

---

**2020-02-05**

## 1 Introduction

For the next few lectures, we will build tools to solve linear systems. Our main tool will be the factorization  $PA = LU$ , where  $P$  is a permutation,  $L$  is a unit lower triangular matrix, and  $U$  is an upper triangular matrix. As we will see, the Gaussian elimination algorithm learned in a first linear algebra class implicitly computes this decomposition; but by thinking about the decomposition explicitly, we find other ways to organize the computation.

## 2 Triangular solves

Suppose that we have computed a factorization  $PA = LU$ . How can we use this to solve a linear system of the form  $Ax = b$ ? Permuting the rows of  $A$  and  $b$ , we have

$$PAx = LUx = Pb,$$

and therefore

$$x = U^{-1}L^{-1}Pb.$$

So we can reduce the problem of finding  $x$  to two simpler problems:

1. Solve  $Ly = Pb$
2. Solve  $Ux = y$

We assume the matrix  $L$  is unit lower triangular (diagonal of all ones + lower triangular), and  $U$  is upper triangular, so we can solve linear systems with  $L$  and  $U$  involving forward and backward substitution.

As a concrete example, suppose

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

To solve a linear system of the form  $Ly = d$ , we process each row in turn to find the value of the corresponding entry of  $y$ :

1. Row 1:  $y_1 = d_1$

2. Row 2:  $2y_1 + y_2 = d_2$ , or  $y_2 = d_2 - 2y_1$

3. Row 3:  $3y_1 + 2y_2 + y_3 = d_3$ , or  $y_3 = d_3 - 3y_1 - 2y_2$

More generally, the *forward substitution* algorithm for solving unit lower triangular linear systems  $Ly = d$  looks like

```

1  y = d;
2  for i=2:n
3    y(i) = d(i)-L(i,1:i-1)*y(1:i-1)
4  end

```

Similarly, there is a *backward substitution* algorithm for solving upper triangular linear systems  $Ux = d$

```

1  x(n) = d(n)/U(n,n);
2  for i=n-1:-1:1
3    x(i) = ( d(i)-U(i,i+1:n)*x(i+1:n) )/U(i,i)
4  end

```

Each of these algorithms takes  $O(n^2)$  time.

### 3 Gaussian elimination by example

Let's start our discussion of  $LU$  factorization by working through these ideas with a concrete example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To eliminate the subdiagonal entries  $a_{21}$  and  $a_{31}$ , we subtract twice the first row from the second row, and thrice the first row from the third row:

$$A^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \cdot 1 & 0 \cdot 4 & 0 \cdot 7 \\ 2 \cdot 1 & 2 \cdot 4 & 2 \cdot 7 \\ 3 \cdot 1 & 3 \cdot 4 & 3 \cdot 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & -6 & -11 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is, the step comes from a rank-1 update to the matrix:

$$A^{(1)} = A - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Another way to think of this step is as a linear transformation  $A^{(1)} = M_1 A$ , where the rows of  $M_1$  describe the multiples of rows of the original matrix that go into rows of the updated matrix:

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = I - \tau_1 e_1^T.$$

Similarly, in the second step of the algorithm, we subtract twice the second row from the third row:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & -6 & -11 \end{bmatrix} = \left( I - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) A^{(1)}.$$

More compactly:  $U = (I - \tau_2 e_2^T) A^{(1)}$ .

Putting everything together, we have computed

$$U = (I - \tau_2 e_2^T)(I - \tau_1 e_1^T)A.$$

Therefore,

$$A = (I - \tau_1 e_1^T)^{-1}(I - \tau_2 e_2^T)^{-1}U = LU.$$

Now, note that

$$(I - \tau_1 e_1^T)(I + \tau_1 e_1^T) = I - \tau_1 e_1^T + \tau_1 e_1^T - \tau_1 e_1^T \tau_1 e_1^T = I,$$

since  $e_1^T \tau_1$  (the first entry of  $\tau_1$ ) is zero. Therefore,

$$(I - \tau_1 e_1^T)^{-1} = (I + \tau_1 e_1^T)$$

Similarly,

$$(I - \tau_2 e_2^T)^{-1} = (I + \tau_2 e_2^T)$$

Thus,

$$L = (I + \tau_1 e_1^T)(I + \tau_2 e_2^T).$$

Now, note that because  $\tau_2$  is only nonzero in the third element,  $e_1^T \tau_2 = 0$ ; thus,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (I + \tau_1 e_1^T)(I + \tau_2 e_2^T) \\ &= (I + \tau_1 e_1^T + \tau_2 e_2^T + \tau_1 (e_1^T \tau_2) e_2^T) \\ &= I + \tau_1 e_1^T + \tau_2 e_2^T \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The final factorization is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = LU.$$

The subdiagonal elements of  $L$  are easy to read off: for  $i > j$ ,  $l_{ij}$  is the multiple of row  $j$  that we subtract from row  $i$  during elimination. This means that it is easy to read off the subdiagonal entries of  $L$  during the elimination process.

## 4 Basic LU factorization

Let's generalize our previous algorithm and write a simple code for  $LU$  factorization. We will leave the issue of pivoting to a later discussion. We'll start with a purely loop-based implementation:

```

1 %
2 % Overwrites A with an upper triangular factor U, keeping track of
3 % multipliers in the matrix L.
4 %
5 function [L,A] = mylu(A)
6
7     n = length(A);
8     L = eye(n);
9     for j=1:n-1
10         for i=j+1:n
11
12             % Figure out multiple of row j to subtract from row i
13             L(i,j) = A(i,j)/A(j,j);
14
15             % Subtract off the appropriate multiple
16             A(i,j) = 0
17             for k=j+1:n
18                 A(i,k) = A(i,k) - L(i,j)*A(j,k);
19             end
20         end
21     end

```

We can write the two innermost loops more concisely in terms of a *Gauss transformation*  $M_j = I - \tau_j e_j^T$ , where  $\tau_j$  is the vector of multipliers that appear when eliminating in column  $j$ :

```
1 %
2 % Overwrites A with an upper triangular factor U, keeping track of
3 % multipliers in the matrix L.
4 %
5 function [L,A] = mylu(A)
6
7     n = length(A);
8     L = eye(n);
9     for j=1:n-1
10
11         % Form vector of multipliers
12         L(j+1:n,j) = A(j+1:n,j)/A(j,j);
13
14         % Apply Gauss transformation
15         A(j+1:n,j) = 0;
16         A(j+1:n,j+1:n) = A(j+1:n,j+1:n)-L(j+1:n,j)*A(j,j+1:n);
17
18     end
```

## 5 Problems to ponder

1. What is the complexity of the Gaussian elimination algorithm?
2. Describe how to find  $A^{-1}$  using Gaussian elimination. Compare the cost of solving a linear system by computing and multiplying by  $A^{-1}$  to the cost of doing Gaussian elimination and two triangular solves.
3. Consider a parallelepiped in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose sides are given by the columns of a 3-by-3 matrix  $A$ . Interpret  $LU$  factorization geometrically, thinking of Gauss transformations as shearing operations. Using the fact that shear transformations preserve volume, give a simple expression for the volume of the parallelepiped.