CS412/CS413

Introduction to Compilers
Tim Teitelbaum

Lecture 5: Context-Free Grammars
February 2, 2005

Outline

- Context-Free Grammars (CFGs)
- Derivations
- Parse trees and abstract syntax
- Ambiguous grammars

Where We Are



Syntax Analysis Example

Source code
(token stream)

```
{
   if (b == (0)) a = b;
   while (a != 1) {
        stdio.print(a);
        a = a - 1;
   }
}
```

Abstract Syntax
Tree



Parsing Analogy

- Syntax analysis for natural languages:
  recognize whether a sentence is grammatically well-formed & identify the function of each component.



Syntax Analysis Overview

- Goal: determine if the input token stream satisfies the syntax of the program, and if so, identify its structure
- What we need for syntax analysis:
  - An expressive way to describe the syntax
  - An acceptor mechanism that determines if the input token stream satisfies that syntax description
  - A way to recover the syntactic structure

Why Not Regular Expressions?

- Regular expressions can expressively describe tokens
  - easy to implement, efficient (using DFAs)
- Why not use regular expressions (on tokens) to specify programming language syntax?
- Reason: they don't have enough power to express the syntax in programming languages
- Example: nested bracketed constructs (e.g., blocks and expressions)
  - Language of balanced parentheses

    { (), ()(), (()), (())(), ()(()), (())(()), ((())(())), etc. }

    needs unbounded counting to be recognized.

Prerequisites: Language Theory

- Let Σ be finite set of symbols, an alphabet
- Σ^* denotes the set of all finite strings of symbols in Σ
- ε denotes the empty string
- Any subset $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is called a language

Prerequisites: Binary Relations

- If S_1 and S_2 are sets, $S_1 \times S_2$ denotes the Cartesian product, the set $\{ \langle s_1,s_2\rangle \mid s_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } s_2 \in S_2\}$
- $S \times S$ is written S^2
- If S_1 and S_2 are sets, a set $R \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$ is called a binary relation between S_1 and S_2
- If $\langle s_1,s_2\rangle \in R$, we write $s_1 \; R \; s_2$

Prerequisites: Powers and Closures

- If R_1 and R_2 are relations, $R_1 \bullet R_2$, the composition of R_1 and R_2, is $\{ \langle x,z\rangle \mid \langle x,y\rangle \in R_1 \text{ and } \langle y,z\rangle \in R_2 \}$
- If R is a relation in $S \times S$, then
  - R^2 is $R \bullet R$
  - For $i>2$, $R^i = R \bullet R^{i-1}$
  - $R^1 = R$;
  - $R^0 = \{ \langle x,x\rangle \mid x \in S \}$, the identity relation over S
  - $R^+ = R^1 \cup R^2 \cup R^3 \cup \ldots$, the transitive closure of R
  - $R^* = R^0 \cup R^+$, the transitive reflexive closure of R

Context-Free Grammars

- A Context-Free Grammar is a 4-tuple $\langle V,\Sigma,S,\rightarrow\rangle$, where
  - V is a finite set of nonterminal symbols
  - Σ is a finite set of terminal symbols
  - $S \in V$ is a distinguished nonterminal, the start symbol
  - $\rightarrow \subseteq V \times (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ is a finite relation, the productions
- Context Free Grammar is abbreviated CFG

More notation and typographical conventions

- A, B, C, … are nonterminals
- a, b, c, … are terminals
- …, X, Y, Z are either terminals or nonterminals
- …, w, x, y, z are strings of terminals
- α, β, γ, δ, … are strings of terminals or nonterminals
- $A \rightarrow \alpha$ denotes production $\langle A,\alpha\rangle$
- In production $A \rightarrow \alpha$
  - A is the lefthand side (LHS)
  - α is the righthand side (RHS)
- $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 | \ldots | \alpha_n$ denotes the n productions $A \rightarrow \alpha_1, \ldots, A \rightarrow \alpha_n$

Sample Grammar

- $\langle V,\Sigma,S,\rightarrow\rangle$, where
  - V is { S }, i.e., there is one nonterminal S
  - Σ is { a, b }, i.e., there are two terminal symbols "a" and "b"
  - $\rightarrow$ is { $\langle S,aSbS\rangle$, $\langle S,\varepsilon\rangle$ }
    i.e., there are two productions $S\rightarrow aSbS$ and $S\rightarrow\varepsilon$
- It is not uncommon to just say:
  Let G be the grammar with productions $S \rightarrow aSbS \mid \varepsilon$
  and infer the nonterminals, terminals, and start symbol from the productions by invoking the conventions

Derivations

- Let G = $\langle V,\Sigma,S,\rightarrow\rangle$ be a CFG. The "directly derives" relation ($\Rightarrow$) is defined as { $\langle \alpha A\gamma, \alpha\beta\gamma\rangle \mid A\rightarrow\beta$ }.
- Example
  - Let G be the grammar with productions $S \rightarrow aSbS \mid \varepsilon$
  - Then
    - $S \Rightarrow aSbS$
    - $aSbS \Rightarrow aaSbSbS$
    - $aaSbSbS \Rightarrow aabSbS$
    - $aabSbS \Rightarrow aabbS$
    - $aabbS \Rightarrow aabbaSbS$
    - $aabbaSbS \Rightarrow aabbabS$
    - $aabbabS \Rightarrow aabbab$

nonterminal is LHS of production
string is RHS of production

Context Free Languages

- Let G = $\langle V,\Sigma,S,\rightarrow\rangle$ be a CFG. The language generated by G, denoted L(G) = { x | $S \Rightarrow^* x$ }
- L(G) = the set of strings of terminals derived from the start symbol by repeatedly applying the productions as rewrite rules
- Context Free Language (CFLs) are the languages generated by context-free grammars
- If $x \in L(G)$, then a derivation of x is a sequence of strings $S=\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n=x$, such that $\alpha_i \Rightarrow \alpha_{i+1}$ for $0\le i<n$. We write $\alpha_0\Rightarrow\alpha_1 \ldots \Rightarrow \alpha_n$.

Example

- Let G be the grammar with productions $S \rightarrow aSbS \mid \varepsilon$
- Then

  $S \Rightarrow aSbS \Rightarrow aaSbSbS \Rightarrow aabSbS \Rightarrow aabbS \Rightarrow aabbaSbS \Rightarrow aabbabS \Rightarrow aabbab$
- I.e., aabbab is in L(G)

Grammars and Acceptors

- Acceptors for context-free grammars



- Syntax analyzers (parsers) = CFG acceptors that also output the corresponding derivation when the token stream is accepted
  - Various kinds: LL(k), LR(k), SLR, LALR

Every Regular Language is a Context Free Language

- Inductively build a CFG for each RE

ε	$S \rightarrow \varepsilon$
a	$S \rightarrow$ **a**
$R_1 R_2$	$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$
$R_1 \mid R_2$	$S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$
$R_1 *$	$S \rightarrow S_1 S \mid \varepsilon$

where:
G_1 = grammar for R_1, with start symbol S_1
G_2 = grammar for R_2, with start symbol S_2

Sum Grammar

- Grammar:
  $S \rightarrow E + S \mid E$
  $E \rightarrow number \mid (S)$
- Expanded:
  $S \rightarrow E + S$
  $S \rightarrow E$
  $E \rightarrow number$
  $E \rightarrow (S)$

  4 productions
  2 nonterminals: S E
  4 terminals: ( ) + number
  start symbol S
- Example accepted input:
  (1+2+(3+4))+5

Derivation Example

$S \rightarrow E + S \mid E$
$E \rightarrow number \mid (S)$

Derive (1+2+(3+4))+5

S $\Rightarrow$ E+S
$\Rightarrow$ (S)+S
$\Rightarrow$ (E+S)+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+S)+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+ E+S)+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+S)+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+E)+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(S))+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(E+S) +S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(3+S))+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(3+E))+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(3+4))+S
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(3+4))+E
$\Rightarrow$ (1+2+(3+4))+5

Constructing a Derivation

- Start from S (start symbol)
- Use productions to derive a sequence of tokens from the start symbol
- For arbitrary strings α, β and γ and for a production
  $A \rightarrow \beta$
  a single step of derivation is:
  $\alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \gamma$
  (i.e., substitute β for an occurrence of A)
- Example:
  $S \rightarrow E + S$
  $(S + E) + E \Rightarrow (E + S + E) + E$

Derivation and Parse Trees

Parse Tree



- Parse Tree = tree representation of the derivation
- Leaves of tree are terminals
- Internal nodes: nonterminals
- No information about order of derivation steps

Derivation

S $\Rightarrow$ E + S $\Rightarrow$ (S) + S $\Rightarrow$ (E + S) + S $\Rightarrow$ (1 + S)+S $\Rightarrow$ (1 + E + S) + S $\Rightarrow$ ...
$\Rightarrow$(1 + 2 + (S)) + S $\Rightarrow$ (1 + 2 + (E + S))+S $\Rightarrow$ ... $\Rightarrow$ (1 + 2 + (3 + E))+S
$\Rightarrow$ ... $\Rightarrow$(1 + 2+ (3+4))+5

Parse Tree vs. AST

- Parse tree also called "concrete syntax"

Parse Tree (Concrete Syntax)



Abstract Syntax Tree



Discards (abstracts) unneeded information

Derivation Order

- Can choose to apply productions in any order; select any nonterminal A such that $\alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \gamma$
- Two standard orders: leftmost and rightmost -- useful for different kinds of automatic parsing
- Leftmost derivation: Always replace leftmost nonterminal
  E + S $\Rightarrow$ 1 + S
- Rightmost derivation: Always replace rightmost nonterminal
  E + S $\Rightarrow$ E + E + S

Example

- S → E + S | E
  E → number | (S)
- Left-most derivation
  S ⇒ E+S ⇒ (S) + S ⇒ (E + S)+ S ⇒ (1 + S)+S ⇒ (1+E+S)+S ⇒ (1+2+S)+S ⇒ (1+2+E)+S ⇒ (1+2+(S))+S ⇒ (1+2+(E+S))+S ⇒ (1+2+(3+S))+S ⇒ (1+2+(3+E))+S ⇒ (1+2+(3+4))+S ⇒ (1+2+(3+4))+E ⇒ (1+2+(3+4))+5
- Right-most derivation
  S ⇒ E+S ⇒ E+E ⇒ E+5 ⇒ (S)+5 ⇒ (E+S)+5 ⇒ (E+E+S)+5 ⇒ (E+E+E)+5 ⇒ (E+E+(S))+5 ⇒ (E+E+(E+S))+5 ⇒ (E+E+(E+E))+5 ⇒ (E+E+(E+4))+5 ⇒ (E+E+(3+4))+5 ⇒ (E+2+(3+4))+5 ⇒ (1+2+(3+4))+5
- Same parse tree: same productions chosen, different order

Parse Trees

- In example grammar, leftmost and rightmost derivations produced identical parse trees
- + operator associates to right in parse tree regardless of derivation order

(1+2+(3+4))+5 ⇒



An Ambiguous Grammar

- + associates to right because of right-recursive production S → E + S
- Consider another grammar:

  S → S + S | S * S | number
- Ambiguous grammar = different derivations produce different parse trees

Differing Parse Trees

S → S + S | S * S | number

- Consider expression 1 + 2 * 3
- Derivation 1: **S** ⇒ **S** + S ⇒ 1 + **S** ⇒ 1 + **S** * S ⇒ ⇒ 1 + 2 * **S** ⇒ 1 + 2 * 3
- Derivation 2: **S** ⇒ S * **S** ⇒ **S** * 3 ⇒ S + **S** * 3 ⇒ ⇒ **S** + 2 * 3 ⇒ 1 + 2 * 3



Impact of Ambiguity

- Different parse trees correspond to different evaluations!
- Meaning of program not defined

Eliminating Ambiguity

- Often can eliminate ambiguity by adding nonterminals & allowing recursion only on right or left

S → S + T | T
T → T * **num** | **num**



- T nonterminal enforces precedence
- Left-recursion : left-associativity

Context Free Grammars

- Context-free grammars allow concise syntax specification of programming languages
- A CFG specifies how to convert token stream to parse tree (if unambiguous!)