

CS 3110

Lecture 3: Functions and data

Prof. Clarkson

Fall 2014

Today's music: Function by E-40 (Clean remix)

Review

Last week:

- Intro to syntax and semantics of OCaml

Today:

- **Functions:** the most important part of functional programming
- **Data:** datatypes, records, tuples

Function declaration

Functions: **the most important building block** in the whole course

- Like Java methods, have arguments and result
- But no classes, **this**, **return**, etc.

Example *function declaration*:

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

Note: “**rec**” is required because the body includes a recursive *function call*:
pow(x,y-1)

Questions

If we want to understand functions in OCaml, what questions do we need to ask?

Syntax?

Type checking?

Evaluation?

Function declaration: 3 questions

- **Syntax:** (for now)

`let rec f (($x1 : t1$), ... , ($xn : tn$)) : t = e`

- **Evaluation:**

– No evaluation to do, yet; just declaring the function

- **Type-checking:**

– Conclude that $f : (t1 * \dots * tn) \rightarrow t$
if $e : t$ under assumptions:

- $x1 : t1, \dots, xn : tn$ (arguments with their types)
- $f : (t1 * \dots * tn) \rightarrow t$ (for recursion)

Function calls

A new kind of expression: 3 questions

Syntax: (for now)

$e_0 (e_1, \dots, e_n)$

- Parentheses optional if there is exactly one argument
- Space before left paren is optional

Type-checking:

If:

- e_0 has some type $(t_1 * \dots * t_n) \rightarrow t$
- e_1 has type t_1 , ..., e_n has type t_n

Then:

- $e_0 (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ has type t

Example: `pow(x, y-1)` in previous example has type `int`

Function calls, continued

$e_0 (e_1, \dots, e_n)$

Evaluation:

1. Evaluate e_0 to a function

`let rec x_0 ($(x_1 : t_1)$, ... , $(x_n : t_n)$) = e`

– Since call type-checked, result is guaranteed to be a function

2. Evaluate arguments to values v_1, \dots, v_n
3. Substitute v_i for x_i in e -- again, TRICKY -- producing expression e'
4. Evaluate e' to a value v , which is result

Example functions

```
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

```
let cube (x : int) : int =  
  pow (x,3)
```

```
let sixtyfour = cube 4
```

```
let fortytwo = pow(2,4) + pow(4,2) + cube(2) + 2
```

Longer examples in the notes—study them!

Question #1

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> ???

Question #1

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)
(* returns: x to the power of y *)
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =
  if y=0 then 1
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> ???

A. 9

B. if y=0 then 1 else x*pow(x,y-1)

C. 2*pow(3,2)

D. if 2=0 then 1 else 3*pow(3,2-1)

Question #1

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> ???

A. 9

B. if y=0 then 1 else x*pow(x,y-1)

C. 2*pow(3,2)

D. if 2=0 then 1 else 3*pow(3,2-1)

Question #1

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> if 2=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)

Question #2

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> if 2=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)

--> ???

Question #2

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> if 2=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)

--> ???

A. false

B. if false then 1 else 3*pow(3,2-1)

C. 3*pow(3,2-1)

D. 3*3

Question #2

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> if 2=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)

--> ???

A. false

B. if false then 1 else 3*pow(3,2-1)

C. 3*pow(3,2-1)

D. 3*3

Question #2

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)  
(* returns: x to the power of y *)  
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

pow(3,2)

--> if 2=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)

--> if false then 1 else 3*pow(3,2-1)

Example function evaluation

```
(* requires: y>=0 *)
(* returns: x to the power of y *)
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =
  if y=0 then 1
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

```
pow(3,2)
--> if 2=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)
--> if false then 1 else 3 * pow(3,2-1)
--> 3 * pow(3,2-1)
--> 3 * pow(3,1)
--> 3 * (if 1=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,1-1))
--> 3 * (if false then 1 else 3 * pow(3,1-1))
--> 3 * (3 * pow(3,1-1))
--> 3 * (3 * pow(3,0))
--> 3 * (3 * (if 0=0 then 1 else 3 * pow(3,0-1)))
--> 3 * (3 * (if true then 1 else 3 * pow(3,0-1)))
--> 3 * (3 * 1)
--> 3 * 3
--> 9
```

Alternative function syntax

All three are equivalent:

```
let abs (x : int) : int =  
  if x < 0 then -x else x
```

```
let abs : int -> int =  
  function x -> if x < 0 then -x else x
```

```
let abs : int -> int =  
  fun x -> if x < 0 then -x else x
```

(and you could leave out the types, too)

Omitting argument types

When argument type omitted, so are extra parens:

```
let rec pow ((x : int), (y : int)) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

```
let rec pow' (x , y) : int =  
  if y=0 then 1  
  else x * pow(x,y-1)
```

```
let cube (x : int) : int =  
  pow (x,3)
```

```
let cube' x : int =  
  pow (x,3)
```

Some gotchas

Three common “gotchas”:

- The use of `*` in type syntax is not multiplication
 - Example: `int * int -> int`
 - In expressions, `*` is multiplication: `x * pow(x, y-1)`
- Order matters: cannot refer to later function bindings from earlier
 - So helper functions must come before their uses
 - Need **and** construct for *mutual recursion*
- Inscrutable error messages if you mess up function-argument syntax

Function specifications

Specification: contract between function and rest of the code about how function will behave

```
(* requires: precondition *)  
(* returns:  postcondition *)  
let f(x) = ...
```

Function specifications

Postcondition: Predicate that is guaranteed to hold when function returns

- **Responsibility:** Function must ensure that postcondition holds
- Function names usually give a clue as to postcondition

```
(* requires: ... *)  
(* returns:  the lowercase character  
            corresponding to c *)  
let lowercase (c : char) : char = ...
```

Function specifications

Precondition: Predicate that is assumed to hold when function is called

- Responsibility: Programmer who calls function must ensure that precondition holds

```
(* requires: c is an uppercase letter *)  
(* returns:  the lowercase character  
   corresponding to c *)  
let lowercase (c : char) : char = ...
```

- If precondition doesn't hold, function is allowed to behave arbitrarily
- Robust implementations try to do something sane though
 - e.g., check precondition and immediately fail if it doesn't hold
- If function has no particular precondition, omit **requires** comment

Question #3

Given this code, which are permissible behaviors for `lowercase ('?')`?

```
(* requires: c is an uppercase letter *)  
(* returns:  the lowercase character  
    corresponding to c *)  
let lowercase (c : char) : char = ...
```

- A. It can throw an exception
- B. Return `'?'`
- C. Return `"zardo"`
- D. A and B
- E. A, B, and C

Question #3

Given this code, which are permissible behaviors for `lowercase ('?')`?

```
(* requires: c is an uppercase letter *)  
(* returns:  the lowercase character  
   corresponding to c *)  
let lowercase (c : char) : char = ...
```

- A. It can throw an exception
- B. Return `'?'`
- C. Return `"zardo"`
- D. A and B**
- E. A, B, and C

FUNCTIONS...DATA

Two new kinds of data

- **Datatypes** (*one-of* types)
- **Records, tuples** (*each-of* types)

Datatype declaration

- New sort of declaration (variable declaration, function declaration): *type declaration*

```
type mybool = Myfalse | Mytrue
```

- Creates a *one-of type* named **mybool**
- Creates two *constructors* named **Mytrue** and **Myfalse**
 - Those are also values of type **mybool**
- In fact, that's effectively how Booleans are defined in OCaml:

```
type bool = false | true
```

Datatype for Days

```
(* similar to an enum in Java or C *)

type day = Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed
         | Thu | Fri | Sat

(* returns: the day of the week for d *)
let day_to_int (d : day) =
  if d=Sun then 1
  else if d=Mon then 2
  else if d=Tue then 3
  else if d=Wed then 4
  else if d=Thu then 5
  else if d=Fri then 6
  else (* d=Sat *) 7
```

But there's a much more idiomatic way of expressing this in OCaml...

Datatype for Days

```
let day_to_int (d : day) =  
  match d with  
  | Sun -> 1  
  | Mon -> 2  
  | Tue -> 3  
  | Wed -> 4  
  | Thu -> 5  
  | Fri -> 6  
  | Sat -> 7
```

These are patterns

Pattern matching: more beautiful idiom than nested if expressions

Datatype semantics

- We've seen **syntax** for datatype declarations, pattern matching
- What about **type checking**, **evaluation**?
...hold that thought!

Record declaration

- Also declared with *type declaration*:

```
type time = {hour: int; min: int; ampm: string}
```

– Creates a *each-of type* named **time**

- To *build* a record:

```
{hour=10; min=10; ampm="am"}
```

– order of *fields* doesn't matter; could write

```
{min=10; ampm="am"; hour=10}
```

- To *access* fields of record variable **t**:

```
t.min
```


Record expressions

- **Syntax:** $\{f1 = e1; \dots; fn = en\}$
- **Evaluation:**
 - If $e1 \rightarrow v1$, and $e2 \rightarrow v2$, and ... $en \rightarrow vn$
 - Then $\{f1 = e1; \dots; fn = en\} \rightarrow \{f1 = v1, \dots, fn = vn\}$
 - Result is a *record value*
- **Type-checking:**
 - If $e1 : t1$ and $e2 : t2$ and ... $en : tn$,
 - and if t is a declared type of the form $\{f1 : t1, \dots, fn : tn\}$
 - then $\{f1 = e1; \dots; fn = en\} : t$

Record field access

- **Syntax:** $e.f$
- **Evaluation:**
 - If $e \rightarrow \{f = v, \dots\}$
 - Then $e.f \rightarrow v$
- **Type-checking:**
 - If $e : t_1$
 - and if t_1 is a declared type of the form $\{f : t_2, \dots\}$
 - then $e.f : t_2$

Datatypes vs. records

	Declare	Build/ construct	Access/ destruct
Datatype	type	Constructor name	Pattern matching with match
Record	type	Record expression with {...}	Field selection with dot operator .

Question 4

Which of the following would be better represented with records rather than datatypes?

- A. *Coins*, which can be pennies, nickels, dimes, or quarters
- B. *Students*, who have names and NetIDs
- C. *A plated dessert*, which has a sauce, a creamy component, and a crunchy component
- D. A and C
- E. B and C

Question 4

Which of the following would be better represented with records rather than datatypes?

- A. Coins, which can be pennies, nickels, dimes, or quarters
- B. Students, who have names **and** NetIDs
- C. *A plated dessert*, which has a sauce, a creamy component, **and** a crunchy component
- D. A and C
- E. B and C**

Your turn!

- Part of your development as a programmer is learning new language features **on your own**
- Here's your chance to practice:
 - Learn **pairs, tuples, and unit**
 - The remaining slides will help you
 - We'll start the next lecture with some clicker questions about them!

By name vs. by position

- Fields of record are identified **by name**
 - order we write fields in expression is irrelevant
- Opposite choice: identify **by position**
 - e.g., “Would the student named NN. step forward?”
vs. “Would the student in seat n step forward?”
- You’re accustomed to both:
 - Java object fields accessed by name
 - Java method arguments passed by position
(but accessed in method body by name)
- OCaml has something you might not have seen:
 - A kind of data accessed by position

Pairs

A **pair** of data: two pieces of data glued together

e.g.,

- `(1, 2)`
- `(true, "Hello")`
- `("cs", 3110)`

Note: looks a lot like the arguments passed to a 2-argument function

We need a way to *build* pairs and a way to *access* the pieces

Pairs: building

- Syntax: $(e1, e2)$
- Evaluation:
 - If $e1 \rightarrow v1$ and $e2 \rightarrow v2$
 - Then $(e1, e2) \rightarrow (v1, v2)$
 - A pair of values is itself a value
- Type-checking:
 - If $e1 : t1$ and $e2 : t2$,
 - then $(e1, e2) : t1 * t2$
 - A new kind of type, the **pair type**
 - (*Though we've seen $*$ before in function types...*)

Pairs: accessing

- **Syntax:** `fst e` and `snd e`
 - *Projection functions*
- **Evaluation:**
 - If `e` \rightarrow `(v1, v2)`
 - then `fst e` \rightarrow `v1`
 - and `snd e` \rightarrow `v2`
- **Type-checking:**
 - If `e`: `ta*tb`,
 - then `fst e` has type `ta`
 - and `snd e` has type `tb`

Tuples

Actually, you can have *tuples* with more than two parts

- A new feature: a generalization of pairs
- Syntax, semantics are straightforward, except...

- `(e1, e2, ..., en)`
- `t1 * t2 * ... * tn`
- `fst e, snd e, ???`

Instead of generalizing projection functions,
use **pattern matching**...

Pattern-matching tuples

```
let sum_triple (triple:int*int*int) =  
  let (x, y, z) = triple  
  in x + y + z
```

- `(x, y, z)` is a *pattern*
 - because it's on the LHS of equals in `let`
- Evaluation (intuitively):
 - Value on RHS of equals is “matched” against pattern
 - Each variable in pattern is bound to “matching” part of value

Pattern-matching records

The same syntax works for records:

```
type stooges = {larry:int; moe:int; curly:int}

let sum_stooges (s:stooges) =
  let {larry=x; moe=y; curly=z} = s
  in x + y + z
```

By name vs. by position, again

- Little difference between $(4, 7, 9)$ and $\{f=4; g=7; h=9\}$
 - Tuples a little shorter
 - Records a little easier to remember “what is where”
 - Names are self-documenting
 - Generally a matter of taste, but for many (4? 8? 12?) fields, a record is usually a better choice

Datatypes vs. records vs. tuples

	Declare	Build/construct	Access/destruct
Datatype	type	Constructor name	Pattern matching with match
Record	type	Record expression with {...}	Pattern matching with let OR field selection with dot operator .
Tuple	N/A	Tuple expression with (...)	Pattern matching with let OR fst or snd

Unit

- Can actually have a tuple `()` with no components whatsoever
 - Think of it as a degenerate tuple
 - Or, like a Boolean that can only have one value
- “Unit” is
 - a value written `()`
 - and a type written `unit`
- Might seem dumb now; will be useful later!

Please hold still for 1 more minute

WRAP-UP FOR TODAY

Registration

If you (still) want in:

- Keep attending and doing problem sets
- Email Course Administrator with your full name and NetID by the **end of today**
- You will be placed in “Waiting Set”. NO PROMISES.
- Tomorrow, I begin working through the Waiting Set

Upcoming events

- **PS 0 is out now, PS1 comes out Thursday**
- No recitations on Tuesday (today), there are recitations Wednesday and Thursday
- Clarkson office hours this week: TR 1:30-2:30
- TA office hours and consulting start soon; times and places TBA

We trynna function.

THIS IS 3110