

## Propositional Logic: Semantics

$P$	$Q$	$\neg P$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
False	False	True	False	False	True	True
False	True	True	False	True	True	False
True	False	False	False	True	False	False
True	True	False	True	True	True	True

- **Model (i.e. possible world):**
  - Assignment of truth values to symbols
  - Example:  $m = \{P = \text{True}, Q = \text{False}\}$ 
    - Note: Often called “assignment” instead of “model”, and “model” is used for an assignment that evaluates to true.
- **Validity:**
  - A sentence  $\alpha$  is valid, if it is true in every model.
- **Satisfiability:**
  - A sentence  $\alpha$  is satisfiable, if it is true in at least one model.
- **Entailment:**
  - $\alpha \models \beta$  if and only if, in every model in which  $\alpha$  is true,  $\beta$  is also true.

## Model Checking

- **Idea:**
  - To test whether  $\alpha \models \beta$ , enumerate all models and check truth of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .
  - $\alpha$  entails  $\beta$  if no model exists in which  $\alpha$  is true and  $\beta$  is false (i.e.  $(\alpha \wedge \neg \beta)$  is unsatisfiable)
- **Proof by Contradiction:**
  - $\alpha \models \beta$  if and only if the sentence  $(\alpha \wedge \neg \beta)$  is unsatisfiable.
- **Model Checking:**
  - Variables: One for each propositional symbol
  - Domains: {true, false}
  - Objective Function:  $(\alpha \wedge \neg \beta)$
  - Which search algorithm works best?

## Propositional Logic: Some Inference Rules

### Modus Ponens:

Know:	$\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$	If raining, then soggy courts.
and	$\alpha$	It is raining.
Then:	$\beta$	Soggy Courts.

### Modus Tollens:

Know:	$\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$	If raining, then soggy courts.
And	$\neg \beta$	No soggy courts.
Then:	$\neg \alpha$	It is not raining.

### And-Elimination:

Know:	$\alpha \wedge \beta$	It is raining and soggy courts.
Then:	$\alpha$	It is raining.

## Example: Forward Chaining

### Knowledge-base describing when the car should brake?

```
( PersonInFrontOfCar  $\Rightarrow$  Brake )
 $\wedge$  ((( YellowLight  $\wedge$  Policeman )  $\wedge$  (  $\neg$ Slippery ))  $\Rightarrow$  Brake )
 $\wedge$  ( Policecar  $\Rightarrow$  Policeman )
 $\wedge$  ( Snow  $\Rightarrow$  Slippery )
 $\wedge$  ( Slippery  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$ Dry )
 $\wedge$  ( RedLight  $\Rightarrow$  Brake )
 $\wedge$  ( Winter  $\Rightarrow$  Snow )
```

### Observation from sensors:

YellowLight  $\wedge$   $\neg$ RedLight  $\wedge$   $\neg$ Snow  $\wedge$  Dry  $\wedge$  Policecar  $\wedge$   $\neg$ PersonInFrontOfCar

### What can we infer?

- And-elimination: Policecar
- Modus Ponens: Policeman
- And-elimination: Dry
- Modus Tollens:  $\neg$ Slippery
- And-elimination: YellowLight  $\wedge$  Policeman  $\wedge$   $\neg$ Slippery
- Modus Ponens: Brake
- And-elimination:  $\neg$ Snow
- Modus Tollens:  $\neg$ Winter

## Inference Strategy: Forward Chaining

### Idea:

- Infer everything (?) that can be inferred.
- Notation: In implication  $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ ,  $\alpha$  (or its components) are called premises,  $\beta$  is called consequent/conclusion.

### Forward Chaining:

Given a fact  $p$  to be added to the KB,

1. Find all implications  $I$  that have  $p$  as a premise
2. For each  $i$  in  $I$ , if the other premises in  $i$  are already known to hold
  - a) Add the consequent in  $i$  to the KB

Continue until no more facts can be inferred.