# CS 4110 Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 3
Inductive Definitions and Proofs

### **Arithmetic Expressions**

Last time we defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions,

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

and a small-step operational semantics,  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ .

# **Arithmetic Expressions**

Last time we defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions,

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

and a small-step operational semantics,  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ . Example:

Assuming  $\sigma$  is a store that maps foo to 4...

$$\frac{\sigma(\textit{foo}) = 4}{\frac{\langle \sigma, \textit{foo} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, \textit{foo} + 2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 + 2 \rangle}} \, _{\text{LADD}} \\ \frac{\langle \sigma, \textit{foo} + 2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 + 2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, (\textit{foo} + 2) * (\textit{bar} + 1) \rangle} \, _{\text{LMU}}$$

# **Properties**

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

## Properties

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

• Determinism: Every configuration has at most one successor.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall e', e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}.$$
 if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$  then  $e' = e''$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

# **Properties**

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

Determinism: Every configuration has at most one successor.

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall e', e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}.$$
 if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$  then  $e' = e''$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

Termination: Evaluation of every expression terminates.

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{Store. } \exists e' \in \text{Exp.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \text{ and } \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \not\rightarrow,$ 

Where  $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \not\rightarrow$  is shorthand for:

$$\neg (\exists \sigma'' \in \mathsf{Store}. \ \exists e'' \in \mathsf{Exp}. \ \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle)$$

.

#### Soundness

• Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer.

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{store. } \exists n' \in \text{Int.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$ 

#### Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove this property.

• Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer.

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{store. } \exists n' \in \text{Int.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$ 

But it's not true!

#### Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove this property.

• Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer.

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp.} \ \forall \sigma \in \text{Store.} \ \exists \sigma' \in \text{store.} \ \exists n' \in \text{Int.} \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle$$

But it's not true!

#### Counterexample

If 
$$\sigma = \emptyset$$
, then  $\langle \sigma, \mathbf{x} \rangle \not\rightarrow$ .

In general, evaluation of an expression can get stuck...

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$
$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
fvs(x) & \triangleq & \{x\} \\
fvs(n) & \triangleq & \{\} \\
fvs(e_1 + e_2) & \triangleq & fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)
\end{array}$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(e_1 * e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(e_1 * e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(x := e_1; e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup (fvs(e_2) \setminus \{x\})$$

Idea: Restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where all the variables that e refers to have values in  $\sigma$ .

Free Variables: Let's define a function fvs(e) inductively.

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(e_1 * e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(x := e_1; e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup (fvs(e_2) \setminus \{x\})$$

#### Well-Formedness:

A configuration  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$  is well-formed if and only if  $fvs(e) \subseteq dom(\sigma)$ .

# **Progress and Preservation**

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

• Progress:

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle) \end{array}
```

# **Progress and Preservation**

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

Progress:

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle) \end{array}$$

• Preservation:

$$\forall e, e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}.$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$  well-formed and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \implies \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  well-formed.

# **Progress and Preservation**

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

Progress:

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle) \end{array}$$

Preservation:

$$\forall e, e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}.$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed and} \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \implies \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \ \text{well-formed}.$ 

How are we going to prove these properties? Induction!

**Inductive Sets** 

#### **Inductive Sets**

An *inductively-defined set A* is one that can be described using a finite collection of inference rules:

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \qquad \cdots \qquad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

This rules states that if  $a_1$  through  $a_n$  are elements of A, then a is also an element of A.

ö

The small-step evaluation relation we just defined,  $\rightarrow$ , is an inductive set.

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \to \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \, \text{VAR}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \, \text{LADD} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n + e_2' \rangle} \, \text{RADD} \qquad \frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \, \text{ADD}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \, \text{LMUL} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n * e_2' \rangle} \, \text{RMUL} \qquad \frac{p = m \times n}{\langle \sigma, m * n \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \, \text{MUL}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', x := e_1' ; e_2 \rangle} \, \text{ASSGN}$$

$$\frac{\sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto n]}{\langle \sigma, x := n ; e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle} \, \text{ASSGN}$$

Every BNF grammar defines an inductive set.

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

Here are the equivalent inference rules:

$$\frac{\overline{x \in \mathsf{Exp}}}{e_1 + e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathsf{Exp}}{e_1 * e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathsf{Exp}}{e_1 * e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathsf{Exp}}{x := e_1 \; ; \; e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}}$$

The multi-step evaluation relation is an inductive set.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma, e \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle} \text{ Refl} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \to^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle} \text{ Trans}$$

The set of free variables of an expression is an inductive set.

$$\frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(y)} \quad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(e_1 + e_2)} \quad \frac{y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(e_1 + e_2)} \quad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(e_1 * e_2)}$$

$$\frac{y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(e_1 * e_2)} \quad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2)} \quad \frac{y \neq x}{y \in fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2)}$$

The natural numbers are an inductive set.

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{succ}(n) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

# **Induction Principle**

Recall the principle of mathematical induction.

To prove  $\forall n. P(n)$ , we must establish several cases.

- Base case: *P*(0)
- Inductive case:  $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m+1)$

# **Induction Principle**

Every inductive set has an analogous principle.

To prove  $\forall a. P(a)$  we must establish several cases.

• Base cases: *P*(*a*) holds for each axiom

$$\overline{a \in A}$$

• Inductive cases: For each non-axiom inference rule

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \quad \dots \quad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

if  $P(a_1)$  and ... and  $P(a_n)$  then P(a).

# Inductive Proof: a Recipe

- 1. Choose the inductively-defined set, *A*, that you want to prove something about.
- 2. Make up a property P such that, if  $\forall a \in A$ . P(a), then you'll be happy.
- 3. Write, using your own property P: We prove that  $\forall a \in A$ . P(a) by inducting on the structure of A.
- 4. Write down a case for each inference rule in the definition of A.
- 5. Prove each case by writing down the induction hypotheses (*P* applied to each of the premises) and using them to prove the goal (*P* applied to the conclusion).
- 6. QED!

# Example: Induction on Natural Numbers

Recall the inductive definition of the natural numbers:

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{succ}(n) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

To prove  $\forall n. P(n)$ , it suffices to show:

- Base case: *P*(0)
- Inductive case:  $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m+1)$

...which is the usual principle of mathematical induction!

# Example: Progress

Recall the progress property.

$$\forall e \in \mathsf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathsf{Store}.$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \mathsf{well}\text{-formed} \Longrightarrow e \in \mathsf{Int} \ \mathsf{or} \ (\exists e' \in \mathsf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathsf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e' \rangle)$ 

We'll prove this by structural induction on e.

$$\frac{e_1 \in \mathsf{Exp} \qquad e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}}{e_1 + e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathsf{Exp} \qquad e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}}{e_1 * e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathsf{Exp} \qquad e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}}{x := e_1 \; ; \; e_2 \in \mathsf{Exp}}$$

#### What's Next?

- Homework 1: released last night, due next Thursday
- Labor Day: No class Monday. Have a good holiday!

