

CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 22

Fixed-Point Combinators



Church Numerals

Let's encode the natural numbers!

We'll write $\bar{n}$ for the encoding of the number n . The central function we'll need is a *successor* operation:

$$\text{SUCC } \bar{n} = \overline{n + 1}$$

Church Numerals

Church numerals encode a number n as a function that takes f and x , and applies f to x n times.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{0} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. x \\ \bar{1} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f x \\ \bar{2} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)\end{aligned}$$

Church Numerals

Church numerals encode a number n as a function that takes f and x , and applies f to x n times.

$$\overline{0} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

$$\overline{1} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f x$$

$$\overline{2} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)$$

We can write a successor function that “inserts” another application of f :

$$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n.$$

Church Numerals

Church numerals encode a number n as a function that takes f and x , and applies f to x n times.

$$\overline{0} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

$$\overline{1} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f x$$

$$\overline{2} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)$$

We can write a successor function that “inserts” another application of f :

$$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x.$$

Church Numerals

Church numerals encode a number n as a function that takes f and x , and applies f to x n times.

$$\overline{0} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

$$\overline{1} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f x$$

$$\overline{2} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)$$

We can write a successor function that “inserts” another application of f :

$$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. \quad n f x$$

Church Numerals

Church numerals encode a number n as a function that takes f and x , and applies f to x n times.

$$\overline{0} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

$$\overline{1} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f x$$

$$\overline{2} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)$$

We can write a successor function that “inserts” another application of f :

$$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f(n f x)$$

Addition

Given the definition of SUCC, we can define addition. Intuitively, the natural number $n_1 + n_2$ is the result of applying the successor function n_1 times to n_2 .

$$\text{PLUS} \triangleq$$

Addition

Given the definition of SUCC, we can define addition. Intuitively, the natural number $n_1 + n_2$ is the result of applying the successor function n_1 times to n_2 .

$$\text{PLUS} \triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. n_1 \text{ SUCC } n_2$$

Church Numerals

We can define more functions on integers:

$$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)$$

$$\text{PLUS} \triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. n_1 \text{ SUCC } n_2$$

Church Numerals

We can define more functions on integers:

$$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)$$

$$\text{PLUS} \triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. n_1 \text{ SUCC } n_2$$

$$\text{TIMES} \triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. n_1 (\text{PLUS } n_2) \bar{0}$$

Church Numerals

We can define more functions on integers:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{SUCC} &\triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x) \\ \text{PLUS} &\triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. n_1 \text{SUCC } n_2 \\ \text{TIMES} &\triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. n_1 (\text{PLUS } n_2) \bar{0} \\ \text{ISZERO} &\triangleq \lambda n. n (\lambda z. \text{FALSE}) \text{TRUE}\end{aligned}$$

Termination in the λ -calculus

We have encoded lots of useful programming functionality that produces values.

Does every closed λ -term eventually terminate under CBN evaluation?

$$\forall \text{ closed term } e. \exists e'. e \rightarrow^* e' \wedge e' \not\rightarrow ?$$

Termination in the λ -calculus

We have encoded lots of useful programming functionality that produces values.

Does every closed λ -term eventually terminate under CBN evaluation?

$$\forall \text{ closed term } e. \exists e'. e \rightarrow^* e' \wedge e' \not\rightarrow ?$$

No!

$$\Omega \triangleq (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$$

Termination in the λ -calculus

We have encoded lots of useful programming functionality that produces values.

Does every closed λ -term eventually terminate under CBN evaluation?

$$\forall \text{ closed term } e. \exists e'. e \rightarrow^* e' \wedge e' \not\rightarrow ?$$

No!

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &\triangleq (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \\ &\rightarrow (x x) \{(\lambda x. x x)/x\} \\ &= (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \\ &= \Omega\end{aligned}$$

Recursive Functions

How would we write recursive functions, like factorial?

Recursive Functions

How would we write recursive functions, like factorial?

We'd like to write it like this...

$$\text{FACT} \triangleq \lambda n. \text{IF } (\text{ISZERO } n) \text{ 1 } (\text{TIMES } n \text{ (FACT (PRED } n)))$$

Recursive Functions

How would we write recursive functions, like factorial?

We'd like to write it like this...

$$\text{FACT} \triangleq \lambda n. \text{IF } (\text{ISZERO } n) \text{ 1 (TIMES } n \text{ (FACT (PRED } n)))$$

In slightly more readable notation this is...

$$\text{FACT} \triangleq \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then 1 else } n \times \text{FACT } (n - 1)$$

...but this is an equation, not a definition!

Recursion removal trick

We can perform a “trick” to define a function FACT that satisfies the recursive equation on the previous slide.

Recursion removal trick

We can perform a “trick” to define a function FACT that satisfies the recursive equation on the previous slide.

Define a new function FACT' that takes a function f as an argument. Then, for “recursive” calls, it uses $f f$:

$$\text{FACT}' \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))$$

Recursion removal trick

We can perform a “trick” to define a function FACT that satisfies the recursive equation on the previous slide.

Define a new function FACT' that takes a function f as an argument. Then, for “recursive” calls, it uses $f f$:

$$\text{FACT}' \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))$$

Then define FACT as FACT' applied to itself:

$$\text{FACT} \triangleq \text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}'$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

FACT 3

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\text{FACT } 3 = (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\ &= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1)))) \text{FACT}' 3\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\ &= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))) \text{ FACT}') 3 \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (n - 1))) 3\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\ &= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))) \text{ FACT}') 3 \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (n - 1))) 3 \\ &\rightarrow \text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1))\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))) \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (n - 1))) 3 \\&\rightarrow \text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&\rightarrow 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1))\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))) \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (n - 1))) 3 \\&\rightarrow \text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&\rightarrow 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&= 3 \times (\text{FACT } (3 - 1))\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))) \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (n - 1))) 3 \\&\rightarrow \text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&\rightarrow 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&= 3 \times (\text{FACT } (3 - 1)) \\&\rightarrow \dots \\&\rightarrow 3 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's try evaluating FACT on 3...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT } 3 &= (\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&= ((\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((f f) (n - 1))) \text{ FACT}') 3 \\&\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (n - 1))) 3 \\&\rightarrow \text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&\rightarrow 3 \times ((\text{FACT}' \text{ FACT}') (3 - 1)) \\&= 3 \times (\text{FACT } (3 - 1)) \\&\rightarrow \dots \\&\rightarrow 3 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1 \\&\rightarrow^* 6\end{aligned}$$

Fixed point combinators

Our “trick” requires following human-readable instructions. Write a different function f' that takes itself as an argument and uses self-application for recursive calls, and then define f as $f' f'$.

Fixed point combinators

Our “trick” requires following human-readable instructions. Write a different function f' that takes itself as an argument and uses self-application for recursive calls, and then define f as $f' f'$.

There is another way: fixed points!

Fixed point combinators

Our “trick” requires following human-readable instructions. Write a different function f' that takes itself as an argument and uses self-application for recursive calls, and then define f as $f' f'$.

There is another way: fixed points!

Consider factorial again. It is a fixed point of the following:

$$G \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda n. \mathbf{if} \ n = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ n \times (f(n - 1))$$

Fixed point combinators

Our “trick” requires following human-readable instructions. Write a different function f' that takes itself as an argument and uses self-application for recursive calls, and then define f as $f' f'$.

There is another way: fixed points!

Consider factorial again. It is a fixed point of the following:

$$G \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))$$

Recall that if g is a fixed point of G , then $G g = g$. To see that any fixed point g is a real factorial function, try evaluating it:

$$g\ 5 = (G\ g)\ 5$$

Fixed point combinators

Our “trick” requires following human-readable instructions. Write a different function f' that takes itself as an argument and uses self-application for recursive calls, and then define f as $f' f'$.

There is another way: fixed points!

Consider factorial again. It is a fixed point of the following:

$$G \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))$$

Recall that if g is a fixed point of G , then $G g = g$. To see that any fixed point g is a real factorial function, try evaluating it:

$$\begin{aligned} g\ 5 &= (G\ g)\ 5 \\ &\rightarrow^* 5 \times (g\ 4) \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point combinators

Our “trick” requires following human-readable instructions. Write a different function f' that takes itself as an argument and uses self-application for recursive calls, and then define f as $f' f'$.

There is another way: fixed points!

Consider factorial again. It is a fixed point of the following:

$$G \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))$$

Recall that if g is a fixed point of G , then $G g = g$. To see that any fixed point g is a real factorial function, try evaluating it:

$$\begin{aligned} g\ 5 &= (G\ g)\ 5 \\ &\rightarrow^* 5 \times (g\ 4) \\ &= 5 \times ((G\ g)\ 4) \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point combinators

How can we generate the fixed point of G ?

In denotational semantics, finding fixed points took a lot of math. In the λ -calculus, we just need a suitable combinator...

Y Combinator

The (infamous) Y combinator is defined as

$$Y \triangleq \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

We say that Y is a *fixed point combinator* because $Y f$ is a fixed point of f (for any f).

Y Combinator

The (infamous) Y combinator is defined as

$$Y \triangleq \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

We say that Y is a *fixed point combinator* because $Y f$ is a fixed point of f (for any f).

What happens when we evaluate $Y G$ under CBV?

Z Combinator

To avoid this issue, we'll use a slight variant of the Y combinator, called Z, which is easier to use under CBV.

Z Combinator

To avoid this issue, we'll use a slight variant of the Y combinator, called Z, which is easier to use under CBV.

$$Z \triangleq \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x x y))$$

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G .

FACT

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G .

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z G \end{aligned}$$

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z\ G \\ = & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ G \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z\ G \\ = & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)))\ G \\ \rightarrow & (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

FACT

= Z G

= $(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y))) G$

→ $(\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y))$

→ $G (\lambda y. (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y))) y$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

FACT
= Z G
= $(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y))) G$
 $\rightarrow (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y))$
 $\rightarrow G (\lambda y. (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y))) y$
= $(\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1)))$
 $(\lambda y. (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. G (\lambda y. x x y))) y$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z\ G \\ = & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)))\ G \\ \rightarrow & (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) \\ \rightarrow & G(\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ = & (\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))) \\ & (\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ \rightarrow & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \\ & \text{else } n \times ((\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) (n - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z\ G \\ = & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)))\ G \\ \rightarrow & (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) \\ \rightarrow & G(\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ = & (\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))) \\ & (\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ \rightarrow & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \\ & \text{else } n \times ((\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) (n - 1)) \\ =_{\beta} & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (\lambda y. (Z\ G)\ y) (n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z\ G \\ = & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)))\ G \\ \rightarrow & (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) \\ \rightarrow & G(\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ = & (\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))) \\ & (\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ \rightarrow & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \\ & \text{else } n \times ((\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) (n - 1)) \\ =_{\beta} & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (\lambda y. (Z\ G)\ y) (n - 1) \\ =_{\beta} & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((Z\ G)\ (n - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Z

Example

Let's see Z in action, on our function G.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FACT} \\ = & Z\ G \\ = & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. f(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)))\ G \\ \rightarrow & (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) \\ \rightarrow & G(\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ = & (\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))) \\ & (\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) \\ \rightarrow & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \\ & \text{else } n \times ((\lambda y. (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y)) (\lambda x. G(\lambda y. x\ x\ y))\ y) (n - 1)) \\ =_{\beta} & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (\lambda y. (Z\ G)\ y) (n - 1) \\ =_{\beta} & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((Z\ G)\ (n - 1)) \\ = & \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (\text{FACT}\ (n - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Z

Turing's Fixed Point Combinator

To gain some more intuition for fixed point combinators, let's derive a combinator Θ originally discovered by Turing.

Turing's Fixed Point Combinator

To gain some more intuition for fixed point combinators, let's derive a combinator Θ originally discovered by Turing.

We know that Θf is a fixed point of f , so we have

$$\Theta f = f(\Theta f).$$

Turing's Fixed Point Combinator

To gain some more intuition for fixed point combinators, let's derive a combinator Θ originally discovered by Turing.

We know that Θf is a fixed point of f , so we have

$$\Theta f = f(\Theta f).$$

We can write the following recursive equation:

$$\Theta = \lambda f. f(\Theta f)$$

Turing's Fixed Point Combinator

To gain some more intuition for fixed point combinators, let's derive a combinator Θ originally discovered by Turing.

We know that Θf is a fixed point of f , so we have

$$\Theta f = f(\Theta f).$$

We can write the following recursive equation:

$$\Theta = \lambda f. f(\Theta f)$$

Now use the recursion removal trick:

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta' &\triangleq \lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f) \\ \Theta &\triangleq \Theta' \Theta'\end{aligned}$$

θ Example

$$\text{FACT} = \Theta G$$

θ Example

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT} &= \Theta G \\ &= ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f))) G\end{aligned}$$

θ Example

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT} &= \Theta G \\ &= ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f))) G \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda f. f((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) f)) G\end{aligned}$$

θ Example

$$\text{FACT} = \Theta G$$

$$= ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f))) G$$

$$\rightarrow (\lambda f. f((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) f)) G$$

$$\rightarrow G ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) G)$$

θ Example

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT} &= \Theta G \\ &= ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f))) G \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda f. f((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) f)) G \\ &\rightarrow G ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) G) \\ &= G (\Theta G)\end{aligned}$$

θ Example

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FACT} &= \Theta G \\&= ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f))) G \\&\rightarrow (\lambda f. f((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) f)) G \\&\rightarrow G ((\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f(t t f)) G) \\&= G (\Theta G) \\&= (\lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (f(n - 1))) (\Theta G) \\&\rightarrow \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times ((\Theta G) (n - 1)) \\&= \lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \times (\text{FACT } (n - 1))\end{aligned}$$