## CS 372

## Homework 3

## Due date:

In class Thusrday Feb. 28, 2008 By email Sunday 11:59 p.m. to Robert Xiao (<u>rkx2@cornell.edu</u>) use subject line (HWK#3 – CS372)

## SHOW YOUR WORK FOR ALL QUESTIONS

- 1 Determine the truth value of each of these statements if the domain of each variable consists of all real numbers
- a)  $\forall x \exists y (x^2 = y)$
- b)  $\forall x \exists y (x = y^2)$
- c)  $\exists x \forall y (xy = 0)$
- d)  $\exists x \exists y (x + y \neq y + x)$
- e)  $\forall x (x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (xy = 1))$
- f)  $\exists x \forall y (y \neq 0 \rightarrow xy = 1)$
- g)  $\forall x \exists y (x + y = 1)$
- h)  $\exists x \exists y (x + 2y = 2 \land 2x + 4y = 5)$
- i)  $\forall x \exists y (x + y = 2 \land 2x y = 1)$
- j)  $\forall x \ \forall y \ \exists z \ (z = (x+y)/2)$
- **2** Let F(x,y) be the statement "x can fool y", where the domains consists of all people in the world. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements:
  - a) Everybody can fool Fred
  - b) Evelyn can fool everybody
  - c) Everybody can fool somebody
  - d) There is no one who can fool everybody
  - e) Everyone can be fooled somebody
  - f) No one can fool Fred and Jerry
  - g) Nancy can fool exactly two people
  - h) There is exactly one person whom everybody can fool
  - i) No one can fool himself or herself
  - j) There is someone who can fool exactly one person besides himself or herself

- **3.** Rewrite each of these statements so that negations appear only within predicates (that is, so that no negation is outside a quantifier or an expression involving logical connectives).
  - a)  $\neg \forall x \forall y P(x, y)$
  - b)  $\neg \forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
  - c)  $\neg \forall y \forall x (P(x, y) \land Q(x, y))$
  - d)  $\neg (\exists x \exists y \neg P(x, y) \land \forall x \forall y Q(x, y))$
  - e)  $\neg \forall x (\exists y \forall z P(x, y, z) \land \exists z \forall y P(x, y, z))$
- **4.** Show that  $\forall x \ P(x) \lor \forall x \ Q(x)$  and  $\forall (x) \ (P(x) \lor Q(x))$  are not logically equivalent.
- **5.** For each of these arguments, explain which rules of inference are used for each step.
  - a) Linda, a student in this class, owns a red convertible. Everyone who owns a red convertible has gotten at least one speeding ticket. Therefore, someone in this class has gotten a speeding ticket.
  - b) There is someone in this class who has been in Barcelona. Everyone who goes to Barcelona visits Picasso Museum. Therefore someone in this class has visited Picasso Museum.
- **6.** Use resolution refutation to prove:

$$[(Q \rightarrow \neg P)] \rightarrow [(Q \rightarrow P) \rightarrow \neg Q]$$