#### 1 Introduction

A fundamental limitation of CCS is that the communication structure of a process is fixed. For example, it is easy to show that the set  $\{\alpha \mid P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'\}$  is finite. The  $\pi$ -calculus is a similar, but more expressive calculus that addresses this deficiency.

# 2 Syntax

$$P ::= 0$$
 Inert
 $| x(y).P |$  Receive
 $| \overline{x}\langle y \rangle.P |$  Send
 $| P_1 | P_2 |$  Parallel composition
 $| \nu x. P |$  Restriction
 $| !P |$  Replication

Compared to CCS, note that instead of simply interacting on a named channel, we can now communicate channel names! In addition,  $\pi$ -calculus does not have summation or top-level definitions. Again, we work up to  $\alpha$  equivalence for restrictions.

## 3 Labeled Transition System

Structural congruence is defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} P|Q &\equiv Q|P\\ (P|Q)|R &\equiv P|(Q|R)P|0 \equiv P\\ \nu x. \ 0 &\equiv 0\\ \nu x. \ (P|Q) &\equiv (\nu x.P)|Q \text{ if } x \not\in \mathit{fv}(Q)\\ !P &\equiv !P|P \end{split}$$

Reduction is defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} \overline{x}\langle y \rangle. \ P|x(z).Q &\to P|Q\{y/z\} \\ \frac{P \to P'}{P|Q \to P'|Q} \\ \frac{P \to P'}{\nu x. \ P \to \nu x. \ P'} \\ P &\equiv Q \qquad Q \to Q' \qquad Q' \equiv P' \\ P' \to P' \end{split}$$

The definition of the labeled transition system is left as an exercise.

# 4 Programming in the $\pi$ -calculus

The rest of this lecture will explore how we can implement various programming constructs in  $\pi$ -calculus.

## 4.1 Polyadic Communication

Although  $\pi$ -calculus send/receive are unary, we can encode polyadic communication as follows:

$$\overline{l}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \triangleq \nu p. \ \overline{l}\langle p \rangle. \ \overline{p}\langle x_1 \rangle \dots \overline{p}\langle x_n \rangle 
l(y_1, \dots, y_n) \triangleq l(p). \ p(y_1) \dots p(y_n)$$

Intuitively, this encoding works by first creating a fresh channel name p, sending p along l, and then sending the actual names  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  along p. The use of a fresh channel ensures that multiple senders and receivers will not interfere with each other.

#### 4.2 Booleans

We can encode booleans as processes that receive names of t and f channels, and then send on the corresponding channel.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} True(b) & \triangleq & !b(t,f).\overline{t} \\ False(b) & \triangleq & !b(t,f).\overline{f} \\ Cond(P,Q)(b) & \triangleq & \nu t, f(\overline{b}\langle t,f\rangle.(t().P+f().Q)) \end{array}$$

Note that we put a ! in front of processes to turn them into servers create arbitrary numbers of the original process. This prevents their destruction after sending or receiving a message.

## 4.3 Internal Choice

Although  $\pi$ -calculus does not have summation, we can encode a limited form of "internal" choice:

$$P \oplus Q \triangleq \nu c. (\overline{c}\langle\rangle \mid c().P \mid c().Q)$$

## 4.4 References

We can also encode mutable references as processes.

$$\begin{array}{lll} Ref(r,w,i) & \triangleq & \nu l. \; \bar{l}\langle i \rangle \; | \; Read(l,r) \; | \; Write(l,r) \\ Read(l,r) & \triangleq & \nu l. \; !r(c). \; l(v).(\overline{c}\langle v \rangle \; | \; \bar{l}\langle v \rangle) \\ Write(l,w) & \triangleq & \nu l. \; !w(c,v'). \; l(v).(\overline{c}\langle i \rangle \; | \; \bar{l}\langle v' \rangle) \\ \end{array}$$

# 4.5 $\lambda$ -calculus

Finally, we can encode the  $\lambda$ -calculus into the  $\pi$ -calculus as follows:

$$\begin{split} & \llbracket x \rrbracket(p) & \triangleq & \overline{x} \langle p \rangle \\ & \llbracket \lambda x. e \rrbracket(p) & \triangleq & p(x,q). \llbracket e \rrbracket(q) \\ & \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket(p) & \triangleq & \nu q(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(q) \mid \nu y(\overline{q} \langle y, p \rangle \mid ! y(r). \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(r)) \end{split}$$

Note the similarity to continuation-passing style.