



External Sorting



Why Sort?

- ❖ Used for eliminating duplicates
 - Select **DISTINCT** ...
- ❖ Bulk loading B+ trees
 - Need to first **sort** leaf level pages
- ❖ Data requested in sorted order
 - `SELECT S.name`
`FROM Sailor S`
`ORDER BY S.age`
- ❖ Some join algorithms use sorting
 - **Sort**-merge join




Sorting: Main Challenge

- ❖ Sort 1 TB of data with 1 GB of RAM


- ❖ Why not just use QuickSort? (i.e., simply map disk pages to virtual memory)

2-Way External Merge Sort

- ❖ Phase 1: Read a page at a time, sort it, write it
 - Only one buffer page used




Two-Way External Merge Sort: Phase 1




- ❖ Assume input file with N data pages
- ❖ What is the cost of Phase 1 (in terms of # I/Os)?

2-Way External Merge Sort

- ❖ Phase 2: Make multiple passes to merge runs
 - Pass 1: Merge two runs of length 1 (page)
 - Pass 2: Merge two runs of length 2 (pages)
 - ... until 1 run of length N
 - Three buffer pages used



2-Way External Merge Sort



2-Way External Merge Sort: Analysis


- ❖ Total I/O cost for sorting file with N pages
- ❖ Cost of Phase 1 = $2N$
- ❖ Number of passes in Phase 2 = $\lceil \log_2 N \rceil$
- ❖ Cost of each pass in Phase 2 = $2N$
- ❖ Cost of Phase 2 = $2N \times \lceil \log_2 N \rceil$
- ❖ Total cost = $2N(\lceil \log_2 N \rceil + 1)$

General External Merge Sort: Motivation

- ❖ 2-Way merge sort uses at most 3 buffer pages
- ❖ What if more buffer pages were available?
- ❖ Can we use these extra buffer pages to reduce sorting cost?
 - Specifically, how would Phases 1 and 2 change?


2-Way External Merge Sort

- ❖ Phase 1: Read a page at a time, sort it, write it
 - Only one buffer page used
- ❖ How can this be modified if B buffer pages are available?




General External Merge Sort

- ❖ Phase 1: Read B pages at a time, sort B pages in main memory, and write out B pages
- ❖ Length of each run = B pages
- ❖ Assuming N input pages, number of runs = N/B
- ❖ Cost of Phase 1 = $2N$




General External Merge Sort: Phase 1

- ❖ # buffer pages B = 4




2-Way External Merge Sort

- ❖ Phase 2: Make multiple passes to merge runs
 - Pass 1: Merge two runs of length 1 (page)
 - Pass 2: Merge two runs of length 2 (pages)
 - ... until 1 run of length N
 - Three buffer pages used
- ❖ How can this be modified if B buffer pages available?




General External Merge Sort


- ❖ Phase 2: Make multiple passes to merge runs
 - Pass 1: Produce runs of length $B(B-1)$ pages
 - Pass 2: Produce runs of length $B(B-1)^2$ pages
 - ...
 - Pass P: Produce runs of length $B(B-1)^P$ pages





General External Merge Sort: Phase 2


- ❖ # buffer pages $B = 4$













































General External Merge Sort: Phase 2

❖ # buffer pages $B = 4$



Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

31

General External Merge Sort: Analysis

- ❖ Total I/O cost for sorting file with N pages
- ❖ Cost of Phase 1 = $2N$
- ❖ If # passes in Phase 2 is P then: $B(B-1)^P = N$
- ❖ Therefore $P = \lceil \log_{B-1} \lceil N/B \rceil \rceil$
- ❖ Cost of each pass in Phase 2 = $2N$
- ❖ Cost of Phase 2 = $2N \times \lceil \log_{B-1} \lceil N/B \rceil \rceil$
- ❖ Total cost = $2N(\lceil \log_{B-1} \lceil N/B \rceil \rceil + 1)$

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

32

Number of Passes of External Sort


N	B=3	B=5	B=9	B=17	B=129	B=257
100	7	4	3	2	1	1
1,000	10	5	4	3	2	2
10,000	13	7	5	4	2	2
100,000	17	9	6	5	3	3
1,000,000	20	10	7	5	3	3
10,000,000	23	12	8	6	4	3
100,000,000	26	14	9	7	4	4
1,000,000,000	30	15	10	8	5	4

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

33


External Merge Sort: Optimizations

- ❖ Phase 1: Can produce runs of length $2B$ using only B buffer pages (in expected sense!)
 - Use variant of Heapsort instead of Quicksort
- ❖ Total sorting cost = $2N(\lceil \log_{B-1} \lceil N/2B \rceil + 1 \rceil)$




General External Merge Sort: Phase 1


- ❖ # buffer pages $B = 4$




External Merge Sort: Optimizations

- ❖ Currently, do one page I/O at a time
- ❖ But can read/write a **block** of pages sequentially!
 - Make each buffer input/output a block of pages
 - Better read performance
- ❖ Possible negative impact?









General External Merge Sort: Phase 2

❖ # buffer pages $B = 8$



General Merge Sort: Optimizations

- ❖ Double buffering: to reduce I/O wait time, *prefetch* into 'shadow block'.
- Again, potentially more passes; in practice, most files *still* sorted in 2-3 passes.




Using B+ Trees for Sorting

- ❖ Scenario: Table to be sorted has B+ tree index on sorting column(s).
- ❖ Idea: Can retrieve records in order by traversing leaf pages.
- ❖ Is this a good idea?
- ❖ Cases to consider:
 - B+ tree is clustered **Good idea!**
 - B+ tree is not clustered **Could be a very bad idea!**

Clustered B+ Tree Used for Sorting

- ❖ Cost: root to the left-most leaf, then retrieve all leaf pages (Alternative 1)


- ❖ If Alternative 2 is used? Additional cost of retrieving data records: each page fetched just once.



☒ Always better than external sorting!

Unclustered B+ Tree Used for Sorting

- ❖ Alternative (2) for data entries; each data entry contains *rid* of a data record. In general, one I/O per data record!



External Sorting vs. Unclustered Index

N	Sorting	p=1	p=10	p=100
100	200	100	1,000	10,000
1,000	2,000	1,000	10,000	100,000
10,000	40,000	10,000	100,000	1,000,000
100,000	600,000	100,000	1,000,000	10,000,000
1,000,000	8,000,000	1,000,000	10,000,000	100,000,000
10,000,000	80,000,000	10,000,000	100,000,000	1,000,000,000

☒ *p*: # of records per page

☒ *B*=1,000 and block size=32 for sorting

☒ *p*=100 is the more realistic value.

Sorting Records!



❖ Sorting has become a blood sport!

- Parallel sorting is the name of the game ...

❖ <http://research.microsoft.com/barc/SortBenchmark/>
