

## Logic: The Big Picture

A typical logic is described in terms of

- *syntax*: what are the legitimate formulas
- *semantics*: under what circumstances is a formula true
- *proof theory/ axiomatization*: rules for proving a formula true Truth and provability are quite different.
- What is provable depends on the axioms and inference rules you use
- Provability is a mechanical, turn-the-crank process
- What is true depends on the semantics

1

## Graphs and Trees

Graphs and trees come up everywhere.

- We can view the internet as a graph (in many ways)
    - who is connected to whom
  - Web search views web pages as a graph
    - Who points to whom
  - Niche graphs (Ecology):
    - The vertices are species
    - Two vertices are connected by an edge if they compete (use the same food resources, etc.)
- Niche graphs give a visual representation of competitiveness.
- Influence Graphs
    - The vertices are people
    - There is an edge from  $a$  to  $b$  if  $a$  influences  $b$

Influence graphs give a visual representation of power structure.

There are lots of other examples in all fields ...

3

## Tautologies and Valid Arguments

When is an argument

$$\begin{array}{l} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \\ \hline B \end{array}$$

valid?

- When the truth of the premises imply the truth of the conclusion

How do you check if an argument is valid?

- Method 1: Take an arbitrary truth assignment  $v$ . Show that if  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are true under  $v$  ( $v \models A_1, \dots, v \models A_n$ ) then  $B$  is true under  $v$ .
- Method 2: Show that  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow B$  is a tautology (essentially the same as Method 1)
  - true for every truth assignment
- Method 3: Try to prove  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow B$  using a sound axiomatization

2

## Terminology and Notation

A *graph*  $G$  is a pair  $(V, E)$ , where  $V$  is a set of *vertices* or *nodes* and  $E$  is a set of *edges* or *branches*; an edge is a set  $\{v, v'\}$  of two not necessarily distinct vertices (i.e.,  $v, v' \in V$ ).

- We sometimes write  $G(V, E)$  instead of  $G$
- If  $V = \emptyset$ , then  $E = \emptyset$ , and  $G$  is called the *null graph*.

We usually represent a graph pictorially.

- A vertex with no edges incident to it is said to be *isolated*
- If  $\{v\} \in E$  (the book writes  $\{v, v\}$ ), then there is a *loop* at  $v$
- $G'(V', E')$  is a *subgraph* of  $G(V, E)$  if  $V' \subseteq V$  and  $E' \subseteq E$ .

4

## Directed Graphs

Note that  $\{v, u\}$  and  $\{u, v\}$  represent the same edge.

In a *directed graph* (*digraph*), the order matters. We denote an edge as  $(v, v')$  rather than  $\{v, v'\}$ . We can identify an undirected graph with the directed graph that has edges  $(v, v')$  and  $(v', v)$  for every edge  $\{v, v'\}$  in the undirected graph.

Two vertices  $v$  and  $v'$  are *adjacent* if there is an edge between them, i.e.,  $\{v, v'\} \in E$  in the undirected case,  $(v, v') \in E$  or  $(v', v) \in E$  in the directed case.

5

## Multigraphs

In a *multigraph*, there may be several edges between two vertices.

- There may be several roads between two towns.
- There may be several transformations that can change you from one configuration to another
  - This is particularly important in graphs where edges are labeled

Formally, a multigraph  $G(V, E)$  consists of a set  $V$  of vertices and a *multiset*  $E$  of edges

- The same edge can be in more than once

In this course, all graphs are *simple graphs* (not multigraphs) unless explicitly stated otherwise.

- Most of the results generalize to multigraphs

7

## Representing Relations Graphically

Given a relation  $R$  on  $S \times T$ , we can represent it by the directed graph  $G(V, E)$ , where

- $V = S \cup T$  and
- $E = \{(s, t) : (s, t) \in R\}$

**Example:** Represent the  $<$  relation on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  graphically.

How does the graphical representation show that a graph is

- reflexive?
- symmetric?
- transitive?

6

## Degree

In a directed graph  $G(V, E)$ , the *indegree* of a vertex  $v$  is the number of edges coming into it

- $\text{indegree}(v) = |\{v' : (v', v) \in E\}|$

The *outdegree* of  $v$  is the number of edges going out of it:

- $\text{outdegree}(v) = |\{v' : (v, v') \in E\}|$

The *degree* of  $v$ , denoted  $\text{deg}(v)$ , is the sum of the indegree and outdegree.

For an undirected graph, it doesn't make sense to talk about indegree and outdegree. The degree of a vertex is the sum of the edges incident to the vertex, except that we double-count all self-loops.

- Why? Because things work out better that way

8

**Theorem:** Given a graph  $G(V, E)$ ,

$$2|E| = \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)$$

**Proof:** For a directed graph: each edge contributes once to the indegree of some vertex, and once to the outdegree of some vertex. Thus  $|E|$  = sum of the indegrees = sum of the outdegrees.

Same argument for an undirected graph without loops. We need to double-count the loops to make this right in general.

## Handshaking Theorem

**Theorem:** The number of people who shake hands with an odd number of people at a party must be even.

**Proof:** Construct a graph, whose vertices are people at the party, with an edge between two people if they shake hands. The number of people person  $p$  shakes hands with is  $\deg(p)$ . Split the set of all people at the party into two subsets:

- $A$  = those that shake hands with an even number of people
- $B$  = those that shake hands with an odd number of people

$$\sum_p \deg(p) = \sum_{p \in A} \deg(p) + \sum_{p \in B} \deg(p)$$

- We know that  $\sum_p \deg(p) = 2|E|$  is even.
- $\sum_{p \in A} \deg(p)$  is even, because for each  $p \in A$ ,  $\deg(p)$  is even.
- Therefore,  $\sum_{p \in B} \deg(p)$  is even.
- Therefore  $|B|$  is even (because for each  $p \in B$ ,  $\deg(p)$  is odd, and if  $|B|$  were odd, then  $\sum_{p \in B} \deg(p)$  would be odd).

9

10

## Paths

Given a graph  $G(V, E)$ .

- A *path* in  $G$  is a sequence of vertices  $(v_0, \dots, v_n)$  such that  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$  ( $(v_i, v_{i+1})$  in the directed case).
- If  $v_0 = v_n$ , the path is a *cycle*
- An *Eulerian* path/cycle is a path/cycle that traverses every edge in  $E$  exactly once
- A *Hamiltonian* path/cycle is a path/cycle that passes through each vertex in  $V$  exactly once.
- A graph with no cycles is said to be *acyclic*

## Connectivity

- An undirected graph is *connected* if there is for all vertices  $u, v$ , ( $u \neq v$ ) there is a path from  $u$  to  $v$ .
- A digraph is *strongly connected* if for all vertices  $u, v$  ( $u \neq v$ ) there is a path from  $u$  to  $v$  and from  $v$  to  $u$ .
- If a digraph is *weakly connected* if, for every pair  $u, v$ , there is an edge from  $u$  to  $v$  or an edge from  $v$  to  $u$ .
- A *connected component* of an (undirected) graph  $G$  is a connected subgraph  $G'$  which is not the subgraph of any other connected subgraph of  $G$ .

**Example:** We want the graph describing the interconnection network in a parallel computer:

- the vertices are processors
- there is an edge between two nodes if there is a direct link between them.
  - if links are one-way links, then the graph is directed

We typically want this graph to be connected.

## Trees

A *tree* is a digraph such that

- (a) with edge directions removed, it is connected and acyclic
- (b) every vertex but one, the *root*, has indegree 1
- (c) the root has indegree 0

Trees come up everywhere:

- when analyzing games
- representing family relationships

13

## Complete Graphs and Cliques

- An undirected graph  $G(V, E)$  is *complete* if it has no loops and for all vertices  $u, v$  ( $u \neq v$ ),  $\{u, v\} \in E$ .
  - How many edges are there in a complete graph with  $n$  vertices?

A complete subgraph of a graph is called a *clique*

- The *clique number* of  $G$  is the size of the largest clique in  $G$ .

14

## The Königsberg Bridge Problem

This is a classic mathematical problem.

There were seven bridges across the river Pregel at Königsberg.

Is it possible to take a walk in which each bridge is crossed exactly once?

Euler solved this problem in 1736.

- Key insight: represent the problem graphically

15

## Eulerian Paths

Recall that  $G(V, E)$  has an Eulerian path if it has a path that goes through every edge exactly once. It has an Eulerian cycle (or Eulerian circuit) if it has an Eulerian path that starts and ends at the same vertex.

How can we tell if a graph has an Eulerian path/circuit?

What's a necessary condition for a graph to have an Eulerian circuit?

Count the edges going into and out of each vertex:

- Each vertex must have even degree!

This condition turns out to be sufficient too.

16

**Theorem:** A connected (multi)graph has an Eulerian cycle iff each vertex has even degree.

**Proof:** The necessity is clear: In the Eulerian cycle, there must be an even number of edges that start or end with any vertex.

To see the condition is sufficient, we provide an algorithm for finding an Eulerian circuit in  $G(V, E)$ .

First step: Follow your nose to construct a cycle.

Second step: Remove the edges in the cycle from  $G$ . Let  $H$  be the subgraph that remains.

- every vertex in  $H$  has even degree
- $H$  may not be connected; let  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  be its connected components.

Third step: Apply the algorithm recursively to  $H_1, \dots, H_k$ , and then splice the pieces together.

## Finding cycles

First, find an algorithm for finding a cycle:

**Input:**  $G(V, E)$  [a list of vertices and edges]

```

procedure Pathgrow( $V, E, v$ )
    [ $v$  is first vertex in cycle]
     $P \leftarrow ()$  [  $P$  is sequence of edges on cycle]
     $w \leftarrow v$  [  $w$  is last vertex in  $P$  ]
    repeat until  $I(w) - P = \emptyset$ 
        [ $I(w)$  is the set of edges incident on  $w$ ]
        Pick  $e \in I(w) - P$ 
         $w \leftarrow$  other end of  $e$ 
         $P \leftarrow P \cdot e$  [append  $e$  to  $P$ ]
    endrepeat
    return  $P$ 
endpro

```

**Claim:** If every vertex in  $V$  has even degree, then  $P$  will be a cycle

- Loop invariant: In the graph  $G(V, E - P)$ , if the first vertex ( $v$ ) and last vertex ( $w$ ) in  $P$  are different, they have odd degree; all the other vertices have even degree.

## Finding Eulerian Paths

**Input:**  $G(V, E)$  [a list of vertices and edges]

**Algorithm ECycle:**

```

procedure Euler( $V', E', v'$ )
    Pathgrow( $V', E', v'$ )
    if  $P$  is not Eulerian,
        delete the edges in  $P$  from  $E$ ;
        let  $G_1(V_1, E_1), \dots, G_n(V_n, E_n)$  be
            the resulting connected components
        let  $v_i$  be a vertex in  $V_i$ 
        for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ 
            Euler( $V_i, E_i, v_i$ )
            Attach  $C$  to  $P$  at  $v_i$ 
        endfor
     $C \leftarrow P$ 
    return  $C$ 
endpro
 $v \leftarrow$  any vertex in  $V$ 
Euler( $V, E, v$ )

```

**Corollary:** A connected multigraph has an Eulerian path (but not an Eulerian cycle) if it has exactly two vertices of odd degree.

Which of these graphs have Eulerian paths:

## Hamiltonian Paths

Recall that  $G(V, E)$  has a Hamiltonian path if it has a path that goes through every vertex exactly once. It has a Hamiltonian cycle (or Hamiltonian circuit) if it has a Hamiltonian path that starts and ends at the same vertex.

There is no known easy characterization or algorithm for checking if a graph has a Hamiltonian cycle/path.

Which of these graphs have a Hamiltonian cycle?

## Searching Graphs

Suppose we want to process data associated with the vertices of a graph. This means we need a systematic way of searching the graph, so that we don't miss any vertices.

There are two standard methods.

- Breadth-first search
- Depth-first search

It's best to think of these on a tree:

Breadth-first search would visit the nodes in the following order:

1, 2, 3, ..., 10

Depth-first search would visit the nodes in the following order:

1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 3, 6, 9, 10

21

22

## Breadth-First Search

**Input**  $G(V, E)$  [a connected graph]  
 $v$  [start vertex]

### Algorithm Breadth-First Search

```
visit  $v$ 
 $V' \leftarrow \{v\}$  [ $V'$  is the vertices already visited]
Put  $v$  on  $Q$  [ $Q$  is a queue]
repeat while  $Q \neq \emptyset$ 
   $u \leftarrow \text{head}(Q)$  [ $\text{head}(Q)$  is the first item on  $Q$ ]
  for  $w \in A(u)$  [ $A(u) = \{w \mid \{u, w\} \in E\}$ ]
    if  $w \notin V'$ 
      then visit  $w$ 
      Put  $w$  on  $Q$ 
       $V' \leftarrow V' \cup \{w\}$ 
    endif
  endfor
  Delete  $u$  from  $Q$ 
```

The BFS algorithm basically finds a tree embedded in the graph.

- This is called the *BFS search tree*

23

## BFS and Shortest Length Paths

If all edges have equal length, we can extend this algorithm to find the shortest path length from  $v$  to any other vertex:

- Store the path length with each node when you add it.
- $\text{Length}(v) = 0$ .
- $\text{Length}(w) = \text{Length}(u) + 1$

With a little more work, can actually output the shortest path from  $u$  to  $v$ .

- This is an example of how BFS and DFS arise unexpectedly in a number of applications.
  - We'll see a few more

24

## Depth-First Search

**Input**  $G(V, E)$  [a connected graph]  
 $v$  [start vertex]

### Algorithm Depth-First Search

```
visit  $v$ 
 $V' \leftarrow \{v\}$  [  $V'$  is the vertices already visited ]
Put  $v$  on  $S$  [  $S$  is a stack ]
 $u \leftarrow v$ 
repeat while  $S \neq \emptyset$ 
if  $A(u) - V' \neq \emptyset$ 
then Choose  $w \in A(u) - V'$ 
    visit  $w$ 
     $V' = V' \cup \{w\}$ 
    Put  $w$  on stack
     $u \leftarrow w$ 
else  $u \leftarrow \text{top}(S)$  [Pop the stack]
endif
endrepeat
```

DFS uses *backtracking*

- Go as far as you can until you get stuck
- Then go back to the first point you had an untried choice

25

## Graph Coloring

How many colors do you need to color the vertices of a graph so that no two adjacent vertices have the same color?

- Application: scheduling
  - Vertices of the graph are courses
  - Two courses taught by same prof are joined by edge
  - Colors are possible times class can be taught.

Lots of similar applications:

- E.g. assigning wavelengths to cell phone conversations to avoid interference.
  - Vertices are conversations
  - Edges between “nearby” conversations
  - Colors are wavelengths.
- Scheduling final exams
  - Vertices are courses
  - Edges between courses with overlapping enrollment
  - Colors are exam times.

27

## Spanning Trees

A *spanning tree* of a connected graph  $G(V, E)$  is a connected acyclic subgraph of  $G$ , which includes all the vertices in  $V$  and only (some) edges from  $E$ .

Think of a spanning tree as a “backbone”; a minimal set of edges that will let you get everywhere in a graph.

- Technically, a spanning tree isn’t a tree, because it isn’t directed.

The BFS search tree and the DFS search tree are both spanning trees.

- In the text, they give algorithms to produce minimum weight spanning trees
- That’s done in CS 482, so we won’t do it here.

26

## Chromatic Number

The *chromatic number* of a graph  $G$ , written  $\chi(G)$ , is the smallest number of colors needed to color it so that no two adjacent vertices have the same color.

Examples:

A graph  $G$  is *k-colorable* if  $k \geq \chi(G)$ .

28

## Determining $\chi(G)$

Some observations:

- If  $G$  is a complete graph with  $n$  vertices,  $\chi(G) = n$
- If  $G$  has a clique of size  $k$ , then  $\chi(G) \geq k$ .
  - Let  $c(G)$  be the *clique number* of  $G$ : the size of the largest clique in  $G$ . Then

$$\chi(G) \geq c(G)$$

- If  $\Delta(G)$  is the maximum degree of any vertex, then

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1 :$$

- Color  $G$  one vertex at a time; color each vertex with the “smallest” color not used for a colored vertex adjacent to it.

How hard is it to determine if  $\chi(G) \leq k$ ?

- It’s NP complete, just like
  - determining if  $c(G) \geq k$
  - determining if  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path
  - determining if a propositional formula is satisfiable

Can guess and verify.

29

## Bipartite Graphs

A graph  $G(V, E)$  is *bipartite* if we can partition  $V$  into disjoint sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that all the edges in  $E$  joins a vertex in  $V_1$  to one in  $V_2$ .

- A graph is bipartite iff it is 2-colorable
- Everything in  $V_1$  gets one color, everything in  $V_2$  gets the other color.

**Example:** Suppose we want to represent the “is or has been married to” relation on people. Can partition the set  $V$  of people into males ( $V_1$ ) and females ( $V_2$ ). Edges join two people who are or have been married.

30

## Characterizing Bipartite Graphs

**Theorem:**  $G$  is bipartite iff  $G$  has no odd-length cycles.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $G$  is bipartite, and it has edges only between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Suppose, to get a contradiction, that  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k}, x_0)$  is an odd-length cycle. If  $x_0 \in V_1$ , then  $x_2$  is in  $V_1$ . An easy induction argument shows that  $x_{2i} \in V_1$  and  $x_{2i+1} \in V_2$  for  $0 = 1, \dots, k$ . But then the edge between  $x_{2k}$  and  $x_0$  means that there is an edge between two nodes in  $V_1$ ; this is a contradiction.

- Get a similar contradiction if  $x_0 \in V_2$ .

Conversely, suppose  $G(V, E)$  has no odd-length cycles.

- Partition the vertices in  $V$  into two sets as follows:
  - Start at an arbitrary vertex  $x_0$ ; put it in  $V_0$ .
  - Put all the vertices one step from  $x_0$  into  $V_1$
  - Put all the vertices two steps from  $x_0$  into  $V_0$ ;
  - ...

This construction works if  $G$  is connected and has no odd-length cycles.

- What if  $G$  isn’t connected?

This construction also gives a polynomial-time algorithm for checking if a graph is bipartite.

31

## The Four-Color Theorem

Can a map be colored with four colors, so that no countries with common borders have the same color?

- This is an instance of graph coloring
  - The vertices are countries
  - Two vertices are joined by an edge if the countries they represent have a common border

A *planar graph* is one where all the edges can be drawn on a plane (piece of paper) without any edges crossing.

- The graph of a map is planar

Graphs that are planar and ones that aren’t:

**Four-Color Theorem:** Every map can be colored using at most four colors so that no two countries with a common boundary have the same color.

- Equivalently: every planar graph is four-colorable

32

## Four-Color Theorem: History

- First conjectured by Galton (Darwin's cousin) in 1852
- False proofs given in 1879, 1880; disproved in 1891
- Computer proof given by Appel and Haken in 1976
  - They reduced it to 1936 cases, which they checked by computer
- Proof simplified in 1996 by Robertson, Sanders, Seymour, and Thomas
  - But even their proof requires computer checking
  - They also gave an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm for four coloring a planar graph
- Proof checked by Coq theorem prover (Werner and Gonthier) in 2004
  - So you don't have to trust the proof, just the theorem prover

Note that the theorem doesn't apply to countries with non-contiguous regions (like U.S. and Alaska).