

CS 4110 - 9/5/25  
 Lab # 5 - Induction

①

## I. ML Semantics

Recall our "Mini List" language from last time.

|                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                              |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $  \begin{aligned}  e ::= & n \\  &   [] \\  &   e_1 :: e_2 \\  &   e_1 @ e_2 \\  &   \text{rev } e \\  &   \text{len } e  \end{aligned}  $ | $  \begin{aligned}  l ::= & [] \\  &   n :: l  \end{aligned}  $<br>$  \begin{aligned}  v ::= & n \\  &   l  \end{aligned}  $ |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

We can define a "big-step" semantics as a relation on expressions and values. We write  $e \Downarrow v$

$e \Downarrow v$

$$\frac{}{n \Downarrow n} \text{Int}$$

$$\frac{}{[] \Downarrow []} \text{Nil}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow l_2}{e_1 :: e_2 \Downarrow n_1 :: l_2} \text{Cons}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow [] \quad e_2 \Downarrow l_2}{e_1 @ e_2 \Downarrow l_2} \text{App Nil}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow n_1 :: l_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow l_2 \quad l_1 @ l_2 \Downarrow l'}{e_1 @ e_2 \Downarrow n_1 :: l'} \text{App Cons}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow []}{\text{rev } e \Downarrow []} \text{Rev Nil}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow n :: l \quad \text{rev } l \Downarrow l_r \quad l_r @ (n :: []) \Downarrow l'}{\text{rev } e \Downarrow l'} \text{Rev Cons}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow []}{\text{len } e \Downarrow 0} \text{Len Nil}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow n :: l \quad \text{len } l \Downarrow n_l \quad n' = n_l + 1}{\text{len } e \Downarrow n'} \text{Len Cons}$$

Proposition If  $\text{len } l_0 \Downarrow n_0$  and  $\text{rev } l_0 \Downarrow l'_0$  then  $\text{len } l'_0 \Downarrow n_0$ .

Proof By induction on  $l_0$ .

Case  $[]$ :

Assume  $\text{len } [] \Downarrow n_0$  and  $\text{rev } [] \Downarrow l'_0$ .

By Inversion\*,  $n_0 = 0$  and  $l'_0 = []$ .

The result follows by Len Nil and Nil, as shown in the following derivation:

$$\frac{[] \Downarrow []}{\text{len } [] \Downarrow 0} \text{Len Nil}$$

✓✓

↑ means the case is finished

\*

↑ means a deferred Lemma.

Case  $m::l$ :

(3)

Assume  $\text{len}(m::l) \Downarrow n_0$  and  $\text{rev}(m::l) \Downarrow l_0$ .

By Inversion\*,  $\text{len } l \Downarrow n$  and  $n_0 = n+1$ .

By Inversion\*,  $\text{rev } l \Downarrow l_r$  and  $l_r \in (m::[])$ .

By Total\*,  $\text{len } l_r \Downarrow n_r$  and  $\text{len } (m::[]) \Downarrow 0$ .

We can build the following derivation, using Total\*

$$\frac{\frac{\text{len } (m::[]) \Downarrow 0}{\text{len } (m::[]) \Downarrow 1} \text{Total} \quad \frac{\frac{\text{len } [] \Downarrow 0}{\text{len } [] \Downarrow 0} \text{Nil} \quad \text{len } n_0 = 1}{\text{len } n_0 = 1} \text{Len Cons}}{\text{len } (m::[]) \Downarrow 1}$$

By AppLength\*,  $\text{len } l_0 \Downarrow n'_0$  and  $n'_0 = n_r + 1$

By IH,  $n_r = n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } n'_0 &= n_r + 1 \\ &= n + 1 \\ &= n_0 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Supporting Lemmas

Lemma [Inversion]

- if  $e \Downarrow []$  and  $\text{len } e \Downarrow n'$  then  $n' = 0$ .
- if  $e \Downarrow []$  and  $\text{rev } e \Downarrow l'$  then  $l' = []$ .
- if  $e \Downarrow m::l$  and  $\text{len } e \Downarrow n'$  then  $\exists n. \text{len } l \Downarrow n$  and  $n' = n+1$ .
- if  $e \Downarrow m::l$  and  $\text{rev } e \Downarrow l'$  then  $\exists l_r. \text{rev } l \Downarrow l_r$  and  $l_r \in (m::[])$ .
- if  $e \Downarrow []$  and  $e_1 @ e_2 \Downarrow l'$  then  $e_2 \Downarrow l_2$  and  $l' = l_2$ .
- if  $e_1 \Downarrow m::l_1$  and  $e_1 @ e_2 \Downarrow l'$  then  $e_2 \Downarrow l_2$  and  $l_1 @ l_2 \Downarrow l''$  and  $l' = m::l''$ .

# Lemma [Total]

(4)

- $\forall v. v \Downarrow v$
- $\forall l. \exists l'. \text{rev } l \Downarrow l'$
- $\forall l. \exists n'. \text{len } l \Downarrow n'$

## Lemma [App Length]

If  $l_1 \Downarrow n_1$  and  $l_2 \Downarrow n_2$  and  $l_1 @ l_2 \Downarrow l'$   
 then  $\text{len } l' \Downarrow n$  where  $n = n_1 + n_2$

Proof. By induction on  $l_1$ .

### Case []:

By Inversion\*,  $n_1 = 0$  and  $l' = l_2$ .

We have  $\text{len } l_2 \Downarrow n_2$  by assumption,

and  $n = 0 + n_2$ . ✓

✓✓

### Case $m_1 :: l'_1$ :

By Inversion\*,  $\text{len } l'_1 \Downarrow n'_1$  and  $n_1 = n'_1 + 1$ .

Also by Inversion\*,  $(m_1 :: l'_1) @ l_2 \Downarrow m_1 :: l'$  where  $l'_1 @ l_2 \Downarrow l'$ .

By IH,  $\text{len } l' \Downarrow n'$  and  $n' = n'_1 + n_2$ .

Note that  $n'_1 + 1 = n'_1 + 1 + n_2 = n_1 + n_2 = n$ .

We can construct the following derivation

$$\frac{\frac{m_1 :: l'_1 \Downarrow m_1 :: l'}{\text{Total}^*} \quad \frac{\text{len } l'_1 \Downarrow n'_1}{\text{IH}}}{\text{len } (m_1 :: l'_1) \Downarrow n} \quad n = n'_1 + 1 \quad \text{Len Cons}$$

✓✓

□