

05 Mesh Animation

Basic surface deformation methods

Blend shapes: make a mesh by combining several meshes

Mesh skinning: deform a mesh based on an underlying skeleton

Both use simple linear algebra



- Easy to implement—first thing to try
- Fast to run—used in games

The simplest tools in the offline animation toolbox

Blend shapes


Simply interpolate linearly among several key poses

- Aka. blend shapes or morph targets



[3D Studio Max example]

Blend shapes



Blend shapes math

Simple setup


- User provides key shapes: a position for every control point in every shape
 - p_{ij} for point i , shape j
- Per frame: user provides a weight w_j for each key shape
 - Must sum to 1.0

Computation of deformed shape

$$\mathbf{p}'_i = \sum_j w_j \mathbf{p}_{ij}$$

Works well for relatively small motions


- Often used for facial animation
- Runs in real time; popular for games




P. Blair, *Cartoon Animation*.

Mesh skinning

A simple way to deform a surface to follow a skeleton



[Sébastien Dominé | NVIDIA]



Mesh skinning math: setup

Surface has control points p_i

- Triangle vertices, spline control points, subdiv base vertices

Each bone has a transformation matrix M_j

- Normally a rigid motion

Every point–bone pair has a weight w_{ij}

- In practice only nonzero for small # of nearby bones
- The weights are provided by the user

Points are transformed by a blended transformation

- Various ways to blend exist


Linear blend skinning

Simplest mesh skinning method

Deformed position of a point is a weighted sum

- of the positions determined by each bone's transform alone
- weighted by that vertex's weight for that bone

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p}'_i &= \sum_j w_{ij} M_j \mathbf{p}_i \\ &= \left(\sum_j w_{ij} M_j \right) \mathbf{p}_i\end{aligned}$$



Linear blend skinning

Simple and fast to compute

- Can easily compute in a vertex shader

Used heavily in games

Has some issues with deformation quality


- Watch near joints between very different transforms

Linear skinning: classic problems

Surface collapses on the inside of bends and in the presence of strong twists

- Average of two rotations is not a rotation!

[Lewis et al. SG'00]



[Mohr & Gleicher SG'03]



Qual quaternion skinning

Root problem of LBS artifacts: linear blend of rigid motions is not rigid

Blending quaternions is better

- proper spherical interpolation is hard with multiple weights
- just blending and renormalizing works OK

However, blending rotation and rotation center separately performs poorly



[Kavan et al. SG '08]

Figure 6: Artifacts produced by blending rotations with respect to the origin (left) are even worse than those of linear blend skinning (right).

Dual quaternions

Combines quaternions (1, i, j, k) with dual numbers (1, ϵ)

- resulting system has 8 dimensions: 1, i, j, k, ϵ , ϵi , ϵj , ϵk
- write it as sum of two quaternions: $\hat{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q}_0 + \epsilon \mathbf{q}_\epsilon$

Unit dual quaternions

- inherits quaternion constraint: $\|\mathbf{q}_0\| = 1$
- adds one more constraint: $\mathbf{q}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q}_\epsilon = 0$
- a 6D manifold embedded in 8D
- represents rigid motions with nice properties

Skinning by blending dual quaternions works well






Figure 14: Comparison of linear (left) and dual quaternion (right) blending. Dual quaternions preserve rigidity of input transformations and therefore avoid skin collapsing artifacts.


[Kavan et al. SG '08]



Rest pose



Linear blend skinning



Dual quaternion skinning

[Kavan, SG'14 course]