CLASSIC SYSTEMS: UNIX AND THE


1

CS6410 Hakim Weatherspoon


- Background of authors at Bell Labs
 - Both won Turing Awards in 1983



- Dennis Ritchie
 - Key developer of The C Programming Lanuage, Unix, and Multics
- Ken Thompson
 - Key developer of the B programming lanuage, Unix, Multics, and Plan 9
 - Also QED, ed, UTF-8



System III & V family



- Classic system and paper
 - described almost entirely in 10 pages

🗆 Key idea

- elegant combination: a few concepts that fit together well
- Instead of a perfect specialized API for each kind of device or abstraction, the API is deliberately small

System features

- □ Time-sharing system
- Hierarchical file system
- Device-independent I/O
- □ Shell-based, tty user interface
- Filter-based, record-less processing paradigm

Major early innovations: "fork" system call for process creation, file I/O via a single subsystem, pipes, I/O redirection to support chains

Version 3 Unix

- □ 1969: Version 1 ran PDP-7
- □ 1971: Version 3 Ran on PDP-11's
 - Costing as little as \$40k!
- □ < 50 KB
- □ 2 man-years
 - to write
- □ Written in C



PDP-7



File System

- Ordinary files (uninterpreted)
- Directories (protected ordinary files)
- □ Special files (I/O)

Uniform I/O Model

- open, close, read, write, seek
 - Uniform calls eliminates differences between devices
 - Two categories of files: character (or byte) stream and block I/O, typically 512 bytes per block
- □ other system calls
 - close, status, chmod, mkdir, ln
- One way to "talk to the device" more directly
 - ioctl, a grab-bag of special functionality
- Iowest level data type is raw bytes, not "records"

Directories

- □ root directory
- □ path names
- □ rooted tree
- current working directory
- back link to parent
- multiple links to ordinary files

Special Files


□ Uniform I/O model


- Each device associated with at least one file
- But read or write of file results in activation of device
- Advantage: Uniform naming and protection model
 - File and device I/O are as similar as possible
 - File and device names have the same syntax and meaning, can pass as arguments to programs
 - Same protection mechanism as regular files

Removable File System

□ Tree-structured

- Mount'ed on an ordinary file
 - Mount replaces a leaf of the hierarchy tree (the ordinary file) by a whole new subtree (the hierarchy stored on the removable volume)
 - After mount, virtually no distinction between files on permanent media or removable media





(b)


Protection

- □ User-world, RWX bits
- □ set-user-id bit
- □ super user is just special user id

File System Implementation


System table of i-numbers (i-list)

- □ i-nodes
- path names
 (directory is just a special file!)
- mount table
- buffered data
- write-behind



I-node Table

- □ short, unique name that points at file info.
- □ allows simple & efficient fsck
- cannot handle accounting issues



Many devices fit the block model

- Disks
- □ Tape drives
- □ USB storage
- Early version of the ethernet interface was presented as a kind of block device (seek disabled)

But many devices used IOCTL operations heavily

Processes and images

- □ text, data & stack segments
- process swapping
- \Box pid = fork()
- pipes
- n exec(file, arg1, ..., argn)
- \Box pid = wait()
- exit(status)

Easy to create pipelines

- A "pipe" is a process-to-process data stream, could be implemented via bounded buffers, TCP, etc
- One process can write on a connection that another reads, allowing chains of commands

% cat *.txt | grep foo | wc

In combination with an easily programmable shell scripting model, very powerful!

The Shell

- □ cmd arg1 ... argn
- \Box stdio & I/O redirection
- □ filters & pipes
- multi-tasking from a single shell
- □ shell is just a program

- □ Trivial to implement in shell
 - Redirection, background processes, cmd files, etc

Traps

- Hardware interrupts
- Software signals
- Trap to system routine

Perspective

- □ Not designed to meet predefined objective
- Goal: create a comfortable environment to explore machine and operating system
- Other goals
 - Programmer convenience
 - Elegance of design
 - Self-maintaining

Perspective

- But had many problems too. Here are a few:
 - Weak, rather permissive security model
 - File names too short and file system damaged on crash
 - Didn't plan for threads and never supported them well
 - "Select" system call and handling of "signals" was ugly and out of character w.r.t. other features
 - Hard to add dynamic libraries (poor handling of processes with lots of "segments")
 - Shared memory and mapped files fit model poorly
- Imitations!

Even so, Unix has staying power!

23

Today's Linux systems are far more comprehensive yet the core simplicity of Unix API remains a very powerful force

Struggle to keep things simple has helped keep O/S developers from making the system specialized in every way, hard to understand

Even with modern extensions, Unix has a simplicity that contrasts with Windows .NET API... Win32 is really designed as an internal layer that libraries invoke, but that normal users never encounter. "THE"-Multiprogramming System Edsger W. Dijkstra

Received Turing Award in 1972



Contributions

Shortest Path Algorithm, Reverse Polish Notation, Bankers algorithm, semaphore's, self-stabilization

Known for disliking 'goto' statements and using computers!

"THE"-Multiprogramming System Edsger W. Dijkstra

- Never named "THE" system; instead, abbreviation for "Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven"
- Batch system (no human intervention) that supported multitasking (processes share CPU)
 - THE was not multiuser
- Introduced
 - software-based memory segmentation
 - Cooperating sequential processes
 - semaphores

Design

- Layered structure
 - Later Multics has layered structure, ring segmentation
- Layer 0 the scheduler
 - Allocated CPU to processes, accounted for blocked proc's
- □ Layer 1 the pager
- □ Layer 2 communication between OS and console
- Layer 3 managed I/O
- □ Layer 4 user programs
- \Box Layer 5 the user
 - "Not implemented by us"

Perspective

Layered approach

- Design small, well defined layers
- Higher layers dependent on lower ones
 - Helps prove correctness
 - Helps with debugging

Sequential process and Semaphores

Next Time

Read and write review for Tuesday, September 4:

Required Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. Nakamoto, Satoshi. Consulted 1.2012 (2008): 28
 http://nakamotoinstitute.org/bitcoin/

 Optional Bitcoin and Cryptocurrency Technologies: A Comprehensive Introduction. A. Narayanan, J. Bonneau, E. Felten, A. Miller, S. Goldfeder. Princeton University Press; 2016. Read Preamble, and Chapters 1 and 2.

https://d28rh4a8wq0iu5.cloudfront.net/bitcointech/readings/princeton_bitcoin_book.pdf

Optional Majority is not enough: Bitcoin mining is vulnerable. Eyal, Ittay, and Emin Gun Sirer. arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.0243 (2013). http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~ie53/publications/btcProcArXiv.pdf

Next Time

Read and write review for Thursday, September 6:

- Required The Design and Implementation of a Log-Structured File System, Mendel Rosenblum and Ousterhout. Proceedings of the thirteenth ACM symposium on Operating systems principles, October 1991, pages 1--15.On the duality of operating system structures, H. C. Lauer and R. M. Needham. ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review Volume 12, Issue 2 (April 1979), pages 3--19.
- Optional: A Fast File System for UNIX. Marshall K. McKusick, William N. Joy, Samuel J. Leffler, Robert S. Fabry. ACM TOCS 2(3), Aug 1984, pages 181--197.



□ Read and write review:

□ MPO – due tomorrow, Friday

Project Proposal due in a week and a half, Tuesday, September 11
 talk to me and other faculty and email and talk to me

Check website for updated schedule