


NBA 600
Digital Goods
 Class 5, Wed 10/31
 (originally Mon 10/29)

Prof. Dan Huttenlocher

Administrative


- Project proposals due
- Quiz 1 graded
- Short paper assignment, due 11/14
 - Originally due 11/12
- Make-up class session
 - Tuesday Nov 6?



2

Quiz 1 Main Points


- Fedex and Internet
 - At first enabled them to broaden their strategy of sharing info with customers to retail arena, replacing lost document shipping business
 - Then became easier for competitors to copy because unique private network no longer advantage
- Metcalfe's law
 - For n nodes value is n^2-n , or proportional to number of links rather than nodes



3

Quiz 1 Cont'd


- Walmart use of IT
 - Spend where it is strategic, and tightly manage costs everywhere else
 - Strategic in supply chain because with their scale they can lock-in suppliers in ways competitors cannot
- Power law vs. normal distribution
 - Normal distribution, majority near middle
 - Power law distribution, vast majority at extreme (low end)



4

Information Goods


- What are information goods?
 - Text: books, periodicals
 - Images: photos, movies
 - Sound: music
 - Structured data: directories
- Also often called digital goods
- Distinguishing goods from services
 - Distributed to the consumer versus provided per interaction
 - Web search, Tivo?



5

Economics of Information Goods

- Producing first copy is generally sunk cost
 - Not recoverable if production halted
 - In contrast, for other capital investment can often recover some costs by selling
- If additional copies are cheap for anyone to produce then hard to recover cost
 - Physical copies provide some barriers
 - Centralized facilities (e.g., presses)
 - Degradation of (analog) copies
- Information goods are experiential
 - Need to expose customers to build market



6

Contradictions of Information Goods

- Natural to want to protect such goods against copying
 - Marginal cost of producing additional copy is small
 - Near zero for digital network distribution
 - Regardless of number of copies
 - Control who can make copies (supply) to make business profitable
- But can conflict with need to distribute widely to encourage sales via experience
 - E.g., music business, payment for radio play

Some Cautionary Tales

- Content that is relatively easy for anyone to re-create from scratch
 - E.g., listings, directories
- Content that is more difficult to create
 - Substitutes – understanding why people buy
 - E.g., Encarta (CD) vs. Britannica (print)
 - What acts as substitute
 - Illicit copying
 - E.g., Music, movies
 - Protection vs. customer convenience
 - Exposure/experience

NYNEX and Directory Listings

- 1986 NYNEX CD of NY area phone listings
 - Sold for \$10k a copy
- Executive saw big opportunity, started own firm – Pro CD
 - NYNEX refused to grant license
 - Hired low paid workers to type all entries in
 - Sold CD's for hundreds of dollars each
- Others saw profit opportunity
 - Low barrier to entry
 - More suppliers than demand, with sunk costs
 - Quickly drove price to marginal cost – near zero

Encyclopedia Britannica

- Barriers to entry in late 1980's
 - High: lots of work required to create content
 - Creative work protected by copyright
- CD encyclopedias appeared in early '90's
 - Microsoft purchased third rate Funk & Wagnall to create Encarta in 1992
 - Sold for around \$70
 - Printed copies sold for \$1500-\$2200 a set
 - In 1990 generated about \$650M revenue
- Britannica viewed these as "toys"
 - But consumers used them as substitutes

Britannica's Fall

- Revenue fell by over half in a few years
 - Clear that CD's were serious threat
- Management did little – classic case of?
 - Channel conflict: sales force remained powerful
 - CD's would not generate \$100's in commissions
 - Offered CD as supplement to printed version
 - Sold CD alone for \$1,000, but no buyers
- In 1996 company was sold
 - Now offers CD as well as online service
 - Superior quality allows price premium over competitors, but price only about \$50/copy

What was Britannica Selling?

- Parental fears of not providing best for their students
 - Own studies showed encyclopedia use was low after initial novelty
- Did PC's become substitute for parents "doing best for their child"?
 - Similar price points in 1990's
 - Now considerably lower
- Encyclopedia CD just one of many added bonuses to PC?

Change in Encyclopedia Industry

- Total revenue now fraction of 1990
- What if Britannica had aggressively competed with \$100 CD product?
 - “Defensive” introduction
 - Vs. \$70 for lower quality Encarta
 - Better yet led the way
 - Before Microsoft bought Funk & Wagnall
- Are CD encyclopedias following print?
 - Largely given away
 - To what degree is Web search a replacement?

Versioning Information Goods

- Multiple versions priced for different segments of the market
 - Long pre-dates digital era, but now more opportunities for versioning
- Distinguished by content or packaging
 - Common for many goods, not just information
- Differences between versions
 - Features or functionality
 - Delaying introduction
 - Hardcover then later paperback books
 - Film release, then video, then television

More on Versioning

- Uses of reverse delay
 - NYT audience prefers to read printed version and to search online
 - So charge for search but not reading online
 - In contrast to WSJ which charges for all online access
 - Differences in audience and content from NYT
- Reduced value versions
 - With digital content often can degrade value
 - Less features, lower resolution, slower
 - Sometimes lower value has higher production cost, e.g. Wolfram research

Number of Versions

- Usually have at least three for software
 - Low-priced: focus on price over functionality
 - E.g., personal, essentials,
 - Mid-priced: balance of two
 - High-priced: for those who want all functions
 - E.g., professional
- Majority will avoid extremes
 - With three choices about half generally buy mid-priced version
 - Example: Quicken (name inflation)
 - Deluxe, Premier, Home & Business

Logic of Free Version

- For physical goods, generally too expensive to give away for free
 - Marginal cost is not low
- For digital goods, marginal cost near zero and experience important
 - Build interest and market by giving away
- Free versions (or cheaper ones)
 - Limited functionality or time period
 - No support
 - Limited use: personal or educational only

Strategic Uses of Free Version

- Building awareness – “advertising”
 - E.g., demo games with limited levels
- Gaining follow-on service/support sales
 - E.g., Linux companies, McAfee
- Creating network (critical mass of users)
 - E.g., Adobe PDF no value until widely used
- Attracting audience
 - Advertising model
- Gaining competitive advantage
 - E.g., IE over Netscape

Generality of Limited Use Versions

- Works less well for consumer than corporate goods
 - Individuals harder to police than companies
- Software copy protection abandoned in 1980's recently was tried again
 - E.g., Intuit TurboTax
 - Considerable negative reaction from customers
 - Important to understand goal of protection
 - Generally can be circumvented by experts
 - Limiting casual sharing – hard to distinguish legitimate and illegitimate uses

In the Internet Age

- Effect of Internet on digital goods
 - Cheap (at least marginal cost) distribution not only production
 - E.g., copying a CD vs. downloading a file
 - Larger opportunity to cheaply reach potential audience
 - E.g., no payment for access to limited radio stations
 - Potential increased ease-of-use for consumers
 - Remote sharing (online communities)
 - Multiple devices

Understanding Value of Digital Goods

- Digital goods largely used for activities that are hard to measure
 - Entertainment
 - Communication
 - Education
- Leisure activities may often substitute for one another
 - E.g., video games vs. tv, cell phones vs. music
- Particularly important to characterize true source of value

Goods vs. Services

- Some information well suited to being provided as a service
- Can be less susceptible to unwanted copying
 - Consumer/user must interact with provider
 - E.g., software as service, Salesforce.com
- Potential difficulties moving to service models only to protect content
 - Some things consumers expect to “own”
 - E.g., music services versus own copies

Next Time

- Music industry, video industry (movies and tv)