

Alternative Switching Technologies: Wireless Datacenters

Hakim Weatherspoon

Assistant Professor, Dept of Computer Science

CS 5413: High Performance Systems and Networking April 17, 2017

Slides from the "On the Feasibility of Completely Wireless Datacenters" at the ACM/IEEE Symposium on Architectures for Networking and Communications Systems (ANCS), October 2012.

Where are we in the semester?




Interested Topics:

- SDN and programmable data planes
- Disaggregated datacenters and rack-scale computers
- Alternative switch technologies
- Datacenter topologies
- Datacenter transports
- Advanced topics




Hybrid: packet/(optical-)circuit switched network





Hybrid: packet/(wireless-)circuit switched network






"Mirror mirror on the ceiling: Flexible wireless links for data centers", X. Zhou, Z. Zhang, Y, Zhu, Y. Li, S. Kumar, A. Vahdat, B. Y. Zhao, and H. Zheng, ACMFSIGCOMM, August 2011, pages 443—454.

Goals for Today

- SINDED AS
- On the Feasibility of Completely Wireless Datacenters
 - J. Y. Shin, E. G. Sirer, H. Weatherspoon, and D. Kirovski, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking (ToN), Volume 21, Issue 5 (October 2013), pages 1666-1680.

Conventional Datacenter



Going Completely Wireless



- Opportunities
 - Low maintenance : no wires
 - Low power: no large switches
 - Low cost: all of the above

- Fault tolerant: multiple network paths
- High performance: multiple network paths


Which wireless technology?

60GHz Wireless Technology



- Short range
 - Attenuated by oxygen molecules
- Directional
 - Narrow beam

- High bandwidth
 - Several to over 10Gbps
- License free
- Has been available for many years Why now?
- CMOS Integration
 - Size < dime
 - Manufacturing cost < \$1




60 GHz Antenna Model



- One directional
 - Signal angle between
 25° and 45°
 - Maximum range <</p>
 - No beam steering

- Bandwidth < 15Gbps
 - TDMA (TDD)
 - FDMA (FDD)



How to integrate to datacenters?

Designing Wireless Datacenters



Challenges

- How should transceivers and racks be oriented?
- How should the network be architected?
- Interference of densely populated transceivers?

Completely Wireless Datacenters




- Motivation
- Cayley Wireless Datacenters
 - Transceiver placement and topology
 - Server and rack designs
 - Network architecture
 - MAC protocols and routing
- Evaluation
 - Physical Validation: Interference measurements
 - Performance and power
- Future
- Conclusion

Transceiver Placement:

Server and Rack Design






How do racks communicate with each other?

Cayley Network Architecture:

Topology






Masked Node Problem and MAC




- Most nodes are hidden terminals to others
 - Multiple (>5) directional antennae=> Masked node problem
 - Collisions can occur
- Dual busy tone multiple access [Hass'02]
 - Out of band tone to preserve channels
 - Use of FDD/TDD channels as the tone




Cayley Network Architecture: Routing




Geographical Routing



- Turn within rack
 - Shortest path turning



- Within dst rack to dst server
 - Up down to dst story
 - Shortest path to dst serve



Completely Wireless Datacenters



- Motivation
- Cayley Wireless Datacenters
 - Transceiver placement and topology
 - Server and rack designs
 - Network architecture
 - MAC protocols and routing
- Evaluation
 - Physical validation: Interference measurements
 - Performance and power
- Future
- Conclusion

Malidation Validation

TO TO A SOUTH OF THE PARTY OF T

- Use of a conservative platform
- Real-size datacenter floor plan setup
- Validation of all possible interferences



Intra-rack communications





Inter-rack communications


Physical Validation: Interference Evaluation

(Signal angle $\theta = 15^{\circ}$)












Physical Validation: Interference Evaluation




(Signal angle $A = 15^{\circ}$)










Non-Adjacent Inter-Rack Space (Tx on Rack D)




Potential
Interference:
can be blocked
using conductor
curtains

Evaluation

- Performance: How well does a Cayley datacenter perform and scale?
 - Bandwidth and latency
- Failure tolerance: How well can a Cayley datacenter handle failures?
 - Server, story, and rack failure
- Power: How much power does a Cayley datacenter consume compared to wired datacenters

Evaluation Setup

- Simulate 10K server datacenter
 - Packet level: routing, MAC protocol, switching delay, bandwidth
- Conventional datacenter (CDC)
 - 3 Layers of oversubscribed switches (ToR, AS, CS)
 - (1, 5, 1), (1, 7, 1) and (2, 5, 1)
 - Latency: 3-6us switching delay
 - Bandwidth: 1Gbps server
- FAT-tree: Equivalent to CDC (1,1,1)
- Cayley wireless datacenter
 - 10Gbps bandwidth
 - 1 Transceiver covers 7 to 8 others
 - Signal spreading angle of 25°
 - Low latency Y-switch (<< 1us)



Evaluation Setup




- Uniform random
 - Src and dst randomly selected in entire datacenter
- MapReduce
 - Src sends msg to servers in same row of rack
 - Receiver sends msg to servers in same column of rack
 - Receivers send msg to servers inside same pod with 50% probability

Bandwidth



Burst of 500 x 1KB packets per server sent

Maximum Aggregate Bandwidth Normalized to Fat-tree



Uniform Rand


Hops: CDC < 6, Cayley > 11

Cayley datacenters have the most bandwidth


Latency



Uniform random benchmark




MapReduce benchmark



Cayley datacenters typically performs the best

Fault Tolerance





Cayley datacenters are extremely fault tolerant

Failed components (%)

Power Consumption to Connect 10K Servers



Conventional datacenter (CDC) *

Switch Type	Typical Power
Top of rack switch (ToR)	176W
Aggregation switch (AS)	350W
Core switch (CS)	611W

- Depending on the oversubscription rate 58KW to 72KW
- Cayley datacenter
 - Transceivers consume < 0.3W
 - Maximum power consumption: 6KW
- Less than 1/10 of CDC power consumption

Discussion and Future Work



- Only scratched the surface
 - How far can wireless datacenters go with no wires?
- Need larger experiment/testbed
 - Interference and performance of densely connected datacenter?

- Scaling to large datacenters (>100K servers)?
- Scaling to higher bandwidth (> 10Gbps)?

Conclusion

- Completely wireless datacenters can be feasible
- Cayley wireless datacenters exhibit
 - Low maintenance
 - High performance
 - Fault tolerant
 - Low power
 - Low cost

References

- S. Pinel, P. Sen, S. Sarkar, B. Perumana, D. Dawn, Deep Yeh, F. Barale, M. Leung, E. Juntunen, P. Vadivelu, K. Chuang, P. Melet, G. Iyer, and J. Laskar. 60GHz single-chip CMOS digital radios and phased array solutions for gaming and connectivity. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, 27(8), 2009.
- Z.J. Hass and J. Deng. Dual busy tone multiple access (DBTMA)-a multiple access control scheme for ad hoc networks. IEEE Transactions on Communications, 50(6), 2002.
- PEPPM. Cisco Current Price List. http://www.peppm.org/Products/cisco/price.pdf, 2012.

Related Work

	Link Technology	Modifications Required	Working Prototype
Helios (SIGCOMM '10)	Optics w/ WDM 10G-180G (CWDM) 10G-400G (DWDM)	Switch Software	Glimmerglass, Fulcrum
c-Through (SIGCOMM '10)	Optics (10G)	Host OS	Emulation
Flyways (SIGCOMM '11, HotNets '09)	Wireless (1G, 10m)	Unspecified	
IBM System-S (GLOBECOM '09)	Optics (10G)	Host Application; Specific to Stream Processing	Calient, Nortel
HPC (SC '05)	Optics (10G)	Host NIC Hardware	