

1 Review

Recall that for $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ and $i \in [n]$, the *influence* of coordinate i on f is defined as

$$I_i(f) = \Pr_{x \sim \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus i})] \quad (1)$$

where $x^{\oplus i}$ indicates the vector $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, -x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$. The *total influence* of f is defined as

$$I(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_i(f).$$

Recall the (n -dimensional) *Hamming cube* H_n , defined to be the graph with vertex set $\{-1, 1\}^n$ and edge set

$$E = \{(x, y) : \Delta(x, y) = 1\}.$$

For $b \in \{-1, 1\}$, define

$$A_b = \{x \in \{-1, 1\}^n : f(x) = b\}.$$

The *cut* between A_1 and A_{-1} is defined to be the set

$$\text{Cut}(A_1, A_{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in E : x \in A_1 \text{ and } y \in A_{-1}\}.$$

It was previously shown that

$$I(f) = n \frac{|\text{Cut}(A_1, A_{-1})|}{|E|} = \frac{|\text{Cut}(A_1, A_{-1})|}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Example 1.1. We determine the total influence of the *AND* function. Recall that

$$\text{AND}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x_i = -1 \text{ for all } i \in [n] \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So $\text{Cut}(A_1, A_{-1})$ consists of the edges of H_n incident to the vertex corresponding to the vector with each entry equal to -1 . There are n such edges, so

$$I(\text{AND}) = \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Recall that for $i \in [n]$, the *i th (discrete) derivative operator* D_i maps $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the function $D_i f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$D_i f(x) = \frac{f(x^{i \rightarrow 1}) - f(x^{i \rightarrow -1})}{2}$$

where $x^{i \rightarrow b}$ indicates the vector $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, b, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

2 Analytic expressions for influence

Definition 2.1. For $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $i \in [n]$, the influence of coordinate i on f is defined as

$$I_i(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1, 1\}^n} [D_i f(x)^2] = \|D_i f\|_2^2.$$

It was previously shown that Definition 2.1 generalizes Equation (1) for $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

Proposition 2.2. For $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $i \in [n]$,

$$D_i f(x) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}}.$$

Proof. For $i \in [n]$ and $S \subseteq [n]$ we have

$$x^S = \prod_{j \in S} x_j = \begin{cases} x_i x^{S - \{i\}} & \text{if } i \in S \\ x^S & \text{if } i \notin S \end{cases}.$$

Below, it is assumed that we are summing over $S \subseteq [n]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{i \rightarrow 1}) &= \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow 1})^S + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow 1})^S \\ &= \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow 1})^{S - \{i\}} + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow 1})^S \\ &= \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}} + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^S \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{i \rightarrow -1}) &= \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow -1})^S + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow -1})^S \\ &= - \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow -1})^{S - \{i\}} + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) (x^{i \rightarrow -1})^S \\ &= - \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}} + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^S \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} D_i f(x) &= \frac{f(x^{i \rightarrow 1}) - f(x^{i \rightarrow -1})}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}} + \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^S + \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}} - \sum_{S \not\ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^S \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{S \ni i} \hat{f}(S) x^{S - \{i\}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.3. For $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $i \in [n]$,

$$I_i(f) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S)^2.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_i(f) &= \|D_i f\|_2^2 \\ &= \langle D_i f, D_i f \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S) x^{S-\{i\}}, \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq [n] \\ T \ni i}} \hat{f}(T) x^{T-\{i\}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S) \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq [n] \\ T \ni i}} \hat{f}(T) \langle x^{S-\{i\}}, x^{T-\{i\}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S) \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq [n] \\ T \ni i}} \hat{f}(T) \delta_{S,T} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(S) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with the third line by Proposition (2.2) and $\langle x^{S-\{i\}}, x^{T-\{i\}} \rangle = \delta_{S,T}$ by the orthonormality of the characters together with the fact that we are considering S and T with the same element i removed. \square

Corollary 2.4. For $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$I(f) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2.$$

Proof. We have

$$I(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_i(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \ni i}} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2$$

with the rightmost equality because each S contains $|S|$ indices and therefore appears $|S|$ times in the double sum. \square

3 Poincaré inequality

Theorem 3.1. For $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\text{Var}(f) \leq I(f)$.

Proof. Recall that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1, 1\}^n} [f^2] = \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

by Parseval's theorem, and

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f1] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f\chi_\emptyset] = \langle f, \chi_\emptyset \rangle = \hat{f}(\emptyset)$$

where 1 denotes the identity function and χ_S denotes the indicator function of a set $S \subseteq [n]$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(f) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f^2] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f]^2 \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 - \hat{f}(\emptyset)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \\ &= I(f) \end{aligned}$$

with the inequality because $|S| \geq 1$ for $S \neq \emptyset$, and the final equality by Corollary (2.4). \square

4 Influential coordinates for balanced functions

Call $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ *balanced* if $\mathbb{E}[f] = 0$ and relax this to allow $\text{Var}(f) = \Omega(1)$. In this case, the Poincaré inequality implies that there exists some $i \in [n]$ such that $I_i(f) = \Omega(1/n)$. This motivates the following question.

Question 1. *Does there exist a balanced function f with $\max_i \{I_i(f)\} = O(1/n)$?*

It was previously shown that

$$\max_i \{I_i(\text{MAJORITY})\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

and

$$\max_i \{I_i(\text{PARITY})\} = 1.$$

Definition 4.1. *Given $\ell, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the function **TRIBES**: $\{-1, 1\}^{\ell b} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is an OR of ANDs on $n = \ell b$ variables $\{x_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq b}$ defined by*

$$\text{TRIBES}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1b}, \dots, x_{\ell 1}, \dots, x_{\ell b}) = (x_{11} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{1b}) \vee \dots \vee (x_{\ell 1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\ell b}).$$

Example 4.2. *We determine the maximal influence of the **TRIBES** function. The function is symmetric, so it suffices to find the influence of the first variable x_{11} . This variable is pivotal exactly when $x_{12} = \dots = x_{1b} = -1$ and the second through ℓ th AND gates equal 1^1 , so*

$$I_{11}(\text{TRIBES}) = \Pr_{x \sim \{-1,1\}^n} [f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus 11})] = \frac{1}{2^{b-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^b}\right)^{\ell-1}.$$

*The **TRIBES** function is roughly balanced for $b \approx \log n - \log \log n$. For such b , one can show that $I_{11} = O(\log n/n)$. So $\max_i \{I_i(\text{TRIBES})\} = O(\log n/n)$.*

The KKL theorem, to be stated and proved later, shows that the **TRIBES** example is tight up to a constant factor.

¹Informally, x_{11} is pivotal when each x_{12}, \dots, x_{1b} is “on” and the second through ℓ th AND gates are “off”. “On” and “off” for $x_{ij} \in \{-1, 1\}$ correspond to -1 and 1 , respectively.