or not.

```
# Specification P.
if condition<sub>1</sub>:
#.Specification P<sub>1</sub>.
```

Case Analysis: Implement a specification P as a choice of one step to execute from among P_1, \ldots, P_n .

```
# Specification P.
   if condition<sub>1</sub>:
        #.Specification P<sub>1</sub>.
   elif condition<sub>2</sub>:
        #.Specification P<sub>2</sub>.
        ...
   elif condition<sub>n-1</sub>:
        #.Specification P<sub>n-1</sub>.
   else:
        #.Specification P<sub>n</sub>.
```

Case Analysis: Implement a specification P as a choice of one step to execute from among P_1, \ldots, P_n .

- In the real world: Animal, vegetable, or mineral?
- In a maze: Facing a wall or not?
- After a search: Found or not found?
- In mathematics: Positive or negative? Even or odd? Real or imaginary roots?


#.Let y be |x|.

```
# Let y be |x|.
if x >= 0:
    y = x
else:
    y = -x
```


```
# Let y be |x|.
if x >= 0:
    y = x
else:
    y = -x
```


```
# Let y be |x|.
y = abs(y)
```

#.Advance k to the next hour.




```
# Advance k to the next hour.
if k == 11:
    k = 0
else:
    k = k + 1
```






```
# Advance k to the next hour.
if k == 11:
    k = 0
else:
    k = k + 1
```




Advance k to the next hour. k = (k + 1) % 12


#.Advance k to the previous hour.




```
# Advance k to the previous hour.
if k == 0:
    k = 11
else:
    k = k - 1
```



```
# Advance k to the previous hour.
if k == 0:
    k = 11
else:
    k = k - 1
```




Advance k to the previous hour. k = (k + 11) % 12



```
# Advance k to the previous hour.
k = (k + 11) \% 12
```

Why not (k-1)%12?



Advance k to the previous hour. k = (k + 11) % 12

Why not (k-1)%12?

This would be fine in Python, but in other languages (e.g., Java), (k-1)%12 is negative for negative k-1. The issue is avoided if we write (k+11)%12

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices: "==" or "!=", "<" or "<=", "x" or "x-1", condition or not (condition), positive or negative, 0-origin or 1-origin, "even integers are divisible by 2, but array segments of odd length have middle elements".

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Example: parity

#.Output whether n is odd or even.

Example: parity

```
# Output whether n is odd or even.
if (n % 2) == 1:
    print("odd")
else:
    print("even")
```

Example: parity

```
# Output whether n is odd or even.
if (n % 2) == 1:
    print("odd")
else:
    print("even")
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Example: parity

```
# Output whether n is odd or even.
if (n % 2) == 1:
    print("odd")
else:
    print("even")
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

The code is correct in Python, but because in some languages (e.g., Java) n%2 is negative for negative n, the code is fragile.

Example: parity, more robust

```
# Output whether n is odd or even.
if (n % 2) == 0:
    print("even")
else:
    print("odd")
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Example: roots, real or imaginary

#.Let im be **True** iff the roots of quadratic $Ax^2+Bx+C=0$ are imaginary.

Example: roots, real or imaginary

```
# Let im be True iff the roots of quadratic Ax²+Bx+C=0 are imaginary.
im: bool  # Roots are imaginary.
if ((B * B) - (4 * A * C)) < 0:
    im = True
else:
    im = False</pre>
```

Example: roots, real or imaginary

```
# Let im be True iff the roots of quadratic Ax²+Bx+C=0 are imaginary.
im: bool  # Roots are imaginary.
if ((B * B) - (4 * A * C)) < 0:
    im = True
else:
    im = False</pre>
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Example: roots, real or imaginary

```
# Let im be True iff the roots of quadratic Ax²+Bx+C=0 are imaginary.
im: bool  # Roots are imaginary.
if ((B * B) - (4 * A * C)) < 0:
    im = True
else:
    im = False</pre>
```

■ Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Is the case of ((B*B)-(4*A*C))==0 correct?

Example: roots, real or imaginary

```
# Let im be True iff the roots of quadratic Ax²+Bx+C=0 are imaginary.
im: bool  # Roots are imaginary.
if ((B * B) - (4 * A * C)) < 0:
    im = True
else:
    im = False</pre>
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Is the case of ((B*B)-(4*A*C))==0 correct? Yes.

Example: roots, real or imaginary

```
# Let im be True iff the roots of quadratic Ax²+Bx+C=0 are imaginary.
im: bool  # Roots are imaginary.
if ((B * B) - (4 * A * C)) < 0:
    im = True
else:
    im = False</pre>
```

Example: roots, real or imaginary

#.Let im be **True** iff the roots of quadratic $Ax^2+Bx+C=0$ are imaginary.

Example: roots, real or imaginary

```
# Let im be True iff the roots of quadratic Ax^2+Bx+C=0 are imaginary. im: bool = ((B * B) - (4 * A * C)) < 0 # Roots are imaginary.
```

Example: Parallel or intersecting lines

#.Output whether lines $y=m1 \cdot x+b1$ and $y=m2 \cdot x+b2$ are parallel or intersect.

Example: Parallel or intersecting lines

```
# Output whether lines y=m1·x+b1 and y=m2·x+b2 are parallel or intersect.
if (m1 == m2) and (b1 != b2):
    print("parallel")
else:
    print("intersect")
```

Example: Parallel or intersecting lines

```
# Output whether lines y=m1·x+b1 and y=m2·x+b2 are parallel or intersect.
if (m1 == m2) and (b1 != b2):
    print("parallel")
else:
    print("intersect")
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

Example: Parallel or intersecting lines

```
# Output whether lines y=m1·x+b1 and y=m2·x+b2 are parallel or intersect.
if (m1 == m2) and (b1 != b2):
    print("parallel")
else:
    print("intersect")
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

What if m1 is 0.0e0 and m2 is smallest floating-point number?

Case Analysis: The condition in a Case Analysis is often the locus of error.

Example: Parallel or intersecting lines

```
# Output whether lines y=m1·x+b1 and y=m2·x+b2 are parallel or intersect.
if (m1 == m2) and (b1 != b2):
    print("parallel")
else:
    print("intersect")
```

Be alert to high-risk coding steps associated with binary choices.

What if m1==m2, but b1 is 0.0e0 and b2 is smallest floating-point number?

Case Analysis: The condition in a Case Analysis is often the locus of error.

Example: Parallel or intersecting lines

```
# Output whether lines y=m1·x+b1 and y=m2·x+b2 are parallel or intersect.
if compare-slopes-and-intercepts-wrt-tolerances:
    print("parallel")
else:
    print("intersect")
```

Never test two floating-point numbers for equality or inequality.

```
| # Specification P.
| # -----
| #.Setup for P'.
| while condition:
| #.Specification P'.
```

```
# Specification P.
|# -----
|#.Setup for P'.
|while condition:
| #.Specification P'.
```

Invariant: The thing that stays the same, and allows P' to remain applicable.

Variant: The thing that changes, and eventually causes the loop to stop.

```
# Specification P.
|# -----
|#.Setup for P'.
|while condition:
| #.Specification P'.
```

Invariant: The thing that stays the same, and allows P' to remain applicable.

Variant: The thing that changes, and eventually causes the loop to stop.

Infinite loops have their utility, but termination is the norm.

```
# Specification P.
|# -----
|#.Setup for P'.
|while condition:
| #.Specification P'.
```

Invariant: The thing that stays the same, and allows P' to remain applicable.

Variant: The thing that changes, and eventually causes the loop to stop.

Infinite loops have their utility, but termination is the norm. For termination, think of the variant as a necessarily nonnegative integer that necessarily decreases by 1 on each iteration. You can only do that a finite number of times.

```
# Specification P.
|# ------
|#.Setup for P'.
|while condition:
| #.Specification P'.
```

A fruitful real-world analogy: Hammering a nail into a block of wood.

#.Drive a nail vertically into a block of wood.

```
# Drive a nail vertically into a block of wood.

# ------

#.Setup: Stabilize the nail vertically, with height≥0. In doing so,

# establish the invariant (nail vertical, and height≥0) and the

# initial variant (height of nail).
```

```
# Drive a nail vertically into a block of wood.
#.Setup: Stabilize the nail vertically, with height≥0. In doing so,
    establish the invariant (nail vertical, and height≥0) and the
    initial variant (height of nail).
while the-head-of-the-nail-sticks-out :
   #.Hit the nail with the hammer squarely. In doing so, maintain the invariant (by
        hitting the nail vertically, but not so hard that its height becomes negative),
        and reduce the variant (by hitting the nail hard enough to reduce the height
        such that a finite number of hits suffices).
#.The invariant still holds (nail vertical, and height≥0), and the
    variant is reduced to zero (height==0).
```

• Setup doesn't *establish* the nail's verticality (the invariant). The very first hammer blow flattens the nail, or begins the process of bending it, even if the loop body is perfectly correct.


• Loop body doesn't *maintain* the nail's verticality (the invariant) because it hits the nail at a crooked angle. Eventually, the nail is flattened.


Loop body doesn't maintain the nail's nonnegative height (the invariant), splits the wood, and the nail goes into the table top.


 Loop body makes insufficient progress (the variant). The loop runs forever and the nail never gets flush with the surface.

This can be because the height is an infinite decreasing sequence that doesn't converge to zero, or because you hit a knot, and stop advancing altogether.


No advancement: Use a feather instead of a hammer, or at a knot.









#.Make triangle point down.






```
Runs forever if \delta is 120°
```



Runs forever if δ is 120°

(Doesn't happen in hammering a nail.)


Non-convergent advancement: Variant must be a nonnegative integer that is reduced by at least 1 on each iteration.



sequence of states

Terminates

Non-convergent advancement: Variant must be a nonnegative integer that is reduced by at least 1 on each iteration.




sequence of states

h: float = 10.0 while h > 0: h = h / 2

Terminates

Non-convergent advancement: Variant must be a nonnegative integer that is reduced by at least 1 on each iteration.



sequence of states


```
zero: Rational = Rational(0,1)
two: Rational = Rational(2,1)
h3: Rational = Rational(10, 1)
while h3 != zero:
    h3 = rational_divide(h3, two)
```

Runs forever (Code explained in Chapter 18.)

Iterative Refinement: In general


```
# Specification P: Get from PRE to POST.
# ------
#.Setup: Get from PRE to INVARIANT.
while condition:
    #.Get from condition and INVARIANT to INVARIANT.
where not(condition) and INVARIANT entails POST.
```


Iteration: To get to **POST** iteratively




Refinement

Iteration: To get to POST iteratively, choose a weakened POST as INVARIANT







Iteration: Then, iteratively change the **INVARIANT**'s parameters.




Example: Hammering a nail, the goal




Example: Hammering a nail, set up the **INVARIANT**






Example: Hammering a nail, the process




Example: Hammering a nail, the process



POST: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$

Example: Integer division. The goal.


#.Given int x and int y, $0 \le x$ and 0 < y, set int q to x / / y, and int r to x % y.



PRE: $0 \le x$, 0 < yPOST: $x = q^*y + r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$ INVARIANT:

Example: Integer division. The process: Choose the INVARIANT ...


#.Given int x and int y, $0 \le x$ and 0 < y, set int q to x//y, and int r to x % y.



POST: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$ **INVARIANT**: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r$, and $0 \le q$

Example: Integer division. ... **INVARIANT** as a weakened **POST**.

#.Given int x and int y, $0 \le x$ and 0 < y, set int q to x / / y, and int r to x % y.




```
PRE: 0≤x, 0<y
```

POST: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$ **INVARIANT**: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r$, and $0 \le q$

Example: Integer division. The process ...

```
# Given int x and int y, 0≤x and 0<y, set int q to x//y, and int r to x%y.
r: int = ___
q: int = ___
while condition:
```



```
PRE: 0≤x, 0<y
```

POST: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$ **INVARIANT**: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r$, and $0 \le q$

Example: Integer division. The process of maintaining the **INVARIANT** ...

X

```
PRE: 0≤x, 0<y
```


POST: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$

INVARIANT: x=q*y+r, 0≤r , and 0≤q

VARIANT: $x-q^*y$

Example: Integer division. ... while reducing the **VARIANT** to 0 ...

```
# Given int x and int y, 0≤x and 0<y, set int q to x//y, and int r to x%y.
r: int = ___
q: int = ___
while r >= y:
    r = r - y
    q += 1
```



```
PRE: 0≤x, 0<y
```

POST: $x=q^*y+r, 0 \le r < y, \text{ and } 0 \le q$

INVARIANT: x=q*y+r, 0≤r , and 0≤q

VARIANT: $x-q^*y$

Example: Integer division. ... after first having established the **INVARIANT**.

```
# Given int x and int y, 0≤x and 0<y, set int q to x//y, and int r to x%y.
r: int = x
q: int = 0
while r >= y:
    r = r - y
    q += 1
    r
```

```
PRE: 0≤x, 0<y
```

POST: x=q*y+r, 0≤r<y, and 0≤q


INVARIANT: x=q*y+r, 0≤r , and 0≤q

VARIANT: x-q*y

Example: Played in execution order, with nail and wood analogy: The setup.

```
# Given int x and int y, 0≤x and 0<y, set int q to x//y, and int r to x%y.
r: int = x
q: int = 0
while r >= y:
```

r = r - y q += 1



nteger Division

```
PRE: 0≤x, 0<y
```

POST: $x=q^*y+r, 0 \le r < y, \text{ and } 0 \le q$

INVARIANT: x=q*y+r, 0≤r , and 0≤q


VARIANT: x-q*y

Example: Played in execution order, with nail and wood analogy: The process.

```
# Given int x and int y, 0 \le x and 0 < y, set int q to x / / y, and int r to x \% y.

r: int = x
q: int = 0

while r >= y:
r = r - y
q += 1
```



nteger Division

POST: $x=q^*y+r$, $0 \le r < y$, and $0 \le q$

INVARIANT: x=q*y+r, 0≤r , and 0≤q


VARIANT x-q*y

Example: Played in execution order, with nail and wood analogy: Termination.

```
# Given int x and int y, 0 \le x and 0 < y, set int q to x//y, and int r to x \% y.
```

```
r: int = x
q: int = 0
while r >= y:
    r = r - y
```

q += 1







Example: Euclid's Algorithm, the goal

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    -------
    return ____
```

Let X and Y be positive integers, GCD be the mathematical greatest-common-divisor function, and GCD(X, Y) = d. When invoked on argument expressions with values X and Y, the Python function gcd is required to return d.

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while condition:
```

return ____

We plan to update x or y on each iteration while maintaining the INVARIANT

$$x > 0$$
 and $y > 0$ and $GCD(x, y) = d$

which holds initially because invocation of gcd with argument values X and Y initializes parameters X and Y and Y, respectively.

Example: Euclid's Algorithm, the **VARIANT**

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
    return x
```

To assure termination, we will reduce the non-negative **VARIANT** expression x+y by at least 1 on each iteration. You can only do that a finite number of times (while guaranteeing that x+y remains positive) before x+y stops changing. When it does, we must show that x=y. (It remains until after knowing how x and y are updated to show that x+y is reduced by 1 on each iteration.)

The **return** statement implements the observation that the *gcd* of any number and itself is that number.

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
        if ____:
        else:
        return x
```

There are only two cases: either x > y or x < y (the third possibility of x = y having been ruled out by the loop termination condition).


Example: Euclid's Algorithm, Case Analysis, x > y

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
        if x > y:
        else:
        return x
```

First case: x > y. Observe by diagrammatic reasoning (next slide), that x can be replaced by x - y while maintaining the **INVARIANT**, i.e., designating the new value of x by x', then x' > 0 and y > 0 and GCD(x', y) = d

That x' > 0 follows from x > y.

Example: Euclid's Algorithm, Case Analysis, x > y



Example: Euclid's Algorithm, Case Analysis, x > y

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
        if x > y:
            x = x - y
        else:
        return x
```

First case: x > y. Observe by diagrammatic reasoning (next slide), that x can be replaced by x - y while maintaining the **INVARIANT**, i.e., designating the new value of x by x', then x' > 0 and y > 0 and GCD(x', y) = d

That x' > 0 follows from x > y. This has been done, above.

Example: Euclid's Algorithm, Case Analysis, x < y, by symmetry

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
        if x > y:
            x = x - y
        else:
            y = y - x
    return x
```

Second case: x < y. Follows by the symmetric argument.

Example: Euclid's Algorithm, correctness

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
        if x > y:
            x = x - y
        else:
            y = y - x
    return x
```

If the loop stops, the **INVARIANT** and negation of the condition imply that the value returned is correct, i.e.,

```
x > 0 and y > 0 and GCD(x, y) = d and x = y implies x = d.
```

This is called *partial correctness*.

Example: Euclid's Algorithm, termination

```
def gcd(x:int, y:int) -> int:
    """Given x>0 and y>0, return the greatest common divisor of x and y."""
    while x != y:
        if x > y:
            x = x - y
        else:
            y = y - x
    return x
```

Why does x+y stop changing, with x=y, and therefore the iteration stops?

Because one of x or y is reduced by at least 1 on each iteration, and if x is not equal to y, then in the next iteration x+y can be further reduced.

Partial correctness plus guaranteed termination is called total correctness.

Termination: Can be nontrivial, i.e., hard, unknown, or even unknowable

Termination: Are the following two code segments equivalent?

```
# Given input n>0, output "done".
n = int(input())
print("done")

# Given input n>0, output "done".
n = int(input())
while n != 1:
    if (n % 2) == 0:
        n = n // 2
    else:
        n = (3 * n) + 1
print("done")
```

Answer turns on whether the loop terminates for every input.

Termination: Are the following two code segments equivalent?


```
# Given input n>0, output "done".
n = int(input())
print("done")
# Given input n>0, output "done".
n = int(input())
while n != 1:
    if (n % 2) == 0:
        n = n // 2
    else:
        n = (3 * n) + 1
print("done")
Sample input 3:
```

$$3 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$$

Termination: Are the following two code segments equivalent?

```
# Given input n>0, output "done".
n = int(input())
print("done")

# Given input n>0, output "done".
n = int(input())
while n != 1:
    if (n % 2) == 0:
        n = n // 2
    else:
        n = (3 * n) + 1
print("done")
```



Collatz Conjecture

That every such sequence reaches 1 is an open problem in mathematics.

Recursive Refinement: Implement specification *P* by using the very refinement being defined to solve self-similar subproblems.

```
# Specification P.

if base-case:


#.P<sub>0</sub>.


else:

#.Identify smaller instance(s) of P within P itself, apply this

# approach to each such instance, and combine the results.
```

Self-similarity: Same or similar structure at every scale





Recursive Refinement: Implement specification *P* by using the very refinement being defined to solve self-similar subproblems.

```
# Specification P.

if base-case:

#.P<sub>0</sub>.

else:

#.Identify smaller instance(s) of P within P itself, apply this

# approach to each such instance, and combine the results.
```

To use the refinement within both the specification and in the refinement itself, define it separately as a procedure, and invoke it by name.

Recursive Refinement: Implement specification *P* by using the very refinement being defined to solve self-similar subproblems.

```
# Specification P.
P(arguments)

and elsewhere define:
def P(parameters) -> type:
    if base-case :
        #.P<sub>0</sub>.
    else:
        #.Identify smaller instance(s) of P within P itself, invoke
        # P(arguments) on each such instance, and combine the results.
```

To use the refinement within both the specification and in the refinement itself, define it separately as a procedure, and invoke it by name.

Example: 5 4 3 2 1 BLASTOFF

```
# Count down from 5, and say "BLASTOFF" at 0.
countdown(5)

and elsewhere define:

def countdown(n: int) -> None:
    """Count down from n, and say "BLASTOFF" at zero."""
    if n == 0:
        print("BLASTOFF")
    else:
        print(n)
        countdown(n - 1)
```

```
A second example: (...(((0+1)+2)+3)+...+100)
# Output the sum of 1 through 100.
print(sum(100))
and elsewhere define:
def sum(n: int) -> int:
    """Return the sum of 0 through n."""
    if n == 0:
        return 0
    else:
        return sum(n - 1) + n
```

```
A third example: (1+(2+(3+...+(100+0)...)))
# Output the sum of 1 through 100.
print(sum(100))
and elsewhere define:
def sum(n:int) -> int:
    """Return the sum of 0 through n."""
    return sumAux(n,0)
def sumAux(n: int, acc: int) -> int:
    """Return the sum of 0 through n, and acc."""
    if n == 0:
        return acc
    else:
        return sumAux(n - 1, n + acc)
```


Library of Patterns: Implement specification *P* by using a previously used and analyzed parameterized composition of constructs.

Build your personal library over your lifetime.

Extended Example: Running a Maze

Background. Define a maze to be a square two-dimensional grid of cells separated (or not) from adjacent cells by walls. One can move between adjacent cells if and only if no wall divides them. A solid wall surrounds the entire grid of cells, so there is no escape from the maze.

Problem Statement. Write a program that inputs a maze, and outputs a direct path from the upper-left cell to the lower-right cell if such a path exists, or outputs "Unreachable" otherwise. A path is direct if it never visits any cell more than once.




1	2	3		
	5	4		
	6	7		
		8		
		9	10	11

Extended Example: Running a Maze

Background. Define a maze to be a square two-dimensional grid of cells separated (or not) from adjacent cells by walls. One can move between adjacent cells if and only if no wall divides them. A solid wall surrounds the entire grid of cells, so there is no escape from the maze.

Problem Statement. Write a program that inputs a maze, and outputs a direct path from the upper-left cell to the lower-right cell if such a path exists, or outputs "Unreachable" otherwise. A path is direct if it never visits any cell more than once.



1	2	3		
	5	4		
	6	7		
		8		
		9	10	11

Use Stepwise Refinement. Write simple code immediately, otherwise refine the problem statement using: (a) Sequential Refinement, (b) Case Analysis, (c) Iterative Refinement, (d) a known pattern.

Specify the goal

#.Find path in maze from upper-left to lower-right, if one exists.

Refine with an architecture

```
# Find path in maze from upper-left to lower-right, if one exists.
# ------
#.Input.
#.Compute.
#.Output.
```

Master stylized code patterns, and use them.

Refine with an architecture and elaborate

```
# Find path in maze from upper-left to lower-right, if one exists.
# ------
#.Input a maze of arbitrary size, or output "malformed input" and
# stop if the input is improper. Input format: TBD.
#.Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.
#.Output the direct path found, or "unreachable" if there is none.
# Output format: TBD.
```

Master stylized code patterns, and use them.

Ignore Input and Output, and focus on essence

```
# Find path in maze from upper-left to lower-right, if one exists.
# ------
#.Input a maze of arbitrary size, or output "malformed input" and
# stop if the input is improper. Input format: TBD.
#.Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.
#.Output the direct path found, or "unreachable" if there is none.
# Output format: TBD.
```


Ignore Input and Output, and focus on essence

#.Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

Investigate:

- Analyze first.
- Confirm your understanding of a programming problem with concrete examples. Elaborate the expected input/output mapping explicitly.
- There is no shame in reasoning with concrete examples.
- Simple examples may be as good (or better) than complicated ones for guiding you toward a solution.
- Seek algorithmic inspiration from experience. Hand-simulate an algorithm that is in your "wetware". Be introspective. Ask yourself: What am I doing?

Investigate:




Example 1


Begin with a (near) empty maze

1	2	3	4	5
				6
				7
				8
				9


Example 1


Traverse clockwise along the perimeter






Interpose a protruding wall. Continue excursion along it, pirouette to its other size, and continue.











Example 2 Example

Interpose a second protruding wall. Continue excursion along it (effectively backing out of a cul-de-sac), and continue.














Interpose a third protruding wall. Continue excursion along it (effectively backing out of a room-sized cul-de-sac), and continue.











Block access to lower-right cell. Continue excursion along bottom and left perimeter, and then stop in upper-left cell.

Return to code

#.Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

Return to code, and simplify

#.Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

Never be (very) lost. Don't stray far from a correct (albeit, partial) program.

Iterative Refinement:

```
# Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

while _____:
```

If you "smell a loop", write it down.

Iterative Refinement:


```
# Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.
____3___
while ____2__ :
___1___
```

Code iterations in the following order: (1) body, (2) termination, (3) initialization, (4) finalization, (5) boundary conditions.

The loop body


```
# Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.
___3__
while ___2__:
    __1___
```

Pick an example, and imagine running the program for a while




Example 1

Pick an example, and imagine running the program for a while




Example 1

Pick an example, and imagine running the program for a while



Example 1


Stop at an arbitrary moment



Example 1


Body. Do 1st. Play "musical chairs" and "stop the music".

Characterize the state




Facing a wall

Body. Do 1st. Play "musical chairs" and "stop the music". Characterize the "program state" when the music stops, i.e., at the instant the loop-body is about to execute yet again.



INVARIANT: Hand on wall


Body. Do 1st. Play "musical chairs" and "stop the music". Characterize the "program state" when the music stops, i.e., at the instant the loop-body is about to execute yet again. If you had stopped one iteration later, what would have looked the same (the "loop invariant"), and what would have changed (the "loop variant")?



INVARIANT: Hand on wall

VARIANT: Distance to goal


Body. Do 1st. Play "musical chairs" and "stop the music". Characterize the "program state" when the music stops, i.e., at the instant the loop-body is about to execute yet again. If you had stopped one iteration later, what would have looked the same (the "loop invariant"), and what would have changed (the "loop variant")?



INVARIANT: Hand on wall

VARIANT: Distance to goal


A Case Analysis in the loop body is often needed for characterizing different ways in which to decrease the loop variant while maintaining the loop invariant.



INVARIANT: Hand on wall

VARIANT: Distance to goal


Resume playing musical chairs, applying the transition rule



INVARIANT: Hand on wall

VARIANT: Distance to goal


Introduce a new transition rule when needed



INVARIANT: Hand on wall


VARIANT: Distance to goal

- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow$




Example 1

- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rightarrow$




Example 1

- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rightarrow$



Transition rules


- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rightarrow$




Example 1

- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad$

Try another example







Transition rules

- $(2) \quad \uparrow \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow$


Try another example, and introduce a new transition rule when needed




















Transition rules














Example 2














Transition rules














Example 2









Try another example, and see that the three transition rules suffice









Transition rules










Try another example, and see that the three transition rules get you far











Numbering reflects the direct path










until a fourth rule is needed











Transition rules













Resume











Transition rules













Resume












- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad$


- (3)
- (4) → →

Resume











Transition rules


- $(2) \quad \uparrow \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad$


- (3)
- (4) → →

Resume, and go all the way












Transition rules














And yet another example













Numbering reflects the direct path

Transition rules









The loop body: One case for each transition rule

The loop body: One case for each transition rule, but they are too complex.

```
# Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

while _____:
    if _____:
    elif _____:
    elif _____:
    else: _____
```


The loop body: One case for each transition rule, but they are too complex.


For example: (1) ↑ # Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists. while **if** two-colinear-walls-not-separated-by-a-perpendicular-wall: #.sidestep. elif elif else:

Idea: Implement coarse-grain transition steps with micro-operations











Idea: Implement coarse-grain transition steps with micro-operations

Turn 90°clockwise Step forward and turn 90°counterclockwise











Idea: Implement coarse-grain transition steps with micro-operations

Turn 90°clockwise Step forward and turn 90°counterclockwise



 $(2) \qquad \uparrow \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow$





new INVARIANT: Hand on wall or door

new **VARIANT:** Number of wall segments or doors to goal

The loop body: Now only two simpler cases to consider.

```
# Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

while _____:
    if facing-wall:
        #.Turn 90° clockwise.

else:
        #.Step forward.
        #.Turn 90° counterclockwise.
```

new **INVARIANT**: Hand on wall or door

new **VARIANT:** Number of wall segments or doors to goal

Iteration: (2) termination

```
# Compute a direct path through the maze, if one exists.

while not(in-lower-right) and not(in-upper-left-about-to-cycle):
    if facing-wall:
        #.Turn 90° clockwise.
    else:
        #.Step forward.
        #.Turn 90° counterclockwise.
```

Iteration: (3) initialization

Iteration: Correctness relies on subtle problem constraints

Iteration: Correctness relies on subtle problem constraints

1	8	7	
2		6	
3	4	5	

	5	4	3		
	6	1	2		
	7	8			
•					

1	2	3	4	5
16				6
15				7
14				8
13	12	11	10	9

If started facing down, not up

If outer wall not solid

If cheese could be in interior cell

Iteration: (4) finalization (nothing to do)

The core algorithm is in hand