Growth of Functions & Complexity of Algorithms

CS280 Fall 2002

How Do You Choose an Algorithm?

- Suppose you have two possible algorithms or data structures that basically do the same thing; which is better?
 - Faster?
 - Less space?
 - Easier to code?
 - Fasier to maintain?
 - · Required for homework?
- How do we measure the first two?

2

Sample Problem: Searching

- Determine if a sorted array of integers contains a given integer
- 1st solution: Linear Search (check each element)

```
static boolean find (int[] a, int item) {
   for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
      if (a[i] == item) return true;
   }
   return false:</pre>
```

■ 2nd solution: Binary Search

```
static boolean find (int[] a, int item) {
  int low = 0;
  int high = a length - 1;
  while (low <= high) {
    int mid = (low+high)/2;
    if (a[mid] < item)
        low = mid+1;
    else if (item < a[mid])
        high = mid - 1;
    else return true;
    }
  return false;
}
```

Linear Search vs. Binary Search

- Which one is better?
 - Linear Search is easier to program
 - But Binary Search is faster... isn't it?
- How do we measure to show that one is faster than the other
 - Experiment?
 - Proof?
 - But which inputs do we use?
- Simplifying assumption #1: Use the size of the input rather than the input itself
 - For our sample search problem, the input size is *n* where *n*-1 is the array size
- Simplifying assumption #2: Count the number of "basic steps" rather than computing exact times

One Basic Step = One Time Unit

- Basic step:
 - input or output of a scalar value
 - accessing the value of a scalar variable, array element, or field of an object
 - assignment to a variable, array element, or field of an object
 - a single arithmetic or logical operation
 method invocation (not
 - method invocation (not counting argument evaluation and execution of the method body)
- For a conditional, we count number of basic steps on the branch that is executed
- For a loop, we count number of basic steps in loop body times the number of iterations
- For a method, we count number of basic steps in method body (including steps needed to prepare stackframe)

Runtime vs. Number of Basic Steps

- But isn't this cheating?
 - The runtime is not the same as the number of basic steps
 - Time per basic step varies depending on computer, on compiler, on details of code...
- Well... yes, it is cheating in a way
 - But the number of basic steps is proportional to the actual runtime
- Which is better?
 - n or n² time?100 n or n² time?
 - 100 n or n² time?
 10,000 n or n² time?
- As n gets large, multiplicative constants become less important
- Simplifying assumption #3: Multiplicative constants aren't important

6

1

Using Big-O to Hide Constants

■ Roughly, f(n) is O(g(n)) means that f(n) grows like g(n) or slower Claim: n2 + n is O(n2)

We know $n < n^2$ for n > 1

Definition: O(g(n)) is a set, f(n) is a member of this set if and only if there exist constants C and k such that

So $n^2 + n < 2 n^2$ for n > 1

 $|f(n)| \le C |g(n)|$, for all n>k

So by definition. $n^2 + n is O(n^2)$ for C=2 and k=1

■ We should write $f(n) \in O(g(n))$

 But by convention, we write f(n) = O(g(n)) or f(n) is O(g(n))

Big-O Examples

Claim: 100 n + log n is O(n)

Claim: log_B n is O(log n)

We know $\log n < n$ for n > 1(because n < 2n)

Let k = log n

So $100 \text{ n} + \log \text{ n} < 101 \text{ n}$

Then $n = 2^k$ and (the subscripts are too messy; switch to board)

So by definition,

 $100 \text{ n} + \log \text{ n} \text{ is O(n)}$ for C=101 and k=1

Problem-Size Examples

Question: Which grows faster:

sqrt(n) or log n?

Simple Big-O Examples

- Let $f(n) = 3n^2 + 6n 7$
 - Claim f(n) is O(n2)
 - Claim f(n) is O(n³)
 - Claim f(n) is O(n4)
- $g(n) = 4n \log n + 34 n 89$
 - Claim g(n) is O(n log n)
 - Claim g(n) is O(n2)
- $h(n) = 20 * 2^n + 40$
- Claim h(n) is O(2ⁿ) ■ a(n) = 34
 - Claim a(n) is O(1)

■ Only the leading term (the term that grows most rapidly) matters

Suppose we have a computing device that can execute 1000 operations per second; how large a problem can we solve?

Complexity	1 second	1 minute	1 hour
n	1000	60,000	3,600,000
n log n	140	4893	200,000
n²	31	244	1897
3n²	18	144	1096
n³	10	39	153
2 ⁿ	9	15	21

Commonly Seen Time Bounds

O(1)	constant	excellent
O(log n)	logarithmic	excellent
O(n)	linear	good
O(n log n)	n log n	good
O(n²)	quadratic	ОК
O(n³)	cubic	maybe OK
O(2 ⁿ)	exponential	too slow

Related Notations

■ Big-Omega

■ Big-Theta

Definition: f(n) is a member of the $\overline{\operatorname{set}\Omega(g(n))}$ if and only if there exists constants C and k such

Definition: f(n) is a member of the set $\Theta(g(n))$ if and only if f(n) is O(g(n)) and f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$

C |g(n)| < |f(n)|, for all n>k

Read f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$ as f(n) is big-Omega of g(n)

Read f(n) is $\Theta(g(n))$ as f(n) is big-Theta of g(n) or f(n) is of order g(n)

Worst-Case/Average-Case Bounds

- We can't determine time bounds for all possible inputs of size n
- Simplifying assumption #4:
 Determine number of steps for either
 - worst-case or
 - average-case
- Worst-case
 - Determine how much time is needed for the worst possible input of size n
- Average-case
 - Determine how much time is needed on average for all inputs of size n

Our Simplifying Assumptions

- 1. Use the size of the input rather than the input itself
- Count the number of "basic steps" rather than computing exact times
- Multiplicative constants aren't important (so we use big-O notation)
- 4. Determine number of steps for either
 - worst-case or
 - average-case

...

Worst-Case Analysis of Searching

```
■ Linear Search (check each element)
```

$$\begin{split} & \text{static boolean find (int[] a, int item) } \{ \\ & \text{for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) } \{ \\ & \text{if (a[i] == item) return true; } \\ & \text{y} \\ & \text{return false;} \end{split}$$

For Linear Search, worst-case time is O(n)

For Binary Search, worst-case time is O(log n)

■ Binary Search

static boolean find (int[] a, int item) {
 int low = 0;
 int high = a.length - 1;
 while (low <= high) {
 int mid = (low+high)/2;
 if (a[mid] < item)
 low = mid+1;
 else if (item <= a[mid])
 high = mid - 1;
 else return true;
 }
 return false;

- Dinary Couron

Code for multiplying n-by-n matrices A and B:

Analysis of Matrix Multiplication

for (i = 0; i<n; i++) for (j = 0; j < n; j++) for (k = 0; k < n; k++) C[i][j] = C[i][j] + A[i][k] * B[k][j];

- Worst-case time is O(n³)
- Average-case time is also O(n³)
- By convention, matrix problems are measured in terms of *n*, the number of rows and columns
 - Note that the input size is 2n²
 - If we let m be the input size then the time bound is actually O(m^{3/2})

16