



Polygon Meshes


CS 4620 Lecture 11



<http://rallyx.inria.fr/2008/Raweb/geometria/uid15.html>



<http://rallyx.inria.fr/2008/Raweb/geometria/uid15.html>




<http://rallyx.inria.fr/2008/Raweb/geometria/uid15.html>

Aspects of meshes


- in many cases we care about the mesh being able to bound a region of space nicely
- in other cases we want triangle meshes to fulfill assumptions of algorithms that will operate on them (and may fail on malformed input)
- two completely separate issues:
 - topology: how the triangles are connected (ignoring the positions entirely)
 - geometry: where the triangles are in 3D space

Topology/geometry examples

- same geometry, different mesh topology:



- same mesh topology, different geometry:



Euler's Formula

- $n_V = \# \text{verts}; n_E = \# \text{edges}; n_F = \# \text{faces}$
- Euler's Formula for a convex polyhedron:

$$n_V - n_E + n_F = 2$$

- and in general sums to small integer
- argument for implication that $n_V:n_E:n_F$ is about 1:3:2
 - Consider semi-regular subdivision meshes




Examples of simple convex polyhedra

Name	Image	Vertices V	Edges E	Faces F	Euler characteristic: $V - E + F$
Tetrahedron		4	6	4	2
Hexahedron or cube		8	12	6	2
Octahedron		6	12	8	2
Dodecahedron		20	30	12	2
Icosahedron		12	30	20	2

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler_characteristic

Examples of simple convex polyhedra



<http://idav.ucdavis.edu/~okreylos/BuckyballStick.gif>

Buckyball

$$V = 60$$

$$E = 90$$

$$F = 32 \text{ (12 pentagons + 20 hexagons)}$$

$$V - E + F = 60 - 90 + 32 = 2$$




Examples (nonconvex polyhedra!)

Name	Image	Vertices <i>V</i>	Edges <i>E</i>	Faces <i>F</i>	Euler characteristic: $V - E + F$
Tetrahemihexahedron		6	12	7	1
Octahemioctahedron		12	24	12	0
Cubohemioctahedron		12	24	10	-2
Great icosahedron		12	30	20	2

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler_characteristic

Topological validity


- Strongest property, and most simple: be a manifold
 - this means that no points should be "special"
 - interior points are fine
 - edge points: each edge should have exactly 2 triangles
 - vertex points: each vertex should have one loop of triangles
 - not too hard to weaken this to allow boundaries



[Foley et al.]

Geometric validity

- Generally want non-self-intersecting surface
- Hard to guarantee in general
 - because far-apart parts of mesh might intersect




Representation of triangle meshes

- Compactness
- Efficiency for rendering
 - enumerate all triangles as triples of 3D points
- Efficiency of queries
 - all vertices of a triangle
 - all triangles around a vertex
 - neighboring triangles of a triangle
 - (need depends on application)
 - finding triangle strips
 - computing subdivision surfaces
 - mesh editing

Representations for triangle meshes

- Separate triangles
 - shared vertices
- Indexed triangle set
 - compression schemes for transmission to hardware
- Triangle strips and triangle fans
 - compression schemes for transmission to hardware
- Triangle-neighbor data structure
 - supports adjacency queries
- Winged-edge data structure
 - supports general polygon meshes

Separate triangles



Separate triangles

- array of triples of points
 - `float[nT][3][3]`: about 72 bytes per vertex
 - 2 triangles per vertex (on average)
 - 3 vertices per triangle
 - 3 coordinates per vertex
 - 4 bytes per coordinate (float)
- various problems
 - wastes space (each vertex stored 6 times)
 - cracks due to roundoff
 - difficulty of finding neighbors at all

Indexed triangle set


- Store each vertex once
- Each triangle points to its three vertices

```
Triangle {
  Vertex vertex[3];
}
```

```
Vertex {
  float position[3]; // or other data
}
```

// ... or ...


```
Mesh {
  float verts[nv][3]; // vertex positions (or other data)
  int tInd[nt][3]; // vertex indices
}
```



Indexed triangle set

```
verts[0] X0, Y0, Z0
verts[1] X1, Y1, Z1
         X2, Y2, Z2
         X3, Y3, Z3
         :
```

```
tInd[0] 0, 2, 1
tInd[1] 0, 3, 2
         :
```




Indexed triangle set

- array of vertex positions
 - float[n_v][3]: 12 bytes per vertex
 - (3 coordinates x 4 bytes) per vertex
- array of triples of indices (per triangle)
 - int[n_T][3]: about 24 bytes per vertex
 - 2 triangles per vertex (on average)
 - (3 indices x 4 bytes) per triangle
- total storage: 36 bytes per vertex (factor of 2 savings)
- represents topology and geometry separately
- finding neighbors is at least well defined

Triangle strips


- Take advantage of the mesh property
 - each triangle is usually adjacent to the previous
 - let every vertex create a triangle by reusing the second and third vertices of the previous
 - every sequence of three vertices produces a triangle (but not in the same order)
 - e. g., 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, ... leads to (0 1 2), (2 1 3), (2 3 4), (4 3 5), (4 5 6), (6 5 7), ...
 - for long strips, this requires about one index per triangle



Triangle strips

verts[0]	x_0, y_0, z_0
verts[1]	x_1, y_1, z_1
	x_2, y_2, z_2
	x_3, y_3, z_3
	\vdots

tStrip[0]	4, 0, 1, 2, 5, 8
tStrip[1]	6, 9, 0, 3, 2, 10, 7
	\vdots




Triangle strips

- array of vertex positions
 - $\text{float}[n_v][3]$: 12 bytes per vertex
 - (3 coordinates x 4 bytes) per vertex
- array of index lists
 - $\text{int}[n_s][\text{variable}]$: $2 + n$ indices per strip
 - on average, $(1 + \epsilon)$ indices per triangle (assuming long strips)
 - 2 triangles per vertex (on average)
 - about 4 bytes per triangle (on average)
- total is 20 bytes per vertex (limiting best case)
 - factor of 3.6 over separate triangles; 1.8 over indexed mesh


Triangle fans

- Same idea as triangle strips, but keep oldest rather than newest
 - every sequence of three vertices produces a triangle
 - e. g., 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... leads to (0 1 2), (0 2 3), (0 3 4), (0 3 5).
 - for long fans, this requires about one index per triangle
- Memory considerations exactly the same as triangle strip



Triangle neighbor structure

- Extension to indexed triangle set
- Triangle points to its three neighboring triangles
- Vertex points to a single neighboring triangle
- Can now enumerate triangles around a vertex



Triangle neighbor structure

```


Triangle {
  Triangle nbr[3];
  Vertex vertex[3];
}

// t.neighbor[i] is adjacent
// across the edge from i to i+1

Vertex {
  // ... per-vertex data ...
  Triangle t; // any adjacent tri
}

// ... or ...

Mesh {
  // ... per-vertex data ...
  int tInd[nt][3]; // vertex indices
  int tNbr[nt][3]; // indices of neighbor triangles
  int vTri[nv]; // index of any adjacent triangle
}
    
```




Triangle neighbor structure

tNbr[0]	1, 6, 7
tNbr[1]	10, 2, 0
tNbr[2]	3, 1, 12
tNbr[3]	2, 13, 4
⋮	

vTri[0]	0
vTri[1]	6
vTri[2]	1
vTri[3]	1
⋮	

tInd[0]	0, 2, 1
tInd[1]	0, 3, 2
tInd[2]	10, 2, 3
tInd[3]	2, 10, 7
⋮	




Triangle neighbor structure

```

TrianglesOfVertex(v) {
  t = v.t;
  do {
    find t.vertex[i] == v;
    t = t.nbr[pred(i)];
  } while (t != v.t);
}

pred(i) = (i+2) % 3;
succ(i) = (i+1) % 3;
    
```



Triangle neighbor structure

- indexed mesh was 36 bytes per vertex
- add an array of triples of indices (per triangle)
 - $\text{int}[n_T][3]$: about 24 bytes per vertex
 - 2 triangles per vertex (on average)
 - (3 indices x 4 bytes) per triangle
- add an array of representative triangle per vertex
 - $\text{int}[n_V]$: 4 bytes per vertex
- total storage: 64 bytes per vertex
 - still not as much as separate triangles


Triangle neighbor structure—refined

```
Triangle {
  Edge nbr[3];
  Vertex vertex[3];
}

// if t.nbr[i].i == j
// then t.nbr[i].t.nbr[j] == t

Edge {
  // the i-th edge of triangle t
  Triangle t;
  int i; // in {0,1,2}
  // in practice t and i share 32 bits
}

Vertex {
  // ... per-vertex data ...
  Edge e; // any edge leaving vertex
}
```




$T_0.nbr[0] = \{ T_1, 2 \}$
 $T_1.nbr[2] = \{ T_0, 0 \}$
 $V_0.e = \{ T_1, 0 \}$

Triangle neighbor structure

```
TrianglesOfVertex(v) {
  {t, i} = v.e;
  do {
    {t, i} = t.nbr[pred(i)];
  } while (t != v.t);
}
```


$pred(i) = (i+2) \% 3;$
 $succ(i) = (i+1) \% 3;$



$T_0.nbr[0] = \{ T_1, 2 \}$
 $T_1.nbr[2] = \{ T_0, 0 \}$
 $V_0.e = \{ T_1, 0 \}$

Winged-edge mesh

- Edge-centric rather than face-centric
 - therefore also works for polygon meshes
- Each (oriented) edge points to:
 - left and right forward edges
 - left and right backward edges
 - front and back vertices
 - left and right faces
- Each face or vertex points to one edge




Winged-edge mesh

```
Edge {
  Edge hl, hr, tl, tr;
  Vertex h, t;
  Face l, r;
}

Face {
  // per-face data
  Edge e; // any adjacent edge
}


Vertex {
  // per-vertex data
  Edge e; // any incident edge
}
```



Winged-edge structure

```
EdgesOfVertex(v) {
  e = v.e;
  do {
    if (e.l == 0)
      e = e.hl;
    else
      e = e.hr;
  } while (e != v.e);
}
```

	hl	hr	tl	tr
edge[0]	1	4	2	3
edge[1]	18	0	16	2
edge[2]	12	1	3	0
	:			




Winged-edge structure

- array of vertex positions: 12 bytes/vert
- array of 8-tuples of indices (per edge)
 - head/tail left/right edges + head/tail verts + left/right tris
 - $\text{int}[n_E][8]$: about 96 bytes per vertex
 - 3 edges per vertex (on average)
 - (8 indices x 4 bytes) per edge
- add a representative edge per vertex
 - $\text{int}[n_V]$: 4 bytes per vertex
- total storage: 112 bytes per vertex
 - but it is cleaner and generalizes to polygon meshes


Winged-edge optimizations

- Omit faces if not needed
- Omit one edge pointer on each side
 - results in one-way traversal



Half-edge structure

- Simplifies, cleans up winged edge
 - still works for polygon meshes
- Each half-edge points to:
 - next edge (left forward)
 - next vertex (front)
 - the face (left)
 - the opposite half-edge
- Each face or vertex points to one half-edge



Half-edge structure


```

HEdge {
  HEdge pair, next;
  Vertex v;
  Face f;
}

Face {
  // per-face data
  HEdge h; // any adjacent h-edge
}

Vertex {
  // per-vertex data
  HEdge h; // any incident h-edge
}

```




Half-edge structure

```

EdgesOfVertex(v) {
  h = f.lh;
  do {
    h = h.pair.next;
  } while (h != f.lh);
}

```

	pair	next
hedge[0]	1	2
hedge[1]	0	10
hedge[2]	3	4
hedge[3]	2	9
hedge[4]	5	0
hedge[5]	4	6
	:	



Half-edge structure

- array of vertex positions: 12 bytes/vert
- array of 4-tuples of indices (per h-edge)
 - next, pair h-edges + head vert + left tri
 - $\text{int}[2n_e][4]$: about 96 bytes per vertex
 - 6 h-edges per vertex (on average)
 - (4 indices x 4 bytes) per h-edge
- add a representative h-edge per vertex
 - $\text{int}[n_v]$: 4 bytes per vertex
- total storage: 112 bytes per vertex

Half-edge optimizations

- Omit faces if not needed
 - they are allocated in pairs
 - they are even and odd in an array

