# Questions/Complaints About Homework?

Here's the procedure for homework questions/complaints:

- 1. Read the solutions first.
- 2. Talk to the person who graded it (check initials)
- 3. If (1) and (2) don't work, talk to me.

Further comments:

- There's no statute of limitations on grade changes
  - although asking questions right away is a good strategy
- Remember that 10/12 homeworks count. Each one is roughly worth 50 points, and homework is 35% of your final grade.
  - $\circ$  16 homework points = 1% on your final grade
- Remember we're grading about 100 homeworks and graders are not expected to be mind readers. It's **your** problem to write clearly.
- Don't forget to staple your homework pages together, add the cover sheet, and put your name on clearly.
  - $\circ$  I'll deduct 2 points if that's not the case

#### Algorithmic number theory

Number theory used to be viewed as the purest branch of pure mathematics.

- Now it's the basis for most modern cryptography.
- Absolutely critical for e-commerce
  - o How do you know your credit card number is safe?

#### Goal:

- To give you a basic understanding of the mathematics behind the RSA cryptosystem
  - $\circ$  Need to understand how prime numbers work

2

#### Division

For  $a, b \in Z$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , a divides b if there is some  $c \in Z$  such that b = ac.

- Notation:  $a \mid b$
- Examples: 3 | 9, 3 \( \) 7

If  $a \mid b$ , then a is a factor of b, b is a multiple of a.

**Theorem 1:** If  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

- 1. if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid (b+c)$ .
- 2. If  $a \mid b$  then  $a \mid (bc)$
- 3. If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$  then  $a \mid c$  (divisibility is transitive).

**Proof:** How do you prove this? Use the definition!

- E.g., if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$ , then, for some  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ ,  $b = ad_1$  and  $c = ad_2$ .
- That means  $b + c = a(d_1 + d_2)$
- So a | (b + c).

Other parts: homework.

**Corollary 1:** If  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$ , then  $a \mid (mb + nc)$  for any integers m and n.

3

### The division algorithm

**Theorem 2:** For  $a \in Z$  and  $d \in N$ , d > 0, there exist unique  $q, r \in Z$  such that  $a = q \cdot d + r$  and  $0 \le r < d$ .

 $\bullet$  r is the remainder when a is divided by d

**Notation:**  $r \equiv a \pmod{d}$ ;  $a \mod d = r$ 

#### Examples:

- Dividing 101 by 11 gives a quotient of 9 and a remainder of 2 (101  $\equiv$  2 (mod 11); 101 mod 11 = 2).
- Dividing 18 by 6 gives a quotient of 3 and a remainder of 0 (18  $\equiv$  0 (mod 6); 18 mod 6 = 0).

**Proof:** Let  $q = \lfloor a/d \rfloor$  and define  $r = a - q \cdot d$ .

• So  $a = q \cdot d + r$  with  $q \in Z$  and  $0 \le r < d$  (since  $q \cdot d \le a$ ).

But why are q and d unique?

- Suppose  $q \cdot d + r = q' \cdot d + r'$  with  $q', r' \in Z$  and 0 < r' < d.
- Then (q' q)d = (r r') with -d < r r' < d.
- The lhs is divisible by d so r = r' and we're done.

#### **Primes**

- If  $p \in N$ , p > 1 is *prime* if its only positive factors are 1 and p.
- $n \in N$  is *composite* if n > 1 and n is not prime.
  - $\circ$  If n is composite then  $a \mid n$  for some  $a \in N$  with 1 < a < n
  - $\circ$  Can assume that  $a \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
    - \* **Proof:** By contradiction: Suppose n = bc,  $b > \sqrt{n}$ ,  $c > \sqrt{n}$ . But then bc > n, a contradiction.

Primes:  $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, \dots$ Composites:  $4, 6, 8, 9, \dots$ 

5

#### The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

**Theorem 3:** Every natural number n > 1 can be uniquely represented as a product of primes, written in nondecreasing size.

• Examples:  $54 = 2 \cdot 3^3$ ,  $100 = 2^2 \cdot 5^2$ ,  $15 = 3 \cdot 5$ .

Proving that that n can be written as a product of primes is easy (by strong induction):

- Base case: 2 is the product of primes (just 2)
- Inductive step: If n > 2 is prime, we are done. If not, n = ab.
  - $\circ$  Must have a < n, b < n.
  - $\circ$  By I.H., both a and b can be written as a product of primes

7

 $\circ$  So n is product of primes

Proving uniqueness is harder.

• We'll do that in a few days . . .

#### Primality testing

How can we tell if  $n \in N$  is prime?

The naive approach: check if  $k \mid n$  for every 1 < k < n.

- But at least  $10^{m-1}$  numbers are  $\leq n$ , if n has m digits
  - o 1000 numbers less than 1000 (a 4-digit number)
  - ∘ 1,000,000 less than 1,000,000 (a 7-digit number)

So the algorithm is exponential time!

We can do a little better

- Skip the even numbers
- $\bullet$  That saves a factor of 2  $\longrightarrow$  not good enough
- Try only primes (Sieve of Eratosthenes)
  - o Still doesn't help much

We can do much better:

- There is a polynomial time randomized algorithm
   We will discuss this when we talk about probability
- In 2002, Agarwal, Saxena, and Kayal gave a (non-probabilistic) polynomial time algorithm
  - o Saxena and Kayal were undergrads in 2002!

6

#### An Algorithm for Prime Factorization

**Fact:** If a is the smallest number > 1 that divides n, then a is prime.

**Proof:** By contradiction. (Left to the reader.)

A multiset is like a set, except repetitions are allowed
 {{2, 2, 3, 3, 5}} is a multiset, not a set

#### PF(n): A prime factorization procedure

Input:  $n \in N^+$ 

**Output:** PFS - a multiset of n's prime factors

 $PFS := \emptyset$ 

for a=2 to  $|\sqrt{n}|$  do

if  $a \mid n$  then PFS := PF $(n/a) \cup \{\{a\}\}$  return PFS

if PFS =  $\emptyset$  then PFS :=  $\{\{n\}\}\$  [n is prime]

Example:  $PF(7007) = \{\{7\}\} \cup PF(1001)$ =  $\{\{7,7\}\} \cup PF(143)$ =  $\{\{7,7,11\}\} \cup PF(13)$ =  $\{\{7,7,11,13\}\}.$ 

8

### The Complexity of Factoring

Algorithm PF runs in exponential time:

• We're checking every number up to  $\sqrt{n}$ 

Can we do better?

- We don't know.
- Modern-day cryptography implicitly depends on the fact that we can't!

9

# The distribution of primes

There are quite a few primes out there:

• Roughly one in every  $\log(n)$  numbers is prime

Formally: let  $\pi(n)$  be the number of primes  $\leq n$ :

**Prime Number Theorem:**  $\pi(n) \sim n/\log(n)$ ; that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi(n)/(n/\log(n)) = 1$$

Why is this important?

- Cryptosystems like RSA use a secret key that is the product of two large (100-digit) primes.
- How do you find two large primes?
  - o Roughly one of every 100 100-digit numbers is prime
  - To find a 100-digit prime;
    - \* Keep choosing odd numbers at random
    - \* Check if they are prime (using fast randomized primality test)
    - \* Keep trying until you find one
    - \* Roughly 100 attempts should do it

#### How Many Primes Are There?

**Theorem 4:** [Euclid] There are infinitely many primes. **Proof:** By contradiction.

- Suppose that there are only finitely many primes:  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ .
- Consider  $q = p_1 \times \cdots \times p_n + 1$
- Clearly  $q > p_1, ..., p_n$ , so it can't be prime.
- So q must have a prime factor, which must be one of  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  (since these are the only primes).
- Suppose it is  $p_i$ .
  - $\circ$  Then  $p_i \mid q$  and  $p_i \mid p_1 \times \cdots \times p_n$
  - $\circ$  So  $p_i \mid (q p_1 \times \cdots \times p_n)$ ; i.e.,  $p_i \mid 1$  (Corollary 1)
  - Contradiction!

Largest currently-known prime (as of 5/04):

- $2^{24036583} 1$ : 7235733 digits
- Check www.utm.edu/research/primes

Primes of the form  $2^p - 1$  where p is prime are called *Mersenne primes*.

• Search for large primes focuses on Mersenne primes

10

#### (Some) Open Problems Involving Primes

- Are there infinitely many Mersenne primes?
- Goldbach's Conjecture: every even number greater than 2 is the sum of two primes.
  - $\circ$  E.g., 6 = 3 + 3, 20 = 17 + 3, 28 = 17 + 11
  - $\circ$  This has been checked out to  $6 \times 10^{16}$  (as of 2003)
  - $\circ$  Every sufficiently large integer (>  $10^{43,000}!)$  is the sum of four primes
- Two prime numbers that differ by two are twin primes
  - E.g.: (3,5), (5,7), (11,13), (17,19), (41,43)
  - $\circ$  also 4, 648, 619, 711, 505  $\times$  2<sup>60,000</sup>  $\pm$  1!

Are there infinitely many twin primes?

All these conjectures are believed to be true, but no one has proved them.

### Greatest Common Divisor (gcd)

**Definition:** For  $a \in Z$  let  $D(a) = \{k \in N : k \mid a\}$ 

•  $D(a) = \{\text{divisors of } a\}.$ 

**Claim.**  $|D(a)| < \infty$  if (and only if)  $a \neq 0$ .

**Proof:** If  $a \neq 0$  and  $k \mid a$ , then 0 < k < a.

**Definition:** For  $a, b \in Z$ ,  $CD(a, b) = D(a) \cap D(b)$  is

the set of common divisors of a, b.

**Definition:** The greatest common divisor of a and b is

$$gcd(a, b) = max(CD(a, b)).$$

#### Examples:

- gcd(6, 9) = 3
- gcd(13, 100) = 1
- gcd(6, 45) = 3

**Def.** a and b are relatively prime if gcd(a, b) = 1.

- Example: 4 and 9 are relatively prime.
- Two numbers are relatively prime iff they have no common prime factors.

Efficient computation of gcd(a, b) lies at the heart of commercial cryptography.

13

# Computing the GCD

There is a method for calculating the gcd that goes back to Euclid:

• Recall: if n > m and q divides both n and m, then q divides n - m and n + m.

Therefore gcd(n, m) = gcd(m, n - m).

- Proof: Show that CD(n,m) = CD(m,n-m); i.e. show that q divides both n and m iff q divides both m and n-m. (If q divides n and m, then q divides n-m by the argument above. If q divides m and n-m, then q divides m+(n-m)=n.)
- This allows us to reduce the gcd computation to a simpler case.

We can do even better:

- $gcd(n, m) = gcd(m, n m) = gcd(m, n 2m) = \dots$
- keep going as long as  $n qm \ge 0 \lfloor n/m \rfloor$  steps

Consider gcd(6, 45):

- |45/6| = 7; remainder is 3  $(45 \equiv 3 \pmod{6})$
- $gcd(6, 45) = gcd(6, 45 7 \times 6) = gcd(6, 3) = 3$

15

#### Least Common Multiple (lcm)

**Definition:** The least common multiple of  $a, b \in N^+$ , lcm(a, b), is the smallest  $n \in N^+$  such that  $a \mid n$  and  $b \mid n$ .

• Examples: lcm(4, 9) = 36, lcm(4, 10) = 20.

14

We can keep this up this procedure to compute  $gcd(n_1, n_2)$ :

- If  $n_1 \ge n_2$ , write  $n_1$  as  $q_1 n_2 + r_1$ , where  $0 \le r_1 < n_2$ •  $q_1 = |n_1/n_2|$
- $\bullet \gcd(n_1, n_2) = \gcd(r_1, n_2)$
- Now  $r_1 < n_2$ , so switch their roles:
- $n_2 = q_2 r_1 + r_2$ , where  $0 \le r_2 < r_1$
- $\bullet \gcd(r_1, n_2) = \gcd(r_1, r_2)$
- Notice that  $\max(n_1, n_2) > \max(r_1, n_2) > \max(r_1, r_2)$
- Keep going until we have a remainder of 0 (i.e., something of the form  $gcd(r_k, 0)$  or  $(gcd(0, r_k))$ 
  - o This is bound to happen sooner or later

#### **Euclid's Algorithm**

```
Input m, n  [m, n natural numbers, m \ge n]
num \leftarrow m; \ denom \leftarrow n \quad [\text{Initialize } num \ \text{and } denom]
repeat \ until \ denom = 0
q \leftarrow \lfloor num/denom \rfloor
rem \leftarrow num - (q * denom) \ [num \ \text{mod } denom = rem]
num \leftarrow denom \qquad [\text{New } num]
denom \leftarrow rem \quad [\text{New } denom; \ \text{note } num \ge denom]
endrepeat
Output num \ [num = \gcd(m, n)]
```

Example: gcd(84, 33)

```
Iteration 1: num = 84, denom = 33, q = 2, rem = 18
Iteration 2: num = 33, denom = 18, q = 1, rem = 15
Iteration 3: num = 18, denom = 15, q = 1, rem = 3
Iteration 4: num = 15, denom = 3, q = 5, rem = 0
Iteration 5: num = 3, denom = 0 \Rightarrow \gcd(84, 33) = 3
```

17

### Euclid's Algorithm: Complexity

```
Input m, n  [m, n natural numbers, m \ge n]
num \leftarrow m; \ denom \leftarrow n \quad [\text{Initialize } num \ \text{and } denom]
repeat \ until \ denom = 0
q \leftarrow \lfloor num/denom \rfloor
rem \leftarrow num - (q * denom)
num \leftarrow denom \quad [\text{New } num]
denom \leftarrow rem \quad [\text{New } denom; \ \text{note } num \ge denom]
endrepeat
Output num \ [num = \gcd(m, n)]
```

How many times do we go through the loop in the Euclidean algorithm:

- Best case: Easy. Never!
- Average case: Too hard
- Worst case: Can't answer this exactly, but we can get a good upper bound.
  - See how fast *denom* goes down in each iteration.

#### **Euclid's Algorithm: Correctness**

How do we know this works?

- We need to prove that
  - (a) the algorithm terminates and
- (b) that it correctly computes the gcd

We prove (a) and (b) simultaneously by finding appropriate loop invariants and using induction:

• Notation: Let  $num_k$  and  $denom_k$  be the values of num and denom at the beginning of the kth iteration.

P(k) has three parts:

- $(1) 0 < num_{k+1} + denom_{k+1} < num_k + denom_k$
- (2)  $0 \le denom_k \le num_k$ .
- (3)  $gcd(num_k, denom_k) = gcd(m, n)$ 
  - Termination follows from parts (1) and (2): if  $num_k + denom_k$  decreases and  $0 \le denom_k \le num_k$ , then eventually  $denom_k$  must hit 0.
  - Correctness follows from part (3).
  - The induction step is proved by looking at the details of the loop.

18

**Claim:** After two iterations, *denom* is halved:

- Recall num = q \* denom + rem. Use denom' and denom'' to denote value of denom after 1 and 2 iterations. Two cases:
  - 1.  $rem \leq denom/2 \Rightarrow denom' \leq denom/2$  and denom'' < denom/2.
  - 2. rem > denom/2. But then num' = denom, denom' = rem. At next iteration, q = 1, and denom'' = rem' = num' denom' < denom/2
- How long until denom is  $\leq 1$ ?
  - $\circ < 2\log_2(m)$  steps!
- After at most  $2\log_2(m)$  steps, denom = 0.

#### The Extended Euclidean Algorithm

**Theorem 5:** For  $a, b \in N$ , not both 0, we can compute  $s, t \in Z$  such that

$$\gcd(a,b) = sa + tb.$$

• Example:  $gcd(9,4) = 1 = 1 \cdot 9 + (-2) \cdot 4$ .

**Proof:** By strong induction on  $\max(a, b)$ . Suppose without loss of generality  $a \leq b$ .

- If  $\max(a, b) = 1$ , then must have b = 1,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ •  $\gcd(a, b) = 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot b$ .
- If  $\max(a, b) > 1$ , there are three cases:

$$\circ a = 0$$
; then  $gcd(0, b) = b = 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot b$ 

$$\circ a = b$$
; then  $gcd(a, b) = a = 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot b$ 

 $\circ$  If 0 < a < b, then  $\gcd(a,b) = \gcd(a,b-a).$  Moreover,  $\max(a,b) > \max(a,b-a).$  Thus, by IH, we can compute  $s,\,t$  such that

$$\gcd(a,b) = \gcd(a,b-a) = sa + t(b-a) = (s-t)a + tb.$$

**Note:** this computation basically follows the "recipe" of Euclid's algorithm.

21

# Some Consequences

**Corollary 2:** If a and b are relatively prime, then there exist s and t such that as + bt = 1.

**Corollary 3:** If gcd(a, b) = 1 and  $a \mid bc$ , then  $a \mid c$ . **Proof:** 

- Exist  $s, t \in Z$  such that sa + tb = 1
- Multiply both sides by c: sac + tbc = c
- Since  $a \mid bc$ ,  $a \mid sac + tbc$ , so  $a \mid c$

**Corollary 4:** If p is prime and  $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ , then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le n$ .

**Proof:** By induction on n:

• If n = 1: trivial.

Suppose the result holds for n and  $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i$ .

- note that  $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i = (\prod_{i=1}^n a_i) a_{n+1}$ .
- If  $p \mid a_{n+1}$  we are done.
- If not,  $gcd(p, a_{n+1}) = 1$ .
- By Corollary 3,  $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$
- By the IH,  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

# Example of Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Recall that gcd(84, 33) = gcd(33, 18) = gcd(18, 15) = gcd(15, 3) = gcd(3, 0) = 3

We work backwards to write 3 as a linear combination of 84 and 33:

$$3 = 18 - 15$$
[Now 3 is a linear combination of 18 and 15]
 $= 18 - (33 - 18)$ 
 $= 2(18) - 33$ 
[Now 3 is a linear combination of 18 and 33]
 $= 2(84 - 2 \times 33)) - 33$ 
 $= 2 \times 84 - 5 \times 33$ 

[Now 3 is a linear combination of 84 and 33]

22

# The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, II

**Theorem 3:** Every n > 1 can be represented uniquely as a product of primes, written in nondecreasing size.

**Proof:** Still need to prove uniqueness. We do it by strong induction.

• Base case: Obvious if n=2.

Inductive step. Suppose OK for n' < n.

- Suppose that  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i = \prod_{i=1}^{r} q_i$ .
- $p_1 \mid \prod_{j=1}^r q_j$ , so by Corollary 4,  $p_1 \mid q_j$  for some j.
- But then  $p_1 = q_j$ , since both  $p_1$  and  $q_j$  are prime.
- But then  $n/p_1 = p_2 \cdots p_s = q_1 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_r$
- Result now follows from I.H.