## Lecture 32 Chistov's Algorithm

Many important computational problems in algebra (such as the solution of polynomial equations) depend strongly on basic algorithms in linear algebra. In turn, many problems in linear algebra reduce to the computation of the rank of a matrix. This problem thus occupies a central position in computational algebra. $N C$ algorithms for matrix rank were given by Ibarra, Moran, and Rosier in 1980 for matrices over the complex numbers [53] and over general fields in 1986 by Mulmuley [82]. We will devote a future lecture to this topic, but for now we lay the groundwork by showing how to calculate the characteristic polynomial of a matrix over an arbitrary field in $N C$.

The major limitation of Csanky's algorithm for computing the characteristic polynomial of a matrix is that it does not work in all fields, since it involves a division by $k$ in (39). This won't be possible for example if the field is $\mathcal{Z}_{p}$ and $k$ is a multiple of $p$. Berkowitz [11] and Chistov [18] gave the first deterministic $N C$ algorithms for computing characteristic polynomials over arbitrary fields. Here we present Chistov's method [18].

Recall that the characteristic polynomial of $A$, denoted $\chi_{A}(x)$, is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{A}(x) & =\operatorname{det}(x I-A) \\
& =x^{n}-s_{1} x^{n-1}+s_{2} x^{n-2}-\cdots \pm s_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will compute the polynomial that has the same coefficients, but in reverse
order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{n} \chi_{A}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & =1-s_{1} x+s_{2} x^{2}+\cdots \pm s_{n} x^{n} \\
& =\operatorname{det}(I-x A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $B=I-x A$ and let $B_{m}$ denote the $m \times m$ submatrix in the lower right corner of $B$ :


Let $A_{m}$ be defined in the same way from $A$. Then $B_{m}=I_{m}-x A_{m}$. Define $\Delta_{m}=\operatorname{det} B_{m}$.

Cramer's rule gives a useful formula for the inverse of a matrix $C$ in terms of determinants of its submatrices:

$$
C_{i j}^{-1}=(-1)^{i+j} \frac{\operatorname{det} \bar{C}_{j i}}{\operatorname{det} C}
$$

where $\bar{C}_{j i}$ denotes the submatrix obtained from $C$ by removing the $j^{\text {th }}$ row and $i^{\text {th }}$ column. Applying Cramer's rule, we get

$$
\left(B_{m}^{-1}\right)_{11}=\frac{\Delta_{m-1}}{\Delta_{m}}
$$

But wait, this is all a bit suspicious, since $B_{m}$ and $\Delta_{m}$ contain the indeterminate $x$. How can we invert a matrix with indeterminates? To make sense of this, we have to work in the field of rational functions over the base field $k$. This will let us divide by polynomials. The rational functions over $k$ are the formal fractions

$$
k(x)=\left\{\left.\frac{p}{q} \right\rvert\, p, q \in k[x], q \neq 0\right\}
$$

or more accurately, the equivalence classes of such fractions obtained by identifying $p_{1} / q_{1}$ and $p_{2} / q_{2}$ if $p_{1} q_{2}=p_{2} q_{1}$. This construction is $100 \%$ analogous to the construction of the rational numbers from the integers.

Using the formal power series expansion of rational functions, the inverse of $B_{m}$ can be expressed as an infinite formal sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}^{-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} A_{m}^{i} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

To convince yourself that this works, multiply (41) by $B_{m}=I_{m}-x A_{m}$. The expression (41) denotes a matrix of rational functions, because $B_{m}$ is invertible as a linear map over the field $k(x)$ : its determinant is $\Delta_{m} \neq 0$, as can be seen by evaluating at $x=0$.

We can express $1 / \Delta_{n}$, the determinant of $B_{n}^{-1}$, as a telescoping product like this:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\Delta_{n}} & =\frac{\Delta_{n-1}}{\Delta_{n}} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{n-2}}{\Delta_{n-1}} \cdots \frac{\Delta_{0}}{\Delta_{1}} \\
& =\left(B_{n}^{-1}\right)_{11} \cdot\left(B_{n-1}^{-1}\right)_{11} \cdots\left(B_{1}^{-1}\right)_{11} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} A_{n}^{i}\right)_{11} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} A_{n-1}^{i}\right)_{11} \cdots\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} A_{1}^{i}\right)_{11}  \tag{42}\\
& =1-x H(x) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is a humongous power series. The last step is justified by observing that the constant coefficients of all the factors in (42) are 1, therefore the constant coefficient of (43) is 1 . Now recall that the polynomial we were originally looking for was $\Delta_{n}$, which is the inverse of (43). We can therefore express $\Delta_{n}$ as a power series in terms of $H(x)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n} & =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} H(x)^{i} \\
& =1-s_{1} x+s_{2} x^{2}+\cdots \pm s_{n} x^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we know that the power series is a polynomial, so that all coefficients are zero after a certain point. Thus, despite all the infinite power series we have been using, all the terms after $x^{n}$ vanish in the result. Therefore if we do all the calculations $\bmod x^{n+1}$, and take only the first $n+1$ terms of each series, we will still get the same answer.

This can be turned into a fast parallel algorithm, and since it involves no divisions, it will work in arbitrary fields.

### 32.1 The Characteristic Polynomial and Matrix Rank

The significance of the characteristic polynomial in matrix rank calculations is summed up in the following key lemma.

Lemma 32.1 Let $B$ be a square matrix over a field. If rank $B=\operatorname{rank} B^{2}$, then $\operatorname{rank} B=n-k$, where $x^{k}$ is the highest power of $x$ that divides the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{B}(x)$.

This lemma allows us to calculate the rank of a matrix by calculating its characteristic polynomial, provided its square has the same rank. A proper proof of this lemma would span a good portion of a first course in linear
algebra, including Jordan canonical form and the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, so it is a bit beyond our scope. Nevertheless, here it is in a nutshell.

When an $n \times n$ matrix $B$ acts as a linear map on the vector space $k^{n}$, some vectors may be annihilated. These form a linear subspace called the kernel of $B$ and denoted ker $B$. The dimension of this subspace is $n-\operatorname{rank} B$. Vectors that are not annihilated by $B$ get mapped around, and some may be mapped into the kernel, so that if the space is hit with $B$ a second time, those vectors will be wiped out. The proviso rank $B^{2}=\operatorname{rank} B$ in Lemma 32.1 says that this does not happen. In other words, if a vector is ever going to be wiped out by some power of $B$, then it is already wiped out by $B$. For any $B$, the degree of the highest power of $x$ that divides the characteristic polynomial of $B$ is the dimension of the subspace of all vectors that ever get wiped out by some power of $B$. Thus if rank $B^{2}=\operatorname{rank} B$, then this subspace is just the kernel of $B$, and its dimension is $n-\operatorname{rank} B$.

The key property here is that the degree of the highest power of $x$ that divides $\chi_{B}$ is the dimension of the subspace of all vectors that ever get wiped out by some power of $B$. Let's give this subspace a name:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{0} & =\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ker} B^{i} \\
& =\operatorname{ker} B^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equation follows from the fact that the subspaces ker $B^{i}$ are ordered by inclusion, ker $B^{i}=n-\operatorname{dimim} B^{i}\left(\operatorname{im} B^{i}\right.$ denotes the image of the whole space under the map $B^{i}$ ), and the image can only shrink in dimension $n$ times before it disappears completely.

Another way of stating our key property is that $\operatorname{dim} E_{0}$ is the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of $B$. More generally, for each eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $B$, we can define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\lambda} & =\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ker}(\lambda I-B)^{i} \\
& =\operatorname{ker}(\lambda I-B)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The subspace $E_{\lambda}$ is called the generalized eigenspace of $\lambda$, and consists of all vectors of $k^{n}$ that are annihilated by some power of the matrix $\lambda I-B$. The kernel of $\lambda I-B$ is called the eigenspace of $\lambda$.

Two nice things about the subspaces $E_{\lambda}$ are that
(i) they are setwise invariant under the action of any matrix of the form $\mu I-B$; and
(ii) every vector can be represented uniquely as a sum of vectors, one from each generalized eigenspace.

Property (i) says that hitting the subspace $E_{\lambda}$ repeatedly with the matrix $\lambda I-B$ does not move any vector outside of $E_{\lambda}$, but keeps shrinking it until it finally disappears; and if $\mu \neq \lambda$, then $\mu I-B$ is a bijection on $E_{\lambda}$. Property (ii) says that $k^{n}$ is the direct sum of the subspaces $E_{\lambda}$; in symbols,

$$
k^{n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} E_{\lambda}
$$

where $\cong$ denotes isomorphism of vector spaces and $\oplus$ denotes direct sum.
Now pick a new basis consisting of vectors in the subspaces $E_{\lambda}$. Under the change of basis, because of property (i), $B$ becomes block diagonal with a block for each eigenvalue $\lambda$. (Judicious choice of these basis elements will even give us Jordan canonical form, with eigenvalues on the diagonal, 1's and 0's on the off-diagonal just above, and 0's elsewhere). The size of the block corresponding to $\lambda$ is the dimension of $E_{\lambda}$. The change of basis is effected by a similarity transformation $B \mapsto U^{-1} B U$, which does not change the characteristic polynomial:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(x I-U^{-1} B U\right) & =\operatorname{det} U^{-1}(x I-B) U \\
& =\operatorname{det} U^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{det}(x I-B) \cdot \operatorname{det} U \\
& =\operatorname{det}(x I-B)
\end{aligned}
$$

But the characteristic polynomial of a block diagonal matrix is the product of the characteristic polynomials of the blocks, which are $(x-\lambda)^{\operatorname{dim} E_{\lambda}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{B}(x)=\prod_{\lambda}(x-\lambda)^{\operatorname{dim} E_{\lambda}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the eigenvalues is 0 (i.e., if $B$ has a nontrivial kernel), then $x^{\operatorname{dim} E_{0}}$ and no higher power of $x$ will divide $\chi_{B}$. This is what we wanted to show.

This conclusion also leads to an understanding of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem: every matrix satisfies its characteristic equation. From (44) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{B}(B) & =\prod_{\lambda}(B-\lambda I)^{\operatorname{dim} E_{\lambda}} \\
& = \pm \prod_{\lambda}(\lambda I-B)^{\operatorname{dim} E_{\lambda}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applied to the whole space $k^{n}$, the factor

$$
(\lambda I-B)^{\operatorname{dim} E_{\lambda}}
$$

wipes out $E_{\lambda}$ and fixes the other generalized eigenspaces setwise. Applying $\chi_{B}(B)$ to $k^{n}$ applies these factors for each eigenvalue $\lambda$ in succession, which successively wipe out all the $E_{\lambda}$, leaving nothing. Thus $\chi_{B}(B)$ is the zero matrix.

