

CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 11
Hoare Logic

17 September 2012



Announcements

- Homework #3 due today at 11:59pm
- Foster office hours today 4-5pm in Upson 4137
- Rajkumar office hours today 5-6pm in 4135
- Additional help available on Piazza
- Homework #4 out today

Overview

Friday

- Assertion language: P
- Assertion satisfaction: $\sigma \models_I P$
- Assertion validity: $\models P$
- Partial/total correctness statements: $\{P\} c \{Q\}$ and $[P]c[Q]$
- Partial correctness satisfaction $\sigma \models_I \{P\}c\{Q\}$
- Partial correctness validity: $\models \{P\}c\{Q\}$

Today

- Hoare Logic
- Soundness and Completeness
- Examples

Definition (Partial correctness statement satisfaction)

A partial correctness statement $\{P\} c \{Q\}$ is satisfied by store σ and interpretation I , written $\sigma \models_I \{P\} c \{Q\}$, if:

$$\forall \sigma'. \text{ if } \sigma \models_I P \text{ and } \mathcal{C}[\![c]\!] \sigma = \sigma' \text{ then } \sigma' \models_I Q$$

Definition (Partial correctness statement validity)

A partial correctness triple is valid (written $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$), if it is valid in any store and interpretation: $\forall \sigma, I. \sigma \models_I \{P\} c \{Q\}$.

Hoare Logic

Want a way to prove partial correctness statements valid...

... without having to consider explicitly every store and interpretation!

Hoare Logic

Want a way to prove partial correctness statements valid...

... without having to consider explicitly every store and interpretation!

Idea: develop a proof system in which every theorem is a valid partial correctness statement

Judgements of the form $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$

Defined inductively using compositional and (mostly) syntax-directed axioms and inference rules

Hoare Logic: Skip

$$\frac{}{\vdash \{P\} \textbf{skip} \{P\}} \text{Skip}$$

Hoare Logic: Assignment

$$\frac{}{\vdash \{P[a/x]\} x := a \{P\}} \text{Assign}$$

Hoare Logic: Assignment

$$\frac{}{\vdash \{P[a/x]\} x := a \{P\}} \text{Assign}$$

Notation: $P[a/x]$ denotes substitution of a for x in P

Hoare Logic: Assignment

$$\frac{}{\vdash \{P[a/x]\} x := a \{P\}} \text{Assign}$$

Notation: $P[a/x]$ denotes substitution of a for x in P

Question: Why is the assignment on the left?

Hoare Logic: Sequence

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{R\} \quad \vdash \{R\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} c_1; c_2 \{Q\}} \text{Seq}$$

Hoare Logic: Conditionals

$$\frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} c_1 \{Q\} \quad \vdash \{P \wedge \neg b\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{Q\}} \text{If}$$

Hoare Logic: Loops

$$\frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} c \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \textbf{while } b \textbf{ do } c \{P \wedge \neg b\}} \text{ While}$$

Hoare Logic: Consequence

$$\frac{\models P \Rightarrow P' \quad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \quad \models Q' \Rightarrow Q}{\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}} \text{Consequence}$$

Note: $\models P \Rightarrow P'$ denotes assertion validity

Example: Factorial

```
{x = n ∧ n > 0}  
y := 1;  
while x > 0 do {  
    y := y * x;  
    x := x - 1  
}  
{y = n!}
```

Soundness and Completeness

Definition (Soundness)

If $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ then $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$.

Definition (Completeness)

If $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$ then $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$.

Today: Soundness

Wednesday: Relative Completeness

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Soundness)

If $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ then $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$.

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Soundness)

If $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ then $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$.

Proof.

By induction on $\{P\} c \{Q\}$...



Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Soundness)

If $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ then $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$.

Proof.

By induction on $\{P\} c \{Q\}$...



Lemma (Substitution)

- $\sigma \models_l P[a/x] \Leftrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]] \sigma] \models_l P$
- $\mathcal{A}[[a_0[a/x]]] (\sigma, l) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}[[a_0]] (\sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]] (\sigma, l)], l)$