



# CS 3110

## The Environment Model

Nate Foster  
Spring 2019

Today's music: *Thank you, Next* by Ariana Grande

# Review

## Previously in 3110:

- Interpreters
- Small-step substitution model

## Today:

- Large-step environment model

# Review

- **Small-step substitution model:** substitute value for variable
  - Good mental model
  - Not efficient: too much substitution at run time
- **Big-step environment model:** maintain a dictionary that binds variables to values

# New evaluation relation

- **Big-step semantics:** we model just the reduction from the original expression to the final value
- Suppose  $e \twoheadrightarrow e' \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow v$
- Abstract to  $e \Rightarrow v$ 
  - forget intermediate expressions
  - read as  $e$  *evaluates down to*  $v$ , equiv.  $e$  *big-steps to*  $v$
  - textbook notation:  $e \Downarrow v$
- **Goal:** for all expressions  $e$  and values  $v$ ,  
 $e \Rightarrow v$  if and only if  $e \twoheadrightarrow^* v$ 
  - A 4110 theorem

# Values

- Constants are already done evaluating
  - $42 \implies 42$
  - $\text{true} \implies \text{true}$
- In fact, all values big-step to themselves
  - $v \implies v$

# Operator evaluation

$e1 + e2 \Rightarrow v$

*if*  $e1 \Rightarrow i1$

*and*  $e2 \Rightarrow i2$

*and*  $v$  is the result of primitive operation  $i1 + i2$

# Variables

What does a variable name evaluate to?

**x** ==> ???

Trick question: we don't have enough information to answer it

# Variables

OCaml doesn't actually do substitution

```
(fun x -> 42) 0
```

waste of runtime resources to do substitution inside 42

Instead, OCaml lazily substitutes by maintaining  
*dynamic environment*



# Dynamic environment

- Dictionary of bindings of all current variables
- Changes throughout evaluation:
  - No bindings at \$:  
`$ let x = 42 in  
 let y = false in  
 e`
  - One binding {**x:42**} at \$:  
 `let x = 42 in  
$ let y = false in  
 e`
  - Two bindings {**x:42,y:false**} at \$:  
 `let x = 42 in  
 let y = false in  
$ e`

# Variable evaluation

To evaluate  $x$  in environment  $env$

Look up value  $v$  of  $x$  in  $env$

Return  $v$

Type checking **guarantees that variable is bound**, so we can't ever fail to find a binding in dynamic environment

# Evaluation relation

Extended notation:

$$\langle \mathbf{env}, \mathbf{e} \rangle \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$$

Meaning: in dynamic environment **env**,  
expression **e** big-steps to value **v**

$\langle \mathbf{env}, \mathbf{e} \rangle$  is called a *machine configuration*

# Variable evaluation

$\langle \text{env}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$

*if*  $\mathbf{v} = \text{env}(\mathbf{x})$

**env**(**x**) :

- meaning: the value to which **env** binds **x**
- think of it as looking up **x** in dictionary **env**

# Redo: evaluation with environment

$\langle \text{env}, v \rangle \Rightarrow v$

$\langle \text{env}, e1 + e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v$

*if*  $\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \Rightarrow i1$

*and*  $\langle \text{env}, e2 \rangle \Rightarrow i2$

*and*  $v$  *is the result of*  
*primitive operation*  $i1 + i2$

# Let expressions

To evaluate **let  $x = e1$  in  $e2$**  in environment **env**

Evaluate the binding expression  **$e1$**  to a value  **$v1$**  in environment **env**

$$\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \Rightarrow v1$$

Extend the environment to bind  **$x$**  to  **$v1$**

$$\text{env}' = \text{env}[x \rightarrow v1] \quad \textit{new notation}$$

Evaluate the body expression  **$e2$**  to a value  **$v2$**  in extended environment **env'**

$$\langle \text{env}', e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v2$$

Return  **$v2$**

# Let expression evaluation rule

$\langle \text{env}, \text{let } x=e1 \text{ in } e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v2$   
if  $\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \Rightarrow v1$   
and  $\langle \text{env}[x \rightarrow v1], e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v2$

# Function values v1.0

Anonymous functions are values:

$$\langle \text{env}, \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \rangle \Rightarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow e$$



# Function application v1.0

To evaluate **e1 e2** in environment **env**

Evaluate **e1** to a value **v1** in environment **env**

$\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \Rightarrow v1$

*Note that **v1** must be a function value **fun x -> e**  
because function application type checks*

Evaluate **e2** to a value **v2** in environment **env**

$\langle \text{env}, e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v2$

Extend environment to bind formal parameter **x** to actual value **v2**

$\text{env}' = \text{env}[x \rightarrow v2]$

Evaluate body **e** to a value **v** in environment **env'**

$\langle \text{env}', e \rangle \Rightarrow v$

Return **v**

# Function application rule v1.0

$\langle \text{env}, e1 \ e2 \rangle \implies v$

if  $\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \implies \text{fun } x \rightarrow e$

and  $\langle \text{env}, e2 \rangle \implies v2$

and  $\langle \text{env}[x \rightarrow v2], e \rangle \implies v$

# Scope: OCaml

What does OCaml say this evaluates to?

```
let x = 1 in
let f = fun y -> x in
let x = 2 in
  f 0
- : int = 1
```

# Scope: our semantics

What does our semantics say?

```
let x = 1 in
```

```
{x:1} let f = fun y -> x in
```

```
{x:1, f: (fun y->x)} let x = 2 in
```

```
{x:2, f: (fun y->x)} f 0
```

$\langle \{x:2, f: (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x) \}, f \ 0 \rangle \Rightarrow ???$

1. Evaluate **f** to a value, i.e., **fun y->x**
2. Evaluate **0** to a value, i.e., **0**
3. Extend environment to map parameter:  
**{x:2, f: (fun y->x), y:0}**
4. Evaluate body **x** in that environment
5. Return **2**

**2 <> 1**

# Why different answers?

Two different rules for variable scope:

- Rule of *dynamic scope* (our semantics so far)
- Rule of *lexical scope* (OCaml)

# Dynamic scope

**Rule of dynamic scope:** The body of a function is evaluated in the current dynamic environment at the time the function is **called**, not the old dynamic environment that existed at the time the function was defined.

- Causes our semantics to use latest binding of **x**
- Thus return **2**

# Lexical scope

**Rule of lexical scope:** The body of a function is evaluated in the old dynamic environment that existed at the time the function was **defined**, not the current environment when the function is called.

- Causes OCaml to use earlier binding of **x**
- Thus return **1**

# Lexical scope

Rule of  
evaluation  
exists  
the current  
called.

- Cause
- Thus



on is  
that  
d, not  
is



# Lexical vs. dynamic scope

- Consensus after decades of programming language design is that **lexical scope is the right choice**
  - it supports the Principle of Name Irrelevance: name of variable shouldn't matter to meaning of program
  - programmers free to change names of local variables
  - type checker can prevent more run-time errors
- Dynamic scope is useful in some situations
  - Some languages use it as the norm (e.g., Emacs LISP, LaTeX)
  - Some languages have special ways to do it (e.g., Perl, Racket)
  - But most languages just don't have it
- Exception handling resembles dynamic scope:
  - **raise e** transfers control to the “most recent” exception handler
  - like how dynamic scope uses “most recent” binding of variable

# Implementing time travel

Q: How can functions be evaluated in old environments?

A: The language implementation keeps old environments around as necessary

# Implementing time travel

A function value is really a data structure called a **function closure** that has **two parts**:

- The **code**, an expression **e**
- The **environment** **env** that was current when the function was defined
- We'll notate that data structure as  $(|e, env|)$

$(|e, env|)$  is like a pair

- But **indivisible**: you cannot write OCaml syntax to access the pieces
- And **inexpressible**: you cannot directly write it in OCaml syntax

# Function application v2.0

*orange = changed from v1.0*

To evaluate **e1 e2** in environment **env**

Evaluate **e1** to a value **v1** in environment **env**

$\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \Rightarrow v1$

*Note that **v1** must be **closure (|fun x -> e , defenv|)***

Evaluate **e2** to a value **v2** in environment **env**

$\langle \text{env}, e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v2$

Extend **closure** environment to bind formal parameter **x** to actual value **v2**

$\text{env}' = \text{defenv}[x \rightarrow v2]$

Evaluate body **e** to a value **v** in environment **env'**

$\langle \text{env}', e \rangle \Rightarrow v$

Return **v**

# Function application rule v2.0

$\langle \text{env}, e1\ e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v$

if  $\langle \text{env}, e1 \rangle \Rightarrow$

$(| \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \ , \ \text{defenv} |)$

and  $\langle \text{env}, e2 \rangle \Rightarrow v2$

and  $\langle \text{defenv}[x \rightarrow v2], e \rangle \Rightarrow v$

# Function values v2.0

Anonymous functions **fun** **x**  $\rightarrow$  **e** are closures:

$\langle \text{env}, \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \rangle$

$\Rightarrow ( | \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \text{ , env } | )$

# Closures in OCaml bytecode compiler

<https://github.com/ocaml/ocaml/search?q=kclosure>

## Results in `ocaml/ocaml`

[bytecomp/instruct.ml](#)

OCaml

Showing the top match Last indexed on Sep 15, 2016

```
63 | Krestart
64 | Kgrab of int (* number of arguments *)
65 | Kclosure of label * int
```

[bytecomp/printinstr.ml](#)

OCaml

Showing the top match Last indexed on Sep 15, 2016

```
35 | Kgrab n -> fprintf ppf "\tgrab %i" n
36 | Kclosure(lbl, n) ->
37   fprintf ppf "\tclosure L%i, %i" lbl n
```

[bytecomp/instruct.mli](#)

OCaml

Showing the top match Last indexed on Aug 10

```
84 | Kgrab of int (* number of arguments *)
85 | Kclosure of label * int
86 | Kclosurerec of label list * int
87 | Koffsetclosure of int
```

# Closures in Java

- Nested classes can simulate closures
  - Used everywhere for Swing GUI!  
<http://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/uiswing/events/generalrules.html#innerClasses>
  - You've done it yourself already in 2110
- Java 8 adds higher-order functions and closures



# Closures in C

- In C, a *function pointer* is just a code pointer, period. No environment.
- To simulate closures, a common **idiom**:  
Define function pointers to take an extra, explicit environment argument
  - But without generics, no good choice for type of list elements or the environment
  - Use `void*` and various type casts...
- From Linux kernel:  
<http://lxr.free-electrons.com/source/include/linux/kthread.h#L13>

# Upcoming events

- [Wednesday/Thursday] Project demos!

*This is closure.*

**THIS IS 3110**