

CS412/CS413

Introduction to Compilers Tim Teitelbaum

Lecture 13: Types and Type-Checking 21 Feb 05

Semantic Analysis

- Last time:
 - Semantic errors related to scopes
 - Symbol tables
- This lecture:
 - Semantic errors related to types
 - Type system concepts
 - Types and type-checking

What Are Types?

- **Types** describe the values computed during the execution of the program
- Essentially, types are predicate on values, e.g., "int x" in Java means " $x \in [-2^{31}, 2^{31})$ "
- **Type errors**: improper, type-inconsistent operations during program execution
- **Type-safety**: absence of type errors

How to Ensure Type-Safety

- Bind (assign) types, then check types
- **Type binding**: defines type of constructs in the program (e.g., variables, functions)
 - Can be either explicit (int x) or implicit ($x = 1$)
 - Type consistency (safety) = correctness with respect to the type bindings
- **Type checking**: determine if the program correctly uses the type bindings
 - Consists of a set of type-checking rules

Type Checking

- **Type checking**: static semantic checks to enforce the type safety of the program
- Examples:
 - Unary and binary operators (e.g., +, ==, []) must receive operands of the proper type
 - Functions must be invoked with the right number and type of arguments
 - Return statements must agree with the return type
 - In assignments, assigned value must be compatible with type of variable on LHS.
 - Class members accessed appropriately

Static vs. Dynamic Typing

- Static and dynamic typing refer to type definitions (i.e., bindings of types to variables, expressions, etc.)
 - **Statically typed language**: types are defined and checked at compile-time, and do not change during the execution of the program
 - E.g., C, Java, Pascal
 - **Dynamically typed language**: types defined and checked at run-time, during program execution
 - E.g., Lisp, Smalltalk

Strong vs. Weak Typing

- Strong and weak typing refer to how much type consistency is enforced
 - **Strongly typed languages**: guarantees that accepted programs are type-safe
 - **Weakly typed languages**: allow programs that contain type errors
- Can achieve strong typing using either static or dynamic typing

Soundness

- **Sound type systems**: can statically ensure that the program is type-safe
- Soundness implies strong typing
- Static type safety requires a **conservative approximation** of the values that may occur during all possible executions
 - May reject type-safe programs
 - Need to be expressive: reject as few type-safe programs as possible

Concept Summary

- **Static vs dynamic typing**: when to define/check types?
- **Strong vs weak typing**: how many type errors?
- **Sound type systems**: statically catch all type errors

Classification

| | Strong Typing | Weak Typing |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Static Typing | ML Pascal | C |
| Dynamic Typing | Java Modula-3 | C++ |
| | Scheme PostScript Smalltalk | assembly code |

Why Static Checking?

- **Efficient code**
 - Dynamic checks slow down the program
- Guarantees that **all executions will be safe**
 - Dynamic checking gives safety guarantees only for some execution of the program
- But is **conservative** for sound systems
 - Needs to be expressive: reject few type-safe programs

Type Systems

- Type is predicate on value
- **Type expressions**: describe the possible types in the program: int, string, array[], Object, etc.
- **Type system**: defines types for language constructs (e.g., expressions, statements)

Type Expressions

- Language type systems have **basic types** (also: primitive types, ground types)
- Basic types examples: int, string, bool
- Build **type expressions** using basic types:
 - Type constructors:
 - array types
 - structure types
 - pointer types
 - Type aliases
 - Function types

Type Expressions: Arrays

- Various kinds of array types in different programming languages
- **array(T)** : arrays without bounds
 - C, Java: T [], Modula-3: array of T
- **array(T, S)** : array with size
 - C: T[S], Modula-3: array[S] of T
 - May be indexed 0..S-1
- **array(T,L,U)** : array with upper/lower bounds
 - Pascal: array[L .. U] of T
- **array(T, S₁, ..., S_n)** : multi-dimensional arrays
 - FORTRAN: T(L₁..., L_n)

Type Expressions: Structures

- More complex type constructor
- Has form $\{id_1: T_1, \dots, id_n: T_n\}$ for some identifiers id_i and types T_i
- Is essentially cartesian product:
 $(id_1 \times T_1) \times \dots \times (id_n \times T_n)$
- Supports access operations on each field, with corresponding type
- Structures in C: `struct { int a; float b; }`
- Records in Pascal: `record a: integer; b: real; end`
- Objects: extension of structure types

Type Expressions: Aliases

- Some languages allow type aliases (type definitions, equates)
 - C: `typedef int int_array[];`
 - Modula-3: `type int_array = array of int;`
 - Java doesn't allow type aliases
- Aliases are not type constructors!
 - `int_array` is the same type as `int []`
- Different type expressions may denote the same type

Type Expressions: Pointers

- Pointer types characterize values that are addresses of variables of other types
- **Pointer(T)** : pointer to an object of type T
- C pointers: `T*` (e.g., `int *x;`)
- Pascal pointers: `^T` (e.g., `x: ^integer;`)
- Java: object references

Type Expressions: Functions

- Type: $T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_n \rightarrow T_r$
- Function value can be invoked with some argument expressions with types T_{i_r} , returns return type T_r
- C functions : `int f(float x, float y)`
- Java: methods have function types
- Some languages have first-class function types (C, ML, Modula-3, Pascal, not Java)

Implementation

- Use a separate class hierarchy for types:
`class BaseType extends Type { String name; }`
`class IntType extends BaseType { ... }`
`class BoolType extends BaseType { ... }`
`class ArrayType extends Type { Type elemType; }`
`class FunctionType extends Type { ... }`
- Semantic analysis translates all type expressions to type objects
- Symbol table binds name to type object

Type Comparison

- **Option 1:** implement a method `T1.Equals(T2)`
 - Must compare type trees of T1 and T2
 - For object-oriented language: also need sub-typing: `T1.SubtypeOf(T2)`
- **Option 2:** use unique objects for each distinct type
 - each type expression (e.g. `array[int]`) resolved to same type object everywhere
 - Faster type comparison: can use `==`
 - Object-oriented: check subtyping of type objects

Creating Type Objects

- Build types while parsing – use a syntax-directed definition:

```
non terminal Type type
type ::= BOOLEAN
      { : RESULT = new BoolType(id); :}
| ARRAY LBRACKET type:t RBRACKET
      { : RESULT = new ArrayType(t); :}
```

- Type objects = AST nodes for type expressions

Processing Type Declarations

- Type declarations add new identifiers and their types in the symbol tables
- Class definitions must be added to symbol table:
`class_defn ::= CLASS ID:id { decls:d }`
- Forward references require multiple passes over AST to collect legal names
`class A { B b; }`
`class B { ... }`

Type-Checking

- Type-checking = verify typing rules
- “operands of + must be integer expressions; the result is an integer expression”
- **Option 1:** Implement using syntax-directed definitions (type-check during the parsing)

```
expr ::= expr:t1 PLUS expr:t2
      { : if (t1 == IntType && t2 == IntType)
          RESULT = IntType;
        else throw new TypeCheckError("+");
      :}
```

Type-Checking

- **Option 2:** first build the AST, then implement type-checking by recursive traversal of the AST nodes:

```
class Add extends Expr {
  Type typeCheck(Symtab s) {
    Type t1 = e1.typeCheck(s),
        t2 = e2.typeCheck(s);
    if (t1 == Int && t2 == Int) return Int;
    else throw new TypeCheckError("+");
  }
}
```

Type-Checking Identifiers

- Identifier expressions: lookup the type in the symbol table

```
class IdExpr extends Expr {  
  Identifier id;  
  Type typeCheck(Symtab s)  
  { return s.lookupType(id); }  
}
```

- Using syntax-directed definitions for forward references: type-checking will fail

Next Time: Static Semantics

- **Static semantics** = mathematical description of typing rules for the language
- Static semantics formally defines types for all legal language ASTs