

# What's It All About?

- Continuous mathematics—*calculus*—considers objects that vary continuously
  - distance from the wall
- Discrete mathematics considers *discrete* objects, that come in *discrete* bundles
  - number of babies: can't have 1.2

The mathematical techniques for discrete mathematics differ from those for continuous mathematics:

- counting/combinatorics
- number theory
- probability
- logic

We'll be studying these techniques in this course.

# Why is it computer science?

This is basically a mathematics course:

- no programming
- lots of theorems to prove

So why is it computer science?

Discrete mathematics is the mathematics underlying almost all of computer science:

- Designing high-speed networks
- Finding good algorithms for sorting
- Doing good web searches
- Analysis of algorithms
- Proving algorithms correct

# This Course

We will be focusing on:

- Tools for discrete mathematics:
  - computational number theory (handouts)
    - \* the mathematics behind the RSA cryptosystems
  - a little graph theory (Chapter 3)
  - counting/combinatorics (Chapter 4)
  - probability (Chapter 6)
    - \* randomized algorithms for primality testing, routing
  - logic (Chapter 7)
    - \* how do you *prove* a program is correct
- Tools for proving things:
  - induction (Chapter 2)
  - (to a lesser extent) recursion

First, some background you'll need but may not have ...

# Sets

You need to be comfortable with set notation:

$$S = \{m \mid 2 \leq m \leq 100, m \text{ is an integer}\}$$

$S$  is

the set of

all  $m$

such that

$m$  is between 2 and 100

and

$m$  is an integer.

# Important Sets

(More notation you need to know and love ...)

- $N$  (occasionally  $\mathbb{N}$ ): the nonnegative integers  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $N^+$ : the positive integers  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $Z$ : all integers  $\{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $Q$ : the rational numbers  $\{a/b : a, b \in Z, b \neq 0\}$
- $R$ : the real numbers
- $Q^+, R^+$ : the positive rationals/real numbers

# Set Notation

- $|S| = \textit{cardinality of}$  (number of elements in)  $S$ 
  - $|\{a, b, c\}| = 3$
- **Subset:**  $A \subset B$  if every element of  $A$  is an element of  $B$ 
  - Note: Lots of people (including me, but not the authors of the text) usually write  $A \subset B$  only if  $A$  is a *strict* or *proper* subset of  $B$  (i.e.,  $A \neq B$ ). I write  $A \subseteq B$  if  $A = B$  is possible.
- Power set:  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  is the set of all subsets of  $S$  (sometimes denoted  $2^S$ ).
  - E.g.,  $\mathcal{P}(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$
  - $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^{|S|}$

# Set Operations

- **Union:**  $S \cup T$  is the set of all elements in  $S$  or  $T$ 
  - $S \cup T = \{x | x \in S \text{ or } x \in T\}$
  - $\{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{3, 4, 5\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
- **Intersection:**  $S \cap T$  is the set of all elements in both  $S$  and  $T$ 
  - $S \cap T = \{x | x \in S, x \in T\}$
  - $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap \{3, 4, 5\} = \{3\}$
- **Set Difference:**  $S - T$  is the set of all elements in  $S$  not in  $T$ 
  - $S - T = \{x | x \in S, x \notin T\}$
  - $\{3, 4, 5\} - \{1, 2, 3\} = \{4, 5\}$
- **Complementation:**  $\overline{S}$  is the set of elements not in  $S$ 
  - What is  $\overline{\{1, 2, 3\}}$ ?
  - Complementation doesn't make sense unless there is a *universe*, the set of elements we want to consider.
  - If  $U$  is the universe,  $\overline{S} = \{x | x \in U, x \notin S\}$
  - $\overline{S} = U - S$ .

## A Connection

**Lemma:** For all sets  $S$  and  $T$ , we have

$$S = (S \cap T) \cup (S - T)$$

**Proof:** We'll show (1)  $S \subset (S \cap T) \cup (S - T)$  and (2)  $(S \cap T) \cup (S - T) \subset S$ .

For (1), suppose  $x \in S$ . Either  
(a)  $x \in T$  or (b)  $x \notin T$ .

If (a) holds, then  $x \in S \cap T$ .

If (b) holds, then  $x \in S - T$ .

In either case,  $x \in (S \cap T) \cup (S - T)$ .

Since this is true for all  $x \in S$ , we have (1).

For (2), suppose  $x \in (S \cap T) \cup (S - T)$ . Thus, either (a)  $x \in (S \cap T)$  or  $x \in (S - T)$ . Either way,  $x \in S$ .

Since this is true for all  $x \in (S \cap T) \cup (S - T)$ , we have (2).



## Two Important Morals

1. One way to show  $S = T$  is to show  $S \subset T$  and  $T \subset S$ .
2. One way to show  $S \subset T$  is to show that for every  $x \in S$ ,  $x$  is also in  $T$ .

# Relations

- **Cartesian product:**

$$S \times T = \{(s, t) : s \in S, t \in T\}$$

- $\{1, 2, 3\} \times \{3, 4\} = \{(1, 3), (2, 3), (3, 3), (1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$
- $|S \times T| = |S| \times |T|$ .

- A *relation* on  $S$  and  $T$  (or, on  $S \times T$ ) is a subset of  $S \times T$

- A *relation* on  $S$  is a subset of  $S \times S$

- *Taller than* is a relation on people: (Joe, Sam) is in the Taller than relation if Joe is Taller than Sam
- *Larger than* is a relation on  $R$ :

$$L = \{(x, y) | x, y \in R, x > y\}$$

- *Divisibility* is a relation on  $N$ :

$$D = \{(x, y) | x, y \in N, x|y\}$$

# Reflexivity, Symmetry, Transitivity

- A relation  $R$  on  $S$  is *reflexive* if  $(x, x) \in R$  for all  $x \in S$ .
  - $\leq$  is reflexive;  $<$  is not
- A relation  $R$  on  $S$  is *symmetric* if  $(x, y) \in R$  implies  $(y, x) \in R$ .
  - “sibling-of” is symmetric (what about “sister of”)
  - $\leq$  is not symmetric
- A relation  $R$  on  $S$  is *transitive* if  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, z) \in R$  implies  $(x, z) \in R$ .
  - $\leq, <, \geq, >$  are all transitive;
  - “parent-of” is not transitive; “ancestor-of” is

Pictorially, we have:

# Transitive Closure

[[NOT DISCUSSED ENOUGH IN THE TEXT]]

The *transitive closure* of a relation  $R$  is the least relation  $R^*$  such that

1.  $R \subset R^*$
2.  $R^*$  is transitive (so that if  $(u, v), (v, w) \in R^*$ , then so is  $(u, w)$ ).

**Example:** Suppose  $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 4)\}$ .

- $R^* = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), (1, 4)\}$
- we need to add  $(1, 3)$ , because  $(1, 2), (2, 3) \in R$

Note that we don't need to add  $(2, 4)$ .

- If  $(2, 1), (1, 4)$  were in  $R$ , then we'd need  $(2, 4)$
- $(1, 2), (1, 4)$  doesn't force us to add anything (it doesn't fit the "pattern" of transitivity).

Note that if  $R$  is already transitive, then  $R^* = R$ .

# Equivalence Relations

- A relation  $R$  is an *equivalence relation* if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive
  - $=$  is an equivalence relation
  - *Parity* is an equivalence relation on  $N$ ;  
 $(x, y) \in \textit{Parity}$  if  $x - y$  is even

# Functions

We think of a function  $f : S \rightarrow T$  as providing a mapping from  $S$  to  $T$ . But ...

Formally, a *function* is a relation  $R$  on  $S \times T$  such that for each  $s \in S$ , there is a unique  $t \in T$  such that  $(s, t) \in R$ .

If  $f : S \rightarrow T$ , then  $S$  is the *domain* of  $f$ ,  $T$  is the *range*;  $\{y : f(x) = y \text{ for some } x \in S\}$  is the *image*.

We often think of a function as being characterized by an algebraic formula

- $y = 3x - 2$  characterizes  $f(x) = 3x - 2$ .

It ain't necessarily so.

- Some formulas don't characterize functions:
  - $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  defines a circle; no unique  $y$  for each  $x$
- Some functions can't be characterized by algebraic formulas
  - $f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

# Function Terminology

Suppose  $f : S \rightarrow T$

- $f$  is *onto* (or *surjective*) if, for each  $t \in T$ , there is some  $s \in S$  such that  $f(s) = t$ .

- if  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , then  $f$  is onto

- if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , then  $f$  is *not* onto

- $f$  is *one-to-one* (1-1, *injective*) if it is not the case that  $s \neq s'$  and  $f(s) = f(s')$ .

- if  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , then  $f$  is 1-1

- if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , then  $f$  is *not* 1-1.



• a function is *bijective* if it is 1-1 and onto.

◦ if  $f : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , then  $f$  is bijective

◦ if  $f : R \rightarrow R$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , then  $f$  is *not* bijective.

If  $f : S \rightarrow T$  is bijective, then  $|S| = |T|$ .

# Inverse Functions

If  $f : S \rightarrow T$ , then  $f^{-1}$  maps an element in the range of  $f$  to all the elements that are mapped to it by  $f$ .

$$f^{-1}(t) = \{s \mid f(s) = t\}$$

- if  $f(2) = 3$ , then  $2 \in f^{-1}(3)$ .

$f^{-1}$  is not a function from  $\text{range}(f)$  to  $S$ .

It is a function if  $f$  is one-to-one.

- In this case,  $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ .

# Functions You Should Know (and Love)

- *Absolute value*: Domain =  $R$ ; Range =  $\{0\} \cup R^+$

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

- $|3| = |-3| = 3$

- *Floor function*: Domain =  $R$ ; Range =  $Z$

$\lfloor x \rfloor$  = largest integer not greater than  $x$

- $\lfloor 3.2 \rfloor = 3$ ;  $\lfloor \sqrt{3} \rfloor = 1$ ;  $\lfloor -2.5 \rfloor = -3$

- *Ceiling function*: Domain =  $R$ ; Range =  $Z$

$\lceil x \rceil$  = smallest integer not less than  $x$

- $\lceil 3.2 \rceil = 4$ ;  $\lceil \sqrt{3} \rceil = 2$ ;  $\lceil -2.5 \rceil = -2$

- *Factorial function*: Domain = Range =  $N$

$$n! = n(n-1)(n-2)\dots 3 \times 2 \times 1$$

- $5! = 5 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 120$

- By convention,  $0! = 1$

# Exponents

*Exponential with base a:* Domain =  $R$ , Range =  $R^+$

$$f(x) = a^x$$

- Note:  $a$ , the *base*, is fixed;  $x$  varies
- You probably know:  $a^n = a \times \cdots \times a$  ( $n$  times)

How do we define  $f(x)$  if  $x$  is not a positive integer?

- **Want:** (1)  $a^{x+y} = a^x a^y$ ; (2)  $a^1 = a$

This means

- $a^2 = a^{1+1} = a^1 a^1 = a \times a$
- $a^3 = a^{2+1} = a^2 a^1 = a \times a \times a$
- ...
- $a^n = a \times \cdots \times a$  ( $n$  times)

We get more:

- $a = a^1 = a^{1+0} = a \times a^0$ 
  - Therefore  $a^0 = 1$
- $1 = a^0 = a^{b+(-b)} = a^b \times a^{-b}$ 
  - Therefore  $a^{-b} = 1/a^b$

- $a = a^1 = a^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} = a^{\frac{1}{2}} \times a^{\frac{1}{2}} = (a^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ 
  - Therefore  $a^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{a}$
- Similar arguments show that  $a^{\frac{1}{k}} = \sqrt[k]{a}$
- $a^{mx} = a^x \times \cdots \times a^x$  ( $m$  times)  $= (a^x)^m$ 
  - Thus,  $a^{\frac{m}{n}} = (a^{\frac{1}{n}})^m = (\sqrt[n]{a})^m$ .

This determines  $a^x$  for all  $x$  rational. The rest follows by continuity.

I'm going to assume that you know about logarithms too.

- If not, read the text and come to office hours!

## Computing $a^n$ quickly

What's the best way to compute  $a^{1000}$ ?

One way: multiply  $a \times a \times a \times a \dots$

- This requires 999 multiplications.

Can we do better?

How many multiplications are needed to compute:

- $a^2$
- $a^4$
- $a^8$
- $a^{16}$
- $\dots$

Write 1000 in binary: 1111101000

- How many multiplications are needed to calculate  $a^{1000}$ ?

# Sum and Product Notation

$$\sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^i = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots + a_k x^k$$

$$\sum_{i=2}^5 i^2 = 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + 5^2 = 54$$

Can limit the set of values taken on by the *index*  $i$ :

$$\sum_{\{i:2 \leq i \leq 8 | i \text{ even}\}} a_i = a_2 + a_4 + a_6 + a_8$$

Can have double sums:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=0}^3 a_{ij} \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^2 (\sum_{j=0}^3 a_{ij}) \\ = & \sum_{j=0}^3 a_{1j} + \sum_{j=0}^3 a_{2j} \\ = & a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{20} + a_{21} + a_{22} + a_{23} \end{aligned}$$

Product notation similar:

$$\prod_{i=0}^k a_i = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_k$$

# Changing the Limits of Summation

This is like changing the limits of integration.

- $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1} = a_1 + \cdots + a_{n+1}$

Steps:

- Start with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i$ .
- Let  $j = i - 1$ . Thus,  $i = j + 1$ .
- Rewrite limits in terms of  $j$ :  $i = 1 \rightarrow j = 0$ ;  $i = n + 1 \rightarrow j = n$
- Rewrite body in terms of  $a_i \rightarrow a_{j+1}$
- Get  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_{j+1}$
- Now replace  $j$  by  $i$  ( $j$  is a dummy variable). Get

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i+1}$$



# Matrix Algebra

An  $m \times n$  *matrix* is a two-dimensional array of numbers, with  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- A  $1 \times n$  matrix  $[a_1 \dots a_n]$  is a *row vector*.
- An  $m \times 1$  matrix is a *column vector*.

We can add two  $m \times n$  matrices:

- If  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$  then  $A + B = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}]$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 \\ 9 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Another important operation: *transposition*.

- If we transpose an  $m \times n$  matrix, we get an  $n \times m$  matrix by switching the rows and columns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 9 \\ 5 & 7 & 12 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 9 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Matrix Multiplication

Given two vectors  $\vec{a} = [a_1, \dots, a_k]$  and  $\vec{b} = [b_1, \dots, b_k]$ , their *inner product* (or *dot product*) is

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i$$

○  $[1, 2, 3] \cdot [-2, 4, 6] = (1 \times -2) + (2 \times 4) + (3 \times 6) = 24.$

We can multiply an  $n \times m$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  by an  $m \times k$  matrix  $B = [b_{ij}]$ , to get an  $n \times k$  matrix  $C = [c_{ij}]$ :

○  $c_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^m a_{ir} b_{rj}$

○ this is the inner product of the  $i$ th row of  $A$  with the  $j$ th column of  $B$

$$\circ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 18 \\ 39 & 41 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$17 = (2 \times 3) + (3 \times 4) + (1 \times -1)$$

$$= (2, 3, 1) \cdot (3, 4, -1)$$

$$18 = (2 \times 7) + (3 \times 2) + (1 \times -2)$$

$$= (2, 3, 1) \cdot (7, 2, -2)$$

$$39 = (5 \times 3) + (7 \times 4) + (4 \times -1)$$

$$= (5, 7, 4) \cdot (3, 4, -1)$$

$$41 = (5 \times 7) + (7 \times 2) + (4 \times -2)$$

$$= (5, 7, 4) \cdot (7, 2, -2)$$

Why is multiplication defined in this strange way?

- Because it's useful!

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= 2y_1 + 3y_2 + y_3 & y_1 &= 3x_1 + 7x_2 \\z_2 &= 5y_1 + 7y_2 + 4y_3 & y_2 &= 4x_1 + 2x_2 \\& & y_3 &= -x_1 - 2x_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose we want to express the  $z$ 's in terms of the  $x$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= 2y_1 + 3y_2 + y_3 \\&= 2(3x_1 + 7x_2) + 3(4x_1 + 2x_2) + (-x_1 - 2x_2) \\&= (2 \times 3 + 3 \times 4 + (-1))x_1 + (2 \times 7 + 3 \times 2 + (-2))x_2 \\&= 17x_1 + 18x_2\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $z_2 = 39x_1 + 41x_2$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Algorithms

An *algorithm* is a recipe for solving a problem.

In the book, a particular language is used for describing algorithms.

- You need to learn the language well enough to read the examples
- You need to learn to express your solution to a problem algorithmically and *unambiguously*
- YOU DO NOT NEED TO LEARN IN DETAIL ALL THE IDIOSYNCRACIES OF THE PARTICULAR LANGUAGE USED IN THE BOOK.
  - \* You will not be tested on it, nor will most of the questions in homework use it
  - \* I suggest you skim Chapter 1; I won't cover it

# Methods of Proof

One way of proving things is by induction.

- That's coming next.

What if you can't use induction?

Typically you're trying to prove a statement like "Given  $X$ , prove (or show that)  $Y$ ". This means you have to prove

$$X \Rightarrow Y$$

In the proof, you're allowed to assume  $X$ , and then show that  $Y$  is true, using  $X$ .

- A special case: if there is no  $X$ , you just have to prove  $Y$  or *true*  $\Rightarrow Y$ .

Alternatively, you can do a *proof by contradiction*: Assume that  $Y$  is false, and show that  $X$  is false.

- This amounts to proving

$$\neg Y \Rightarrow \neg X$$

## Example

**Theorem**  $n$  is odd iff (in and only if)  $n^2$  is odd, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof:** We have to show

1.  $n$  odd  $\Rightarrow n^2$  odd
2.  $n^2$  odd  $\Rightarrow n$  odd

For (1), if  $n$  is odd, it is of the form  $2k + 1$ . Hence,

$$n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$$

Thus,  $n^2$  is odd.

For (2), we proceed by contradiction. Suppose  $n^2$  is odd and  $n$  is even. Then  $n = 2k$  for some  $k$ , and  $n^2 = 4k^2$ . Thus,  $n^2$  is even. This is a contradiction. Thus,  $n$  must be odd.