

LEC 7 09/10/99

ALGORITHMS AND COMPLEXITY

ALGORITHM - A FINITE SETS OF PRECISE INSTRUCTIONS FOR PERFORMING A COMPUTATION

EXAMPLE: FINDING THE MAXIMUM ELEMENT

```

PROCEDURE max( $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ : INTEGERS)
  max :=  $a_1$ 
  FOR  $i$  = 2 TO  $n$ 
    IF  $\text{max} < a_i$  THEN  $\text{max} := a_i$ 
  {max IS THE LARGEST ELEMENT}
  
```

↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑
WRITTEN IN PSEUDOCODE

INPUT/OUTPUT	CORRECTNESS
DEFINITENESS	GENERALITY
FINITENESS	EFFECTIVENESS

PROPERTIES: BASIC

DESIRED

NUMBER OF COMPARISONS IN $\text{max} = 2(n-1)+1 = 2n-1$. Complexity $O(n)$.

-51-

THE LINEAR SEARCH ALGORITHM

```

PROCEDURE LINEAR_SEARCH( $x$ : INTEGER,
 $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ : DISTINCT INTEGERS)
   $i := 1$ 
  WHILE ( $i \leq n$  AND  $x \neq a_i$ )
     $i := i + 1$ 
  IF  $i \leq n$  THEN  $\text{location} := i$ 
  ELSE  $\text{location} := 0$ 
  {location IS THE INDEX OF TERM THAT
  EQUALS  $x$ , OR 0 IF  $x$  IS NOT FOUND}
  
```

GOAL: LOCATE AN ELEMENT x IN THE LIST OF DISTINCT ELEMENTS $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$

NUMBER OF COMPARISONS C :

IF x IS a_1 $C = 3$

IF x IS a_i $C = 2i+1$

IF x IS NOT IN THE LIST $C = 2n+2$

WORST CASE

COMPLEXITY IS $O(n)$

-52-

BINARY SEARCH ALGORITHM

```

PROCEDURE binary_search( $x$ : INTEGER,
 $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ : INCREASING INTEGERS)
   $i := 1$  { $i$  IS LEFT ENDPOINT OF SEARCH INTERVALS}
   $j := n$  { $j$  IS RIGHT ENDPOINT OF SEARCH INTERVALS}
  WHILE  $i < j$ 
    BEGIN
       $m := \lfloor (i+j)/2 \rfloor$ 
      IF  $x > a_m$  THEN  $i := m+1$ 
      ELSE  $j := m$ 
    END
  IF  $x = a_i$  THEN  $\text{location} := i$ 
  ELSE  $\text{location} := 0$ 
  
```

ASSUME FOR SIMPLICITY $n = 2^k$ ($k = \log n$)

TWO COMPARISONS AT EACH STAGE + TWO COMPARISONS WHEN $i=j$

AFTER THE FIRST STAGE 2^{k-1} TERMS

AFTER THE SECOND 2^{k-2} TERMS

NUMBER OF STAGES $k = \log n$

COMPLEXITY $2 \log n + 2 = O(\log n)$

-53-

AVERAGE CASE ANALYSIS

LINEAR SEARCH ALGORITHM.
(ASSUME x IS IN THE LIST)

n TYPES OF POSSIBLE INPUTS:

$x = a_1, x = a_2, \dots, x = a_n$

ALL ARE ASSUMED TO BE EQUALLY LIKELY

AVERAGE # OF COMPARISONS

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{3 + 5 + 7 + \dots + (2n+1)}{n} = \\
 & = \frac{(2 \cdot 1 + 1) + (2 \cdot 2 + 1) + (2 \cdot 3 + 1) + \dots + (2n+1)}{n} = \\
 & = \frac{2(1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n) + n}{n} = \frac{2 \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right] + n}{n} = \\
 & = \frac{n(n+1) + n}{n} = n+2 = O(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

-54-

COMPLEXITY

TERMINOLOGY

$O(1)$	CONSTANT COMPLEXITY
$O(\log n)$	LOGARITHMIC COMPLEXITY
$O(n)$	LINEAR COMPL.
$O(n \log n)$	$n \log n$ COMPL.
$O(n^b)$	POLYNOMIAL COMPL
$O(b^n) \ (b > 1)$	EXPONENTIAL COMPL
$O(n!)$	FACTORIAL COMPL

POLYNOMIAL $\sim$ TRACTABLE (FEASIBLE)OTHERWISE $\sim$ INTRACTABLENP - SOLUTION CAN BE CHECKED IN POLYTIMEP - SOLUTION CAN BE FOUND IN POLYTIMESATISFIABILITY OF PROPOSITIONS $\in$ NPCHECKING $f(x) = T$ IS LINEARFINDING x SUCH THAT $f(x) = T$ IS EXPONENT.

P=NP? PROBLEM

HW 2.1: 6
2.2: 4, 10, 12

-55-