

# CS 621: Final Exam

Monday, December 12, 2005

## Class Averages

Problem 1	15 points	10.8
Problem 2	20 points	11.6
Problem 3	20 points	16.8
Problem 4	15 points	7.6
Problem 5	15 points	6.8
Problem 6	15 points	12.3
	100 points	65.9

Rough grade Equivalents: A = 70-100, B = 55-69, C = 40-54

1. (a) (7 points) Complete the following function so that it performs as specified:

```
function [W Y] = Update(B,C,u,v)
% B and C are n-by-k matrices
% u and v are n-by-1 vectors
% W and Y are n-by-(k+1) matrices so that (I + W*Y') = (I + B*C')*(I + u*v')
```

How many flops does your implementation require assuming that  $n \gg k$ ?

See Problem 1 in Assignment 1. This is about block manipulation, working with low rank matrices.

If  $P = I + BC^T$  then

$$(I + BC^T)(I + uv^T) = I + BC^T + (Pu)v^T = I + [B \ Pu][C \ v]^T \equiv I + WY^T$$

and so

```
x = u + W*(Y'*u); % 4 point -3 if x = u + W*Y'*u as this is O(n^2 k)
W = [B x]; % 1 point
Y = [C v]; % 1 point
% 4nk flops % 1 point
```

Alternate solution: If  $P = I + uv^T$  then

$$(I + BC^T)(I + uv^T) = P + BC^T P = I + uv^T + B(P^T C)^T = I + [u \ B][v \ P^T C]^T \equiv I + WY^T$$

and so

```
C1 = C + v*(u'*C)*; % 4 points -3 if C1 = C + v*u'*C as this is O(n^3)
W = [u B]; % 1 point
Y = [v C1]; % 1 point
% 4nk flops % 1 point
```

(b) (8 points) Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  has rank  $n$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show how to use the SVD of  $A$  to compute  $\|x(\lambda)\|_2^2$  where  $x(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves the problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \lambda I_n \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

This is about substituting the SVD into a matrix equation and simplifying. Similar problems to this one came up when we talked about constrained least squares.

The easiest way to proceed is to substitute  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  into the normal equation characterization of the solution:

$$(A^T A + \lambda^2 I)x(\lambda) = A^T b \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\Sigma^T \Sigma + \lambda^2 I)y(\lambda) = \Sigma^T U^T b$$

where  $y(\lambda) = V^T x(\lambda)$ . It follows that

$$x(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\sigma_j U(:,j)^T b}{\sigma_j^2 + \lambda^2} V(:,j) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|x(\lambda)\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\sigma_j U(:,j)^T b}{\sigma_j^2 + \lambda^2} \right)^2$$

If you work with the original form you get this transformed problem

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \lambda I_n \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ \lambda V \end{bmatrix} V^T x - \begin{bmatrix} U^T b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ \lambda I_n \end{bmatrix} V^T x - \begin{bmatrix} U^T b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

where we multiplied the second block row by  $V^T$  to get the final form. 5 points for this. You then have to say how to get  $x(\lambda)$ . Here again, normal equations makes this easy. Or you can talk about an  $O(n)$  QR factorization of a block matrix that is diagonal-over-diagonal

2. (20 points) Recall that if  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  then  $[L,U,P] = \text{lu}(C)$  returns the factorization  $PC = LU$  where  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is unit lower triangular,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is upper triangular and  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a permutation matrix.

It is known that  $\mu$  is a non-repeated eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and that there is a unique  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that (i)  $Ax = \mu x$ , (ii)  $x_i > 0, i = 1:n$ , and (iii)  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  and a unique  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that (i)  $A^T y = \mu y$ ,  $y_i > 0, i = 1:n$ , and (iii)  $\|y\|_2 = 1$  Write a MATLAB function `[x,y] = TwoVecs(A,mu)` that computes  $x$  and  $y$ . Make effective use of `lu`. Ignore round-off. and be efficient.

*This problem is about working with the LU factorization  $PC = LU$ . This factorization always exists when we do partial pivoting—even if  $C$  is singular.*

Since  $P(A - \mu I) = LU$  is singular,  $U$  must have a zero on its diagonal. From

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & U_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & U_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -U_{11}^{-1}U_{12} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and the fact that  $Ux = 0 \Rightarrow Ax = 0$ , it follows from (ii) that  $U$  must have a single zero on its diagonal and it must be in the  $(n, n)$  position. (Otherwise, we would have an eigenvector with a zero bottom component. So how do you find  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U} & u \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Answer:  $z = -\tilde{U}^{-1}u$ . So here is how to compute  $x$ :

```
function [x,y] = TwoVecs(A,mu)
[n,n] = size(A);
[L,U,P] = lu(A -mu*eye(n,n));
z = -U(1:n-1,1:n-1)\U(1:n-1,n);
x = [z;1]; x = x/norm(x).
```

Note that  $(A - \mu I)^T P^T = U^T L^T$  and that  $e_n$  is a null vector for  $U^T$ . So if  $L^T w = e_n$ , then  $(A - \mu I)^T P^T w = 0$ . So here is how to get  $y$ :

```
w = [L(1:n-1,1:n-1)' \ L(n,1:n-1)'; 1];
y = P'*w;
y = y/norm(y);
```

Roughly 5 points for LU of  $A - \mu I$ , 5 points for reasoning about the zero of  $U$ 's diagonal, 5 points for getting  $x$ , and 5 points for using the transposed factorization to get  $y$ . If you worked with  $PA = LU$  you could get up

to half credit if you showed how to work with the transposed factorization and had other insights.

3. (a) (10 points) Assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & f^T \\ f & \text{diag}(d_2, \dots, d_n) \end{bmatrix} \quad f \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)}$$

is positive definite. Show how to determine

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ g & \Delta \end{bmatrix} \quad g \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)}$$

with  $\Delta = \text{diag}(\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$  so that  $A = GG^T$ .

*This Problem is about equating blocks in  $A = GG^T$ .*

- $\delta_1^2 = d_1$  so  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{d_1}$ .
- $g_i \delta_1 = f_i$  so  $g = f/\delta_1$ .
- $g_i^2 + \delta_i^2 = d_i$  so  $\delta(2:n) = \sqrt{d(2:n) - g.*g}$ .

This was worth 15 points. (Revised the 10-10 point split in this problem to 15-5.) Side note: There was a typo in the problem;  $\Delta$  is not diagonal, it is the Cholesky factor of  $D - gg^T$ . An earlier draft of this problem had you compute the Cholesky factorization of  $PAP^T$  where  $P$  is a permutation. Setting  $P =$  exchange matrix then gives the structured  $G$  of the problem. Full credit was given for working with the block comparisons assuming that  $\Delta$  was diagonal. The typo didn't seem to affect anyone's score. Indeed, for many students this was the highest scoring problem.

(b) (10 points) Given a unit 2-norm vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)}$ , show how the SVD can be used to determine  $c$  and  $s$  with  $c^2 + s^2 = 1$  so that the solution to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ g & \text{diag}(\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ sv \end{bmatrix}$$

has maximum 2-norm.

If

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ g & \Delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ sv \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c/\delta_1 \\ \Delta^{-1}(sv - cg/\delta_1) \end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix} c \\ s \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\delta_1 & 0 \\ -\Delta^{-1}g/\delta_1 & \Delta^{-1}v \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, if we compute the SVD of  $M = U\Sigma V^T$ , then

$$V(:, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ s \end{bmatrix}$$

4. (15 points) In each of the following  $\mathbf{u}$  designates the unit roundoff. Brief “order-of-magnitude” answers are expected.

(a) Assume that  $A$  is symmetric and positive definite. How in the worst case might the solution to  $Ax = b$  change if the entries in  $A$  are perturbed by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$ ?

Relative error in perturbed solution is about  $\mathbf{u}\kappa(A)$ . Positive definite systems *can be* ill conditioned.

(b) How in the worst case might the solution to the full rank least squares problem  $\min\|Ax - b\|_2$  change if the entries in  $A$  are perturbed by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$ ?

Relative error in perturbed solution is about  $\mathbf{u}(\kappa(A) + \rho_{LS}\kappa(A)^2)$ . Where  $\rho_{LS}$  is the norm of the minimum residual of the original problem.

(c) Assume that  $\lambda$  is a nonrepeated eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix  $A$ . How in the worst case might  $\lambda$  change if the entries in  $A$  are perturbed by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$ ?

If we perturb  $A$  by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$  then eigenvalues move no more than  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$ . The eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix are perfectly well conditioned.

(d) Assume that  $\lambda$  is a nonrepeated eigenvalue of an unsymmetric matrix  $A$ . How in the worst case might  $\lambda$  change if the entries in  $A$  are perturbed by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$ ?

If we perturb  $A$  by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$  then eigenvalues can move  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|/s)$  where  $s$  is the cosine of the angle between the left and right eigenvector—a possibly very small number.

(e) Assume that  $A$  has a unique minimum singular value. How in the worst case might the corresponding right singular vector change if the entries in  $A$  are perturbed by  $O(\mathbf{u}\|A\|)$ ?

The direction that  $V(:, n)$  points can change a lot if there isn't a sufficient gap between  $\sigma_{n-1}$  and  $\sigma_n$ . (Recall the test data for the TLS problem that was assigned.)

5. (15 points) Complete the following function so that it performs as specified.

```
function alpha = ConstrainedMax(A,u)
% A is an n-by-n symmetric matrix
% u is a unit 2-norm n-vector
% Let R be the Rayleigh quotient  $R(x) = (x'*A*x)/(x'*x)$ 
% alpha is the maximum value of  $R(x)$  subject to the constraint that  $x$  is orthogonal to  $u$ .
```

You may use `QR` and `schur`. Do not worry about efficiency in your implementation. But write a few sentences indicating how you would implement `ConstrainedMax` if  $A$  was large and sparse and efficiency *was* important.

Suppose  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}$  has orthonormal columns and  $\text{ran}(Q) = \text{span}\{u\}^\perp$ . If  $x \in \text{span}\{u\}^\perp$  then  $x = Qy$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)}$ . It follows that

$$R(x) = R(Qy) = (Qy)^T A(Qy) / (Qy)^T (Qy) = y^T (Q^T A Q) y / y^T y.$$

Thus, the optimum  $y$  is the largest eigenvector of  $Q^T A Q \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ . The easiest way to compute  $Q$  is to note that if  $P$  is a Householder matrix so  $Pu = e_1$ , then  $Q = P(:, 2:n)$ . But you are allowed to "say" this by using `qr`

```
[V,R] = qr(u)
P = V(:,2:n)           % 5 ppoints for getting a basis for the subspace
A0 = P'*A*P;
[U,D] = schur(A0)      % 5 points for solving the "reduced" eigen problem
alpha = max(diag(D));  % 3 points for knowing how to maximize a rayleigh quotient
```

For Lanczos, if the initial vector is  $u$ , then all subsequent  $q$ -vectors are orthogonal. If  $T$  is the tridiagonal after  $k$  steps, then the largest eigenvalue of  $T(2:k, 2:k)$  approximates the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $A0$  above. (2 points)

6. (a)(5 points) Suppose  $Q^T A Q = T$  is the Schur decomposition of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and that all of  $A$ 's eigenvalues are real. Explain how the columns of  $Q$  define a nested sequence of invariant subspaces.

Comparing columns in  $AQ = QT$  we see that

$$Aq_k = \sum_{i=1}^k t_{ik} q_i$$

Thus,  $Aq_1$  is a multiple of  $q_1$ .  $A$  times any vector in  $\text{span}\{q_1, q_2\}$  remains in that space.  $A$  times any vector in  $\text{span}\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$  remains in that space. etc. Thus  $\text{span}\{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$  is an invariant subspace for  $A$ ,  $k = 1:n$ .

(b)(10 points) Complete the following function so that it performs as specified

```
function [c,s] = ReOrder(T)
% T is a 2-by-2 upper triangular matrix.
% c^2 + s^2 = 1 with the property that if
%      S = [c s; -s c]'*T*[c s; -s c]
%
% then S is upper triangular with S(1,1) = T(2,2) and S(2,2) = T(1,1)
```

Your implementation should not make use of `schur`, `eig`, `qr` or `svd`. It must handle all possible  $T$  in a numerically reliable fashion.

```
% Get eigenvector associated with T(2,2)
x = [T(1,2) ; T(2,2)-T(1,1)]
% Determine c and s so [c s;-s c]'*x has a zero second component
% s*T(1,2) + c*(T(2,2)-T(1,1))...
if abs(T(1,2)) < abs(T(2,2)-T(1,1))
    mu = T(1,2)/(T(1,1)-T(2,2)); c = 1/sqrt(1 + mu^2); s = c*mu
else
    if abs(T(1,2))==0
        s = 1; c = 0;
    else
        mu = (T(1,1)-T(2,2))/T(1,2); s = 1/sqrt(1 + mu^2); c = mu*s;
    end
end
```