## **SOLUTIONS HW#4**

**1.** We can show that the right-linear grammar can be reduced at a strongly right-linear grammar. Then it is sufficient to prove that the strongly right-linear grammar generates the regular sets. The same thing happens also for the "left" grammars.

So it is sufficient to prove that given a strongly right-linear grammar G we can always construct an NFA:  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$  such that L(M) = L(G). We define:

```
Q = N \cup \{f\}, where f is an accept state, and N is the set of nonterminals \Sigma is the set of terminals \delta(A, x) = \{B \mid A \rightarrow xB \in P\} \delta(A, e) = \{f \mid A \rightarrow e \in P\} S = S F = \{f\}
```

The proof can be done by induction on the length of the derivation.

2. (b) We have the CFG:  $S \rightarrow aSa \mid aBa \mid B \rightarrow bB \mid b$ 

```
Chomsky:
```

 $S \rightarrow AC \mid AD$ 

B**→**EB | b

 $C \rightarrow SA$ 

D**→**BA

E**→**b

 $A \rightarrow a$ 

## Greibach:

 $S \rightarrow aSA \mid aBA$ 

B**→**bB | b

 $A \rightarrow a$ 

(d) We have the CFG:

 $S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid aSb \mid bSa \mid aCb \mid bCa \mid aDb \mid bDa \mid ab \mid ba$ 

 $C \rightarrow aC \mid e$ 

D→bD |e

## Chomsky:

$$S \rightarrow AE_1 \mid BE_2 \mid AE_2 \mid BE_1 \mid AE_3 \mid BE_4 \mid AE_5 \mid BE_6 \mid AB \mid BA$$

 $E_1 \rightarrow SA$ 

 $E_2 \rightarrow SB$ 

 $E_3 \rightarrow CB$ 

```
E_4 \rightarrow CA

E_2 \rightarrow DB

E_2 \rightarrow DA

C \rightarrow AC \mid a

D \rightarrow BD \mid b

A \rightarrow a

B \rightarrow b

\underline{Greibach:}

S \rightarrow aSA \mid bSB \mid aSB \mid bSA \mid aCB \mid bCA \mid aDB \mid bDA \mid aB \mid bA

C \rightarrow aC \mid a

D \rightarrow bD \mid b

A \rightarrow a

B \rightarrow b
```

3. A CFG that generates all strings with exactly twice as many a's as b's is:
S→ACB | ABC | bCC | e
C→AS | bCCC
B→ACBB | ABAB | ABBC | bS
A→a

The proof that this CFG is correct can be done by induction. It is easy to see that S generates strings for which #a's = 2#b's, C generates strings for which #a's = 2#b's +1 and B generates strings for which #a's = 2#b's -2. If we define f(x) = #a(x) - 2#b(x), then the graph of the function f(y) for all the prefixes of x will have jumps equal to either -2 or +1. This implies that we can get from a string for which the value of f is m to another one for which the value of f is n>m by going through all the intermediate values m+1, m+2,..., n-1. So we will have a concatenation of n-m strings for which the value of f is equal to 1.

Let us prove now the reverse implication. If x is a string for which #a's = 2#b's then its length must be multiple of 3. We will prove that it can be generated by induction on the number of a's. Suppose we can generate all the sequences y for which  $f(y) \in \{-2, 0, 1\}$  and contain less than or k a's. We want to prove now that we can generate all the sequences with k+1 a's for which  $f(x) \in \{-2, 0, 1\}$ . If f(x)=0 and the  $x=bx_1$  then  $f(x_1)=2$ . So from what we stated above, since f(e)=0, we can find two substrings  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  that concatenate to give  $x_1$  and  $f(x_1)=1$  for i=1,2. So we can get x using bCC, because we can generate  $x_1$  from the induction hypothesis. Now if  $x=ax_1$  then  $f(x_1)=-1$ . But we can write  $x_1=x_2x_3$  where  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are two sequences with at most k a's, one for which f is 1 and the other one for which the value of f is -2 also because of the fact that we could only have upward jumps equal to 1.

Now we also have the other two situations. If f(x) = 1. If  $x=bx_1$  then  $f(x_1)=3$ . So we could write  $x_1$  as a concatenation of 3 strings for which f is equal to 1. We are in the

situation  $C \rightarrow bCCC$ . If  $x=ax_1$  then  $f(x_1)=0$  and  $x_1$  has at most k a's so we can generate  $x_1$  and we are in the situation  $C \rightarrow aS$ .

If f(x)=-2 and  $x=bx_1$  then  $f(x_1)=0$  so we are in the situation described above and we know that we can generate  $x_1$ . If  $x=ax_1$  then  $f(x_1)=-3$  then in order to get from e to  $x_1$ , so for the value of the function f from 0 to -3 we need two down jumps and une up, which corresponds to one of the following possibilities: CBB, BCB, BBC and all are covered in our CFG and with the induction hypothesis.

So we proved by induction that S generates all the sequences we need, but taking into account the strings generated by B and C.

```
M = (Q, \Sigma, G, \delta, s, \bot, F)
Q = \{p,q,f\}
\Sigma = \{a, b\}
G = \{+, -, \bot\}
S = p
F = \{f\}
1. (p, a, \bot) (p, -\bot)
2. (p, a, -) (p, --)
3. (p, a, +) (p, e)
4. (p, b, \bot) (p, ++\bot)
5. (p, b, -) (q, e)
                                   Go to state q to check for the next stack symbol.
6. (p, b, +) (p, +++)
7. (q, e, \bot) (p, +)
                                   Handle the extra + from the b that was just read.
8. (q, e, -) (p, e)
9. (p, e, \perp) (f, \perp)
                                   Accept if the stack has no minuses.
10. (p, e, +) (f, +)
```

**Lemma:** If the stack contents at a given step are the string a, then a is either  $+^n \perp$  or  $-^n \perp$ , where  $n \ge 0$ .

**Proof:** Induction on the length of the derivation. Each transition preserves this rule.

**Lemma:** Let a be the contents of the stack after reading input x and suppose that the NPDA is in state p. If  $a = +^n \bot$ , then 2#b(x) - #a(x) = n. If  $a = -^n \bot$ , then 2#b(x) - #a(x) = -n.

**Proof:** Again use induction on the length of the derivation. Each transition preserves this rule.

Since the NPDA accepts exactly when the stack is of the form  $+^n \bot$  for some  $n \ge 0$ , we see from the two lemmas that it accepts exactly the given language!

The strings in the examples are accepted, accepted, not accepted.

**4.** We can prove by showing that there exists a PDA that accepts A $\cap$ R. Since A is CFL, there is a PDA that accepts A:  $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, G_1, \delta_1, s_1, \bot, F_1)$ . Since R is a regular set, there is a DFA that accepts R:  $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, s_2, F_2)$ .

We define the new PDA:  $M_1 = (Q_3, \Sigma, G_3, \delta_3, s_3, \bot, F_3)$  where:

```
Q = Q_1 \times Q_2

\Sigma is the same as above

G_3 = G_1 since M_2 does not have a stack

s_3 = (s_1, s_2)

F_3 = F_1 \times F_2

\delta_3((p, q), a, A) = (\delta_1(p, a), \delta_2(q, a, A))
```

It can be proved by induction that  $\delta_3((p,q),x,A)=(\delta_1(p,x),\delta_2(q,x,A))$ . The induction is after |x|.

Now we need  $L(M_3) = A \cap R$ .

$$\begin{split} x \in \ L(M_3) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \delta_3(s_3, \, x, \, \bot) \!\!\in\! (F_3, \!\!G^*) &\Leftrightarrow \\ (\delta_1(s_1, \, x), \, \delta_2(s_2, \, x, \, \bot)) \!\!\in\! (\, F_1 \!\!\times\! F_2, \, G^*) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \delta_1(s_1, \, x) \!\!\in\! F_1, \, \delta_2(s_2, \, x, \, \bot) \!\!\in\! (F_2, \!\!G^*) &\Leftrightarrow \\ x \!\!\in\! L(R) \text{ and } x \!\!\in\! L(A) &\Leftrightarrow \\ x \!\!\in\! L(R) \!\!\cap\! L(A) = L(A \!\!\cap\! R) \end{split}$$

**5.** We prove the problem by contradiction. Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two CFLs. From the previous problem, the intersection of a CFL and a regular set is a CFL. So if we find some  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  CFLs and R a regular set such that  $(L_1 \| L_2) \cap R$  is not CFL, then  $L_1 \| L_2$  is not a CFL.

Let us choose:

$$L_1 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$
  

$$L_2 = \{a^m b^m \mid m \ge 0\}$$
  

$$R=a^* c^* b^* d^*$$

 $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are CFLs and R is a regular set.  $L_1\|L_2=\{x\in (a^*b^*c^*d^*)^* \text{ such that } \#a(x)=\#b(x) \text{ and } \#c(x)=\#d(x)\}$ 

But  $(L_1||L_2) \cap R = \{a^nc^mb^nd^m \mid m,n \geq 0\} = A$ . This is not a CFL. This can be proved using pumping lemma. Say the demon picks k. You pick  $z = a^kc^kb^kd^k$ . Call each of the substrings  $a^k$ ,  $c^k$ ,  $b^k$ ,  $d^k$  a *block*.  $z \in A$  and  $|z| \geq k$ . Say the demon picks u, v, w, x, y such that

z=uvwxy, vx  $\neq$  e and |vwx|<k. No matter what the demon does, you can win by picking i=2.

- i. If one of v or x contains two different letters then  $uv^2wx^2y$  is not of the form a\*c\*b\*d\*
- ii. If v and x are from the same block then  $uv^2wx^2y$  has one block longer than the other three, so it is not in A.
- iii. If v and x are in different blocks, then the blocks must be adjacent, otherwise |vwx|>k. But in this case uv²wx²y does not have two pairs of blocks of equal length.

This covers all the possibilities. So  $L_1 \| L_2$  is not always a CFL.