

CS5430 (Fall 2025) Homework 1

General Instructions. You are expected to work alone on this assignment.

Due: Feb 16, 2029 at 11:59pm, No late assignments will be accepted.

Submit your solution using CMS. Prepare your solution as .pdf, as follows:

- Use 10 point or larger font.
- Submit each problem (as a separate file) into the correct CMS submission box for that problem.

Assume that the threat is a Dolev-Yao attacker.

Problem 1: One way to gain a deeper understanding of technical material is to invent multiple-choice questions, since doing this requires inventing incorrect answers that touch on typical misconceptions. Therefore, please devise 5 multiple choice questions for the material covered in the lectures and reading for the introductory part of our course. For each multiple-choice question:

- Give 5 possible choices (a) – (e) for the answer, where only one choice is a correct answer.
- Give a brief explanation (2-3 sentences) justifying the correct answer.
- Give a brief explanation (2-3 sentences) explaining why each of the other choices is not the correct answer.

Problem 2: The starting point for the Needham-Schroeder key distribution protocol is:

1. $A \rightarrow KDC: A, B$
2. $KDC \rightarrow A: A, B, \{K_{AB}\}_{K_A}$
3. $KDC \rightarrow B: A, B, \{K_{AB}\}_{K_B}$

This protocol has an awkwardness: B could receive an unsolicited message from KDC. That awkwardness prompted our further refinements. But are there other problems with this protocol? Is it possible for an intruder to fool A and B into using the wrong keys for communicating with each other? If so, exhibit such an attack. If you believe no other attacks are possible then justify your reasoning in a couple of sentences.

Problem 3: The following key distribution protocol was obtained by deleting n from all messages in the Otway-Rees authentication protocol.

1. A \rightarrow B: A, B, $\{r_1, A, B\}_{K_A}$ for fresh r_1
2. B \rightarrow KDC: A, B, $\{r_1, A, B\}_{K_A}$, $\{r_2, A, B\}_{K_B}$ for fresh r_2
3. KDC \rightarrow B: $\{r_1, K_{AB}\}_{K_A}$, $\{r_2, K_{AB}\}_{K_B}$ for fresh K_{AB}
4. B \rightarrow A: $\{r_1, K_{AB}\}_{K_A}$

- (a) Can the initial "A, B" fields in message 1 be deleted? Explain why or why not.
- (b) Can the initial "A, B" in message 2 be deleted? Explain why or why not.
- (c) What checks on the contents of message 2 should KDC make as a precondition for sending message 3. Why?
- (d) What checks on the contents of message 3 should B make as a precondition for sending message 4? Why?