

1. Reading: D. Kozen *Automata and Computability*, lecture 36
2. The main message of this lecture:

**Like finite or push-down automata, Turing machines have equivalent generational counterparts: type 0 grammars, Post systems. A very different axiomatic approach is realized in  $\mu$ -recursivity. These (and all other) reasonable attempts to define computability led to the same class of computable functions.**

**Definition 33.1.** *Type 0 grammars (or unrestricted grammars) are similar to context-free grammars but with productions of a more general form  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are arbitrary strings of terminals and nonterminals,  $\alpha$  containing at least one nonterminal.*

**Example 33.2.** A type 0 grammar generating the language  $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1\}$ . Productions:

$$S \rightarrow aSBC \mid aBC, \quad CB \rightarrow BC, \quad aB \rightarrow ab, \quad bB \rightarrow bb, \quad bC \rightarrow bc, \quad cC \rightarrow cc$$

A derivation of  $aabbcc$ :  $S \xrightarrow{1} aSBC \xrightarrow{1} aaBCBC \xrightarrow{1} aaBBCC \xrightarrow{1} aabBCC \xrightarrow{*} aabbcc$ .  
A derivation of  $a^n b^n c^n$ :  $S \xrightarrow{*} a^{n-1}S(BC)^{n-1} \xrightarrow{1} a^n(BC)^n \xrightarrow{*} a^n B^n C^n \xrightarrow{*} a^n b^n c^n$ . (We leave this as an exercise to show that *all* generated terminal strings are of the form  $a^n b^n c^n$ ).

**Theorem 33.3.** *Type 0 grammars generate exactly r.e. languages.*

The proof is too long for our course. The main ideas are the following. By the Church Thesis, any type 0 grammar computation can be emulated by a Turing Machine, therefore, all type 0 languages are r.e. Conversely, type 0 grammars can encode configurations of Turing Machines.

In devising a grammar to generate a given language, we may have to be tricky. A more convenient (but not more general!) programming tool is provided by so-called *Post systems* which, like grammars, substitute strings by strings, but use *variables* to denote unspecified substrings. For example, in elementary algebra a common operation is to replace the string  $(a - b)(a + b)$  whenever it occurs by the string  $(a^2 - b^2)$ . This string manipulation may be denoted by writing  $X(a - b)(a + b)Y \rightarrow X(a^2 - b^2)Y$ .

**Definition 33.4.** A Post system consists of disjoint finite sets of nonterminals ( $N$ ) terminals ( $\Sigma$ ) and variables ( $V$ ), a start symbol  $S \in N$ , and a finite set of *Post productions* of the form

$$u_0 X_1 u_1 X_2 u_2 \dots X_n u_n \rightarrow w_0 X_{i_1} w_1 X_{i_2} w_2 \dots X_{i_m} w_m, \text{ where}$$

- a)  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ ,
- b)  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are variables ranging over  $(N \cup \Sigma)^*$ ,
- c) the subscripts  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$  are all from  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and need not be distinct.

This production applied to  $u_0 x_1 u_1 x_2 u_2 \dots x_n u_n \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  produces  $w_0 x_{i_1} w_1 x_{i_2} w_2 \dots x_{i_m} w_m$ .

**Example 33.5.** A Post system generating  $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ :  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $N = \{S, \#\}$ ,  $V = \{X, Y, Z\}$ , productions  $S \rightarrow \#\#, X\#Y\#Z \rightarrow aX\#bY\#cZ \mid XYZ$ . A derivation of  $a^n b^n c^n$ :  $S \xrightarrow{1} \#\# \xrightarrow{1} a\#b\#c \xrightarrow{*} a^n\#b^n\#c^n \xrightarrow{1} a^n b^n c^n$ . It is also clear that all derived terminal strings are on the form  $a^n b^n c^n$ . Indeed, an easy induction on the derivation length shows that in any derivation all strings other than the first  $S$  and the last one are of the form  $a^n\#b^n\#c^n$ . The last production of the derivation strips  $\#$ 's.

**Example 33.6.** A Post system computing the function  $f(n) = n^2$ , represented by the set of strings  $1^n \cdot 1^{n^2}$ :  $\Sigma = \{1, \cdot\}$ ,  $N = \{S\}$ , variables  $X, Y$ , productions  $S \rightarrow \cdot$ ,  $X \cdot Y \rightarrow X1 \cdot YXX1$ . Deriving  $3^2 = 9$ :  $S \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{1} 1 \cdot 1 \xrightarrow{1} 11 \cdot 1111 \xrightarrow{1} 111 \cdot 111111111$ .

The correctness of the algorithm is justified by the formulas  $0^2 = 0$ ,  $(n+1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1$ .

The type 0 grammars may be regarded as special case of Post systems. Indeed, the result of applying a type 0 production  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  to a string  $u = x\alpha y \in (\Sigma \cup N)^*$  is  $x\beta y$  which is equal to the result of applying a Post production  $X\alpha Y \rightarrow X\beta Y$  to the same string  $u$ .

**Theorem 33.7.** *Post systems generate r.e. sets and only them.*

**Definition 33.8.** Let  $\vec{u} = u_1 \dots, u_n$ . *Primitive recursion* takes two functions  $h(\vec{u})$ ,  $g(x, y, \vec{u})$  and produces  $f(x, \vec{u})$  such that  $f(0, \vec{u}) = h(\vec{u})$ ,  $f(x+1, \vec{u}) = g(x, f(x, \vec{u}), \vec{u})$ . *Minimization* takes a (possibly partial) function  $g(y, \vec{u})$  and produces  $f(\vec{u}) = \mu y. (g(y, \vec{u}) = 0)$  which equals to the least value  $y$  such that  $g(0, \vec{u}), g(1, \vec{u}), \dots, g(y-1, \vec{u})$  are all defined and  $g(y, \vec{u}) = 0$  if such a  $y$  exists and undefined otherwise.  $\mu$ -recursive functions are obtained from the original set of functions  $\mathbf{s}(x) = x+1$  (*successor*),  $\mathbf{z}(x) = 0$  (*zero*),  $\pi_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (*projections*) by compositions, primitive recursions and minimizations. Functions generated without minimization are called *primitive recursive (p.r.)*. Note, that p.r. functions are total.

**Example 33.9.** Addition  $f(x, u) = u+x$  is primitive recursive. Indeed, take  $h(u) = \pi_1^1(u) = u$ ,  $g(x, y, u) = \mathbf{s}(y) = y+1$ . Then  $u+0 = u$ ,  $u+(x+1) = (u+x)+1$ , which provides a classical definition of addition. Likewise, multiplication  $u \cdot x$  is defined by  $u \cdot 0 = 0$ ,  $u \cdot (x+1) = u \cdot x + u$ . Here  $h(u) = \mathbf{z}(u) = 0$ ,  $g(x, y, u) = y+u$ , therefore multiplication is also p.r. More examples of p.r. functions: the *predecessor*  $x \dot{-} 1$  defined by  $0 \dot{-} 1 = 0$ ,  $(x+1) \dot{-} 1 = x$ ; *proper subtraction*  $u \dot{-} x$  defined by  $u \dot{-} 0 = u$ ,  $u \dot{-} (x+1) = (u \dot{-} x) \dot{-} 1$ . Here is a  $\mu$ -recursive function which is not p.r. (why?):  $f(x) = \mu y. (x+y=0)$ ; note, that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(x)$  is undefined for all  $x \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 33.10.**  $\mu$ -recursive functions = Turing computable functions.

**HW Problem 33.1.** Build a type 0 grammar for  $\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$

**HW Problem 33.2.** Give a Post system for  $f(n) = 3^n$ .

**HW Problem 33.3.** Prove that the function  $f$  such that  $f(n) = n$  for  $n \geq 3$  and undefined for  $n = 0, 1, 2$  is  $\mu$ -recursive.