

Strategizing against no-regret learners

seller is strategizing
buyers are no-regret learners

Buyer values

$\frac{1}{4}$ prob $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ — " $\frac{1}{4}$

1 — " — $\frac{1}{4}$

best price, repeat T times

$p \sim 1$ revenue $\sim T/4 = \frac{T}{4} \cdot 1$

$p \sim \frac{1}{2}$ — " — $T/4 = \frac{T}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$

$p \sim \frac{1}{4}$ — " — $T/4 = T \cdot \frac{1}{4}$

if buyer are mean based
no-regret player seller can do better

"Auction" — buyer ask for item yes/no

yes \rightarrow get item price $[0, 1]$ will
be given later

time $1, \dots, T$

fst $T/2$ item price = 0

then price = 1

follow the leader learners after $T/2 + x$ step

| value | no | yes |
|---------------|----|---|
| 1 | 0 | $\frac{T}{2} + 0 \cdot x$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{T}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} - x \cdot \frac{1}{2} \geq 0 \text{ if } x \leq \frac{T}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | $\frac{T}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} - x \cdot \frac{3}{4} \geq 0 \text{ if } x \leq \frac{T}{6}$ |

Revenue

$$\frac{T}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{T}{4} + \underbrace{\frac{T}{12}}_{\text{extra}}$$

| | L | M | R |
|---|---------------|----|---|
| U | ε | -1 | 0 |
| D | -1 | +1 | 0 |

no-regret players $\sim L, \dots, L, R, R, \dots, R$

Recall CE & CCE

correlated eq

coarse C.E.

advisor = correlator

tells you what to play

no-regret
learning outcome

playing $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ on (U, L) & (D, R) is no-regret

but not a CE

instead of R you prefer U

better learning guarantee

swep - regret:

$$S\text{-Reg} = \max_{b, b'} \sum_{t: b^t = b'} u^+(b') - \sum_{t: b^t = b} u^+(b)$$

Theorem: player has no-swap regret
in 2-person game & opponent can
only get Stackelberg value

Proof:

$$a_1, \dots, a_T \quad b_1, \dots, b_T$$

say player 2 has no-swap regret.

We know $\forall b$

$$\sum_{t: b_t = b} u_2(a_t, b_t) \geq \sum_{t: b_t = b} u_2(a_t, b') \quad \forall b'$$

prob player 1:

$$\alpha^b(a) \text{ \# prob} = \frac{\# \text{ time } a_t = a \text{ \& } b_t = b}{\# \text{ times } b_t = b}$$

player 2's best response is b

as leader player 1 can get

$$V^* \geq \sum_{t: b_t = b} u_1(a_t, b) \cdot \frac{T}{\#(\text{times } b_t = b)}$$

$V = \max$ Stackelberg value

to bound total value for player 1

$$\sum_{t=1}^T u_1(a_t, b_t) = \sum_b \sum_{t: b^t = b} u_1(a_t, b_t)$$

$$\leq \frac{V}{T} \sum_b \#(\text{times } b_t = b) = V$$