

17 Sep 2021

Matchings and Determinants, Part II

RECAP. $G = (L, R, E)$ bipartite

$$|L| = |R| = n$$

$B = n \times n$ matrix of formal variables

$$B_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij} & \text{if } (i,j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

$\det(B) \neq 0$ iff G has a perfect matching

Schwartz-Zippel Lemma.

If $P(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is a nonzero polynomial with coefficients in a field \mathbb{F} and x_1, \dots, x_m are sampled indep at random from $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|S| = s$,

$$\Pr(P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0) \leq \frac{md}{s}$$

where d is the max exponent of any variable in any monomial.

Proof Induction on m .

Base case: $m=0$.

P is a nonzero constant, so

$$\Pr(P=0) = 0, \quad \checkmark$$

Induction step: $m > 0$.

(c.s.d)

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^c Q_i(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \cdot x_m^i$$

If $P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ one of two things must happen.

$$\textcircled{1} Q_c(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = 0$$

Prob $\leq \frac{(m-1)d}{5}$ by induct hyp.

$$\textcircled{2} Q_c(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \neq 0 \text{ but}$$

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) = 0,$$

Then x_m is one of the roots of

$$R(x) = \sum_{i=0}^c Q_i(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \cdot x^i$$

This equation has $\leq c$ solutions

So $\Pr(x_m \text{ is among the roots}) \leq \frac{c}{5} \leq \frac{d}{5}$

Exercise: What goes wrong in the proof if the polynomial P is defined over a ring rather than a field?

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_2 x_3$$

in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/(8)[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

Sample x_1, x_2, x_3 unif from $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$

Def. Let ω be the smallest constant such that two $n \times n$ matrices can be multiplied in time $O(n^{\omega+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

For remainder of lecture $O^*(n^\omega)$ denotes " $O(n^{\omega+\epsilon}) \forall \epsilon > 0$."

Deciding if $\det(A) \neq 0$ is $O^*(n^\omega)$.

First obs: $\det(A) \neq 0 \iff \det(A^T A) \neq 0$.

From now on, C is a symmetric
semidefinite matrix,

Attempt to invert C ,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2} \\ \text{square blocks.} \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ -C_{21}C_{11}^{-1} & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ 0 & C_{22} - C_{21}C_{11}^{-1}C_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ -C_{21}C_{11}^{-1} & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & -C_{11}^{-1}C_{12} \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{22} - C_{21}C_{11}^{-1}C_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

$T(n)$ = time to invert SPD matrix

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O^*(n^\omega) \\ &= O^*(n^\omega). \end{aligned}$$

$$2 \leq \omega < 2.373$$

Example algorithmic applications:

① Triangle detection in $O^*(n^3)$ time.

② k -clique detection in

$$O^*\left(\binom{n}{k/3}^3\right) \leq O^*(n^{(k/3) \cdot 3}) \\ < O(n^{0.8k}).$$

Mucha & Sankowski (2006) improved on Lovasz's algorithm to be able to solve the perfect matching search problem in randomized $O^*(n^w)$ time.

Fact: \exists perfect matching containing edge (i,j)
 \Leftrightarrow $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{the } (j,i) \text{ entry of } B^{-1} \\ \text{is nonzero. and } B_{ij} \neq 0 \end{array} \right]$

Proof. $(B^{-1})_{ji} = \pm \frac{\det(B \text{ with row } i, \text{ col } j \text{ deleted})}{\det(B)}$