

I. Progress Proof

Lemma [Progress]

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp}. \forall \sigma \in \text{Store}. \text{wf}(\sigma, e) \Rightarrow \underbrace{e \in \text{Int} \vee \exists \sigma' \in \text{Store}, e' \in \text{Exp}. \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle}_{P(e)} \quad C(e, \sigma)$$

Proof. By induction on (the structure of) e .

Case $e = x$.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Store}$ and assume $\text{wf}(\sigma, x)$.

By defn of wf , we have $\text{fvs}(e) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sigma)$.

So, as $\text{fvs}(x) = \{x\}$, we have $\exists n \in \text{Int}. \sigma(x) = n$.

By **Var**, we have $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle$, which finishes the case.

Case $e = e_1 + e_2$.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Store}$ and assume $\text{wf}(\sigma, e_1 + e_2)$.

By defn of wf , we have $\text{fvs}(e_1 + e_2) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sigma)$.

So, as $\text{fvs}(e_1 + e_2) = \text{fvs}(e_1) \cup \text{fvs}(e_2)$, we have

$\text{fvs}(e_1) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sigma)$ and $\text{fvs}(e_2) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sigma)$.

$\therefore \text{wf}(\sigma, e_1)$ and $\text{wf}(\sigma, e_2)$.

By IH on e_1 , we have $C(e_1, \sigma)$. We analyze both sub-cases

Sub-case $e_1 \in \text{Int}$:

So $e_1 = n_1$.

By IH on e_2 , we have $C(e_2, \sigma)$. Again, we analyze both sub-sub-cases.

Sub-sub-case $e_2 \in \text{Int}$:

So $e_2 = n_2$.

Let $n = n_1 + n_2$.

By Add, we have $\langle \sigma, n_1 + n_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle$,
which finishes the sub-sub-case.

Sub-sub-case $\exists \sigma', e'. \langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$:

By RAdd, we have $\langle \sigma, n_1 + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 + e' \rangle$,
which finishes the sub-sub-case, and the sub-case.

Sub-case $\exists \sigma', e'. \langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$:

By LAdd, we have $\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' + e_2 \rangle$,
which finishes the sub-case, and the case!

II. Mini ML Semantics

Consider this language

$e ::= n$
| $e_1 + e_2$

| $[]$

| $e_1 :: e_2$

| $e_1 @ e_2$

$l ::= []$

| $n :: l$

Notation

$[n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots]$ means $n_1 :: n_2 :: n_3 :: \dots :: []$

Exercise: give small-step rules for $e_1 :: e_2$ and $e_1 @ e_2$.

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e_1'}{e_1 :: e_2 \rightarrow e_1' :: e_2} \text{CL} \quad \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e_2'}{n_1 :: e_2 \rightarrow n_1 :: e_2'} \text{CR}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e_1'}{e_1 @ e_2 \rightarrow e_1' @ e_2} \text{AL}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \rightarrow e_2'}{l_1 @ e_2 \rightarrow l_1 @ e_2'} \text{AR}$$

$$\frac{[] @ l_2 \rightarrow l_2 \text{AN} \quad (n_1 :: l_1) @ l_2 \rightarrow n_1 :: (l_1 @ l_2) \text{AC}}$$

Exercise: give the series of steps for $[1,2] @ [3,4]$.

$$\begin{aligned} & [1,2] @ [3,4] \\ \rightarrow & 1 :: ([2] @ [3,4]) && \text{By AC} \\ \rightarrow & 1 :: 2 :: ([] @ [3,4]) && \text{By CR, AC} \\ \rightarrow & 1 :: 2 :: [3,4] && \text{By CR, CR, AN} \\ = & [1,2,3,4] && \text{Notation} \end{aligned}$$

Now let's extend the language

$e ::= \dots$

| rev e

| len e

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\text{rev } e \rightarrow \text{rev } e'} \text{ RE}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{rev } [] \rightarrow []} \text{ RN}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{rev } (n::l) \rightarrow (\text{rev } l) @ (n::[])} \text{ RC}$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\text{len } e \rightarrow \text{len } e'} \text{ RE}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{len } [] \rightarrow 0} \text{ LN}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{len } (n::l) \rightarrow 1 + \text{len } l} \text{ LC}$$

Now let's extend it one more time

$$e ::= \dots$$

$$| x$$

$$| \text{match } e \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\text{match } e \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow \text{match } e' \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2} \text{ ME}$$

$$\text{match } e \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow$$

$$\text{match } e' \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2$$

$$\frac{}{\text{match } [] \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow e_1} \text{ MN}$$

$$\text{match } n::l \text{ with } [] \rightarrow e_1 \mid x::xs \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow e_2[n/x, l/xs]$$

↑
substitution!

Bonus: Can you define hd and tl?