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## Graph Algorithms

- Search
$\square$ Depth-first search
$\square$ Breadth-first search
- Shortest paths
$\square$ Dijkstra's algorithm
- Spanning trees
$\square$ Prim's algorithm
$\square$ Kruskal's algorithm


## Shortest Path Problem

How do I efficiently find the shortest path from s to vin a graph?

## Shortest Path Problem

$\square$ How do I efficiently find the shortest path from $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{v}$ in a graph?
$\square$ What is the shortest path to fly from Svrliig (Serbia, Population: 7533) to Stony River (Alaska, USA, Population: 52)


## Shortest Path Problem

$\square \quad$ Shortest path between Svrlijg to Stony River requires 8 hops


## Shortest Path Problem

$\square$ Shortest path between Svrlijg to Stony River requires 8 hops
$\square$ Google Flights computed this is a few milliseconds. Billions of possible paths!
$\square$ Have we seen an algorithm that can compute the shortest path?


## What about BFS

$\square \quad$ BFS expands the graph in "layers"
$\square$ First explores all nodes at distance 1 from the source
$\square$ Next explores all nodes at distance 2 from the source, etc.

## What about BFS

$\square \quad$ BFS expands the graph in "layers"
$\square$ First explores all nodes at distance 1 from the source
$\square$ Next explores all nodes at distance 2 from the source, etc.
$\square$ But BFS only finds the path with the smallest number of hops
$\square \quad$ Instead, we want to consider weighted graphs

## Weighted Graphs

$\square \quad$ In real graphs, want to assign weights to a graph
$\square$ Price
$\square$ Distance
$\square$ Number of miles
$\square \quad$ The shortest path is the path with the lowest weight, not necessarily the path with the smallest number of edges

## Weighted Graphs

- In real graphs, want to assign weights to a graph
$\square$ Price
$\square$ Distance
$\square$ Number of miles
$\square$ The shortest path is the path with the lowest weight, not necessarily the path with the smallest number of edges

$\geq$ Choose departure to Paris
Return to New York City
Trip summary

Stops * Connecting airports ~ Price ~ Times ~ Airines ~ More ~

| - | dates | II. PRICE GRaph | * AIRPORTS | $\bigcirc \quad$ TIPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cheaper flights from \$530 available on other dates |  | Explore price trends for 6 -day trips to Paris SEE MORE | Compare prices for airports near Paris <br> SEE MORE | Fly in Premium Economy for \$1,235 <br> SEE MORE |  |
| Best departing flights |  |  |  |  |  |
| *ow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:40 AM - } 5: 25 \text { PM } \\ & \text { Wow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{~h} 45 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathrm{JFK}-\mathrm{CDG} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { stop } \\ & \text { 1h } 15 \mathrm{~m} \text { KEF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 620 \\ \text { round trip } \end{array}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:40 AM - 2:45 PM } \\ & \text { XL Airways } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{hm} 5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathrm{JFK}-\mathrm{CDG} \end{aligned}$ | Nonstop | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 686 \\ \text { round trip } \end{array}$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:55 PM - 1:00 PM }{ }^{+1} \\ & \text { XL Airways } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{ym} 5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathrm{JFK}-\mathrm{CDG} \end{aligned}$ | Nonstop | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 763 \\ \text { round tip } \end{array}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| AF' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:20 PM }-5: 45 \mathrm{AM}^{+1} \\ & \text { Air France Delta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th } 25 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { JFK-CDG } \end{aligned}$ | Nonstop | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,001 \\ \text { round trip } \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| AF' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:55 PM }-11: 20 \mathrm{AM}^{+1} \\ & \text { Ar France. Detta } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \mathrm{FFK} 25 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { JFG } \end{gathered}$ | Nonstop | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,001 \\ \text { round trip } \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Weighted Graphs, formally

$\square \quad$ A weighted directed graph $G=(V, E, W)$
$\square V$ is a (finite) set
$\square E$ is a set of ordered pairs $(u, v)$ where $u, v \in V$
$\square$ W is weight function that assigns edges to real-valued weights

## Weighted Graphs, formally

$\square \quad$ A weighted directed graph $G=(V, E, W)$
$\square V$ is a (finite) set
$\square E$ is a set of ordered pairs ( $u, v$ ) where $u, v \in V$
$\square$ W is weight function that assigns edges to real-valued weights
$\square$ Recall that a path is a sequence of edges $p=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{k}\right)$
$\square$ The weight $w(p)$ of a path $p=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{k}\right)$ is the sum of the weights of its constituent edges
■ $w(p)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} w\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right)$

## Scoping the Problem

Single Destination Shortest Paths Problem
$\square$ Find a shortest path between two vertices $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$

## Scoping the Problem

$\square$ Single Destination Shortest Paths Problem
$\square$ Find a shortest path between two vertices $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$
$\square$ All-pairs shortest path problem
$\square$ Find a shortest path from $u$ to $v$ for every pair of vertices $u$ and $v$

- Can run case-above for all vertices $u$ and $v$
- But exists a more efficient algorithm (Floyd-Warshall Algorithm)
- We do not look at this in this class!


## Single-Source Shortest Path (SSSP)

$\square$ Two algorithms:
$\square$ Dijkstra's Algorithm
$\square$ Bellman Ford Algorithm
$\square$ Dijkstra's algorithm has complexity O(V+E)
$\square \quad$ Bellman-Ford's algorithm has complexity O(VE)
$\square$ Dijkstra works only for positive edges. Bellman-Ford works for both positive and negative edges.
$\square$ In this class we will only look at Dijkstra's algorithm!
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## Single-Source Shortest Path (SSSP)

$\square$ Two algorithms:
$\square$ Dijkstra's Algorithm
$\square$ Bellman Ford Algorithm
$\square$ Dijkstra's algorithm has complexity $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{E}) \mathrm{lg} \mathrm{V})$
$\square \quad$ Bellman-Ford's algorithm has complexity O(VE)
$\square$ Dijkstra works only for positive edges. Bellman-Ford works for both positive and negative edges.
$\square$ In this class we will only look at Dijkstra's algorithm!

## Shortest Path - Definition

$\square$ We define the shortest path weight $\delta(u, v)$ from $u$ to $v$ by:

$\square$ A shortest path from vertex $u$ to vertex $v$ is then defined as any path $\mathbf{p}$ with weight $\mathbf{p}=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, v)$

## Shortest Path - Definition

$\square$ We define the shortest path weight $\delta(u, v)$ from $u$ to $v$ by:


## Shortest Path - Definition

$\square$ We define the shortest path weight $\delta(u, v)$ from $u$ to $v$ by:

$$
w(p)= \begin{cases}\min (w(p): u \rightsquigarrow v) & \text { If there is a path from } \mathrm{u} \text { to } \mathrm{v} \\ \infty & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(u, v)=? \\
& \delta(z, v)=? \\
& \delta(z, u)=?
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ A shortest path from vertex $u$ to vertex $v$ is then defined as any path $\mathbf{p}$ with weight $\mathbf{p}=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$


## Shortest Path - Definition

$\square$ We define the shortest path weight $\delta(u, v)$ from $u$ to $v$ by:

$$
w(p)= \begin{cases}\min (w(p): u \rightsquigarrow v) & \text { If there is a path from } \mathrm{u} \text { to } \mathrm{v} \\ \infty & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(u, v)=3 \\
& \delta(z, v)=5 \\
& \delta(z, u)=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

5
$\square$ A shortest path from vertex $u$ to vertex $v$ is then defined as any path $p$ with weight $p=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$


## What about brute-force?

$\square \quad$ What if we simply enumerated all paths between $u$ and $v$, and picked the one with the smallest weight?
$\square$ How many paths between two nodes can there be in the worst-case?

## What about brute-force?
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## What about brute-force?

$\square \quad$ What if we simply enumerated all paths between $u$ and $v$, and picked the one with the smallest weight?
$\square \quad$ How many paths between two nodes can there be in the worst-case?


Paths from 0 to 1? 1
Paths from 0 to 2? 2

## What about brute-force?

$\square \quad$ What if we simply enumerated all paths between $u$ and $v$, and picked the one with the smallest weight?
$\square \quad$ How many paths between two nodes can there be in the worst-case?


Paths from 0 to 1? 1
Paths from 0 to 2? 2
Paths from 0 to 4?: 4

## What about brute-force?

$\square \quad$ What if we simply enumerated all paths between $u$ and $v$, and picked the one with the smallest weight?
$\square \quad$ How many paths between two nodes can there be in the worst-case?


Paths from 0 to 1? 1
Paths from 0 to 2? 2
Paths from 0 to 4?: 4
Paths from 0 to 6?: 8

## What about brute-force?

$\square \quad$ What if we simply enumerated all paths between $u$ and $v$, and picked the one with the smallest weight?
$\square \quad$ How many paths between two nodes can there be in the worst-case?


Paths from 0 to 1? 1
Paths from 0 to 2? 2
Paths from 0 to 4?: 4
Paths from 0 to 6?: 8
Paths from 0 to 8 ? 16

## What about brute-force?

$\square \quad$ What if we simply enumerated all paths between $u$ and $v$, and picked the one with the smallest weight?
$\square$ How many paths between two nodes can there be in the worst-case?


Paths from 0 to 1? 1
Paths from 0 to 2? 2
Paths from 0 to 4?: 4
Paths from 0 to 6?: 8
Paths from 0 to $8 ? 16$

Order $2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n} / 2)$
Exponentially many paths

## Terminology
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Write $d(u, v)$ to be the current weight of node v: it represents the current best estimate of the shortest path from u to v
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Write $d(u, v)$ to be the current weight of node v: it represents the current best estimate of the shortest path from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{v}$

Initially, because don' $\dagger$ have an estimate, start with $\infty$

## Terminology- Current Weight



Write $d(u, v)$ to be the current weight of node v: it represents the current best estimate of the shortest path from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{v}$

Initially, because don' $\dagger$ have an estimate, start with $\infty$

Goal: reduce $d(u)$ until sure that $d(u)=\mathbf{\delta}(u, v)$

## Terminology - Path Relaxation



As discover new paths, will update estimates of what is currently the shortest path

## Terminology - Path Relaxation



As discover new paths, will update estimates of what is currently the shortest path
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As discover new paths, will update estimates of what is currently the shortest path

## Terminology - Path Relaxation



As discover new paths, will update estimates of what is currently the shortest path

## Terminology - Path Relaxation



## Path relaxation:

Given a new edge ( $u, v$ ): If $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$, then we have discovered a better way to get from s to v, so update $d[v]=d[u]+$ w(u,v)

## Terminology - Predecessor



Keep track of the predecessor of a node:
the node u that precedes v in the current estimate of the shortest path

$$
\Pi[y]=x
$$

Initially $\Pi[y]=$ null

During path relaxation, if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$, then update $\boldsymbol{\Pi}[\mathbf{v}]=\mathbf{u}$

## General Structure of SSSP

Initialisation
$\square$ For $u$ in $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=$ ? $\Pi[\mathrm{u}]=$ ?
$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=$ ?

## General Structure of SSSP

Initialisation
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$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$

## General Structure of SSSP

## Initialisation

$\square$ For u in $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty \Pi[\mathrm{u}]=$ null
$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$
$\square$ Repeat until [When?]
$\square$ Select some edge (u,v) [How?]
■ Relax edge (u,v):

- if $d[v]>d[u]+w[u, v]$
- $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]+\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}]$
- $\Pi[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{u}$


## General Structure of SSSP

## Initialisation

$\square$ For u in $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty \Pi[\mathrm{u}]=$ null
$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$
$\square \quad$ Repeat until none of the edges can be relaxed
$\square$ Select some edge (u,v) [How?]
■ Relax edge $(u, v)$ :
■ if $d[v]>d[u]+w[u, v]$

- $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]+\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}]$
- $\Pi[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{u}$


## General Structure of SSSP

- Initialisation
$\square$ For u in $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty \Pi[\mathrm{u}]=$ null
$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$
$\square \quad$ Repeat until none of the edges can be relaxed
$\square$ Select some edge (u,v) [How?]
- Relax edge (u,v):

Checking whether edges can be relaxed is $O(E)$. Expensive!

- if $d[v]>d[u]+w[u, v]$
- $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]+\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}]$
- $\Pi[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{u}$


## General Structure of SSSP

- Initialisation
$\square$ For u in $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty \Pi[\mathrm{u}]=$ null
$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$
$\square \quad$ Repeat until none of the edges can be relaxed
$\square$ Select some edge (u,v) [How?]
- Relax edge $(u, v)$ :
- if $d[v]>d[u]+w[u, v]$

How many iterations will this do in the worst case?

- $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]+\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}]$
- $\Pi[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{u}$


## General Structure of SSSP

- Initialisation
$\square$ For u in $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty \Pi[\mathrm{u}]=$ null
$\square \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$
$\square \quad$ Repeat until none of the edges can be relaxed
$\square$ Select some edge (u,v) [How?]
- Relax edge $(u, v)$ :
- if $d[v]>d[u]+w[u, v]$

How many iterations will this do in the worst case?

- $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]+\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}]$
- $\Pi[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{u}$
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## Worst-Case Iterations



Keep going decrementing from 13 (initial value), until shortest path value of 7

How many iterations does this take?

## Worst-Case Iterations



Keep going decrementing from 13 (initial value), until shortest path value of 7

How many iterations does this take? $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{n} / 2 \ldots$
We have an exponential algorithm! (Again!)
Need to find some way to "intelligently" select the edges.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

$\square \quad$ We need a way to bound the number of times that we relax edges
$\square$ Dijkstra's algorithm does this by greedily selecting the vertex v with the smallest $d(u, v)$ and relaxing its neighbouring edges.
$\square$ We'll see how this is sufficient to guarantee that $d(u, v)=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, v)$ once all vertices have been processed
$\square \quad$ It only requires 1 pass on all the vertices $(V)$ and all the edges (E)!
$\square \quad$ The algorithm itself is surprisingly simple. The proof is harder.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

$\square \quad$ Maintains a set S of vertices whose final shortest path weights from source s have already been determined, and a set $Q$ of vertices whose shortest path weights are not yet known.
$\square$ Algorithm repeatedly selects the vertex vin Q with the minimum shortest path estimate.
$\square$ Adds vto S.
$\square$ Relaxes all the edges leaving v.
$\square$ We'll show in the proof that, at the point where we add $v$ to $S d(u, v)=\boldsymbol{\delta}$ $(u, v)$

## Dijkstra's algorithm
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Initialisation
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}]=$ ?
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$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}]=$ ?
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Initialisation
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}]=0$
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}]=\infty$
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Initialisation
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}]=0$
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}]=\infty$
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}]=\infty$

## Dijkstra's algorithm




Initialisation
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}]=0 \quad \Pi[\mathrm{~s}]=$ null
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}]=\infty \quad \Pi[\mathrm{t}]=\mathrm{null}$
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}]=\infty \quad \Pi[\mathrm{x}]=$ null

## Dijkstra's algorithm




Initialisation
Place all node V in Q.

## Dijkstra's algorithm



Pick node with smallest $d[s, v]$ and place it in $S$
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Pick node with smallest $d[s, v]$ and place it in $S$
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Pick node with smallest $d[s, v]$ and place it in $S$

Relax all of its edges

## Dijkstra's algorithm

```
d[s,s]=0
Forv in V:
    d[s,v]=\infty
    \Pi[v] = null
S=\varnothing
Q = V
while Q = \varnothing
    u= FindMinimum from Q
    S = S U{U}
    For each neighbour n of u:
            Relax(u,n)
```

```
Relax(u,n):
    If d[n] > d[u] + w(u,n):
        // Have discovered a shorter path
        d[n] = d[u] + w(u,n)
        // Update Predecessor of n
        \Pi[n] = u
        Update n in Q
    Else:
    // Already knew of a better path
```


## Complexity

```
d[s,s]=0
For v in V:
    d[s,v]= \infty
    \Pi[v] = null
S=\varnothing
Q = V
while Q = \varnothing
    u= FindMinimum from Q
    S = S U{u}
    For each neighbour n of u:
        Relax(u,n)
```


## Relax $(u, n)$ :

If $d[n]>d[u]+w(u, n):$
// Have discovered a shorter path $d[n]=d[u]+w(u, n)$
// Update Predecessor of $n$
$\Pi[n]=u$
Update n in Q
Else:
// Already knew of a better path

## Complexity

```
d[s,s]=0
For v in V:
```

    \(d[s, v]=\infty\)
    \(\Pi[v]=\) null
    $S=\varnothing$
$\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{V}$
Loop runs $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$
times
while $\mathrm{Q} \neq \varnothing$
$u=$ FindMinimum from $Q$
$S=S \cup\{u\}$
For each neighbour $n$ of $u$ :
Relax(u,n)
At most relax
$O(E)$ times

## Relax(u,n):

If $d[n]>d[u]+w(u, n):$
// Have discovered a shorter path $d[n]=d[u]+w(u, n)$
// Update Predecessor of $n$
$\Pi[n]=u$
Update n in Q
Else:
// Already knew of a better path

## Complexity

```
d[s,s]=0
```

For v in V :
$d[s, v]=\infty$
$\Pi[v]=$ null
$S=\varnothing$
$\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{V}$
while $\mathrm{Q} \neq \varnothing$
Call insert into Q
$\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$ times
Call
FindMinimum
$\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$ times
$u=$ FindMinimum from $Q$
$S=S \cup\{u\}$
For each neighbour $n$ of $u$ :
Relax(u,n)
Call Relax O(E) times.

## Relax(u,n):

```
    If d[n] > d[u] + w(u,n):
        // Have discovered a shorter path
        d[n] = d[u] + w(u,n)
        // Update Predecessor of n
        \Pi[n] = u
        Update n in Q
```

    Else:
    // Already knew of a better path
    
## Complexity - Priority Queue!

```
d[s,s]=0
```

For vin V:
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}]=\infty$
$\Pi[v]=$ null
$S=\varnothing$
Q = Insert(V,Q)
while $\mathrm{Q} \neq \varnothing$
u = Extract-Min(Q)
$\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S} \mathrm{U}\{\mathrm{u}\}$
For each neighbour $n$ of $u$ :
Relax(u,n)

## Call

    DecreaseKey
    \(O(E)\) times.
    
## Relax(u,n):

If $d[n]>d[u]+w(u, n)$ :
// Have discovered a shorter path $d[n]=d[u]+w(u, n)$
// Update Predecessor of $n$
$\Pi[n]=u$
DecreaseKey(Q,n)
Else:
// Already knew of a better path

## Complexity - Priority Queue!

```
d[s,s]=0
```

For vin V:
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}]=\infty$
$\Pi[v]=$ null
$S=\varnothing$
$\mathrm{Q}=\operatorname{Insert}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Q})$
while $\mathrm{Q} \neq \varnothing$

Call insert into Q $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$ times

Call Extract-Min $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$ times

## Relax(u,n):

If d[n] > d[u] + w(u,n):
// Have discovered a shorter path $d[n]=d[u]+w(u, n)$
// Update Predecessor of $n$
$\Pi[n]=u$
DecreaseKey(Q,n)
Else:
// Already knew of a better path

## Complexity - Priority Queue!

```
d[s,s]=0
```

For vin V:
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}]=\infty$
$\Pi[v]=$ null
$S=\varnothing$
Q = Insert(V,Q)
while $\mathrm{Q} \neq \varnothing$

Call insert into Q $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$ times

Call Extract-Min $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{V})$ times

## Relax(u,n):

If d[n] > d[u] + w(u,n):
// Have discovered a shorter path $d[n]=d[u]+w(u, n)$
// Update Predecessor of $n$
$\Pi[n]=u$
DecreaseKey(Q,n)
Else:
// Already knew of a better path

$$
\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{*} \lg \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{V}^{*} \lg \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{E}^{*} \lg (\mathrm{~V})\right)
$$

## Complexity - Priority Queue!

```
d[s,s]=0
```

For vin V:
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}]=\infty$
$\Pi[v]=$ null
$S=\varnothing$
Q = Insert(V,Q)
while $\mathrm{Q} \neq \varnothing$
u = Extract-Min(Q)
$S=S U\{u\}$

For each neighbour $n$ of $u$ :
Relax(u,n)


DecreaseKey $O(E)$ times.

## Relax(u,n):

If d[n] > d[u] + w(u,n):
// Have discovered a shorter path $d[n]=d[u]+w(u, n)$
// Update Predecessor of $n$
$\Pi[\mathrm{n}]=\mathrm{u}$
DecreaseKey(Qn)
Else:
// Already knew of a better path
$O\left(V^{*} \lg V+V^{*} \lg V+E^{*} \lg (V)\right)=>O\left(V^{*} \lg V+V^{*} \lg V+E * O(1)\right)$ if use Fibonacci Heaps

## Optimal Substructure

Most shortest path algorithms rely on the optimal substructure property
$\square$ Intuitively, says that a shortest path between two vertices contains only other shortest paths within it
$\square$ If path $p=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ from $v_{0}$ to $v_{2}$ is the shortest path from $v_{0}$ to $v_{2^{\prime}}$ then $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$ must also be the shortest path from $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$. Otherwise there'd be a better way to get to $v_{2}$ !

## Optimal Substructure

$\square$ Most shortest path algorithms rely on the optimal substructure property
$\square$ Intuitively, says that a shortest path between two vertices contains only other shortest paths within it
$\square$ If path $p=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ from $v_{0}$ to $v_{2}$ is the shortest path from $v_{0}$ to $v_{2^{\prime}}$ then $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$ must also be the shortest path from $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$. Otherwise there'd be a better way to get to $v_{2}$ !
$\square$ Given a graph $G=(V, E, W)$, let $p=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, . ., v_{k}\right)$ be a shortest path from vertex $v_{o}$ to vertex $v_{k}$ and for any $i$ and $i$ such that $0<=i<=i<=k$, let $p_{i j}$ be the subpath of $p$ from vertex $v_{i}$ to vertex $v_{i}$. Then $p_{i j}$ is the shortest path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{i}$

## Optimal Substructure

Proof by contradiction:
$\square$ Assume that $\mathrm{p}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}^{\prime}} \ldots \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} . . \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} . . \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is the shortest path


## Optimal Substructure

Proof by contradiction:
$\square$ Assume that $\mathrm{p}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}^{\prime}} \ldots \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} . . \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} . . \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is the shortest path
$\square$ Assume that there exists a shorter path between vertices i and vertices $\ddagger$.


## Optimal Substructure

$\square$ Proof by contradiction:
$\square$ Assume that $p=\left(v_{o^{\prime}} \ldots v_{i} . . v_{i} . . v_{k}\right)$ is the shortest path
$\square$ Assume that there exists a shorter path between vertices i and vertices $\ddagger$.
$\square$ Then the shortest path from $v_{0}$ to $v_{k}$ would be via $v_{\text {short }}$ so $p$ is not the shortest path. We have a contradiction


## Triangle Inequality

By the same logic, can derive the triangle inequality
$\square \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})<=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u})+\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$


If the path (s .. v) is a shortest path, the weight of the path from ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u}$ ) and from (u,v) cannot be smaller as that would mean that the path ( $\mathrm{s} . . \mathrm{v}$ ) is not the shortest path

## Dijkstra's algorithm - Again



Why is $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{y}]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{y})$ ?
We have relaxed all the edges leaving s.

The only way to reach $y$ is via $(s, t)+$ (unknown path p) or via ( $s, y$ )

But w(s,t) >w(s,y) so w(s,t) +p> $w(s, y)$ because $w(p)>0$

Any path that we take via $\dagger$ will have greater weight than $w(s, y)$, so $d[s, y]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, y)$

## Dijkstra's algorithm - Again



Now relax all of the edges that start from $y$, and update the current estimate of the shortest path.

## Dijkstra's algorithm - Again



Why is $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z})$ ?

The current values represent our best attempts to reach nodes $t, x, z$ using nodes s and y (because relaxed edges from $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{y}$ )

We want to show that reaching $z$ through other nodes $\dagger$ and $\mathbf{x}$ would yield a value $d$ that is greater than $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{z}]$.

Going through $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}(\ldots) \mathrm{z}$ would not lead a shorter path as $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}]=14$

Going through $s, y, \dagger(\ldots) z$ (the current shortest path to t) would not lead a shorter path as $d[s, t]=8$

## Dijkstra's algorithm - Again



Why is $d[s, t]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, t) ?$

The current values represent our best attempts to reach nodes $t, x$ using nodes $s, y, z$ (because relaxed edges from $s, y, z$ )

We want to show that reaching $\dagger$ through other nodes $\mathbf{x}$ would yield a value d that is greater than $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{t}]$.

Going through $s, y, z, x$ (the current shortest path to $x$ ) would not lead a shorter path as $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}]=13$

## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square \quad$ Want to show that $d[u, v]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, v)$

## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square \quad$ Want to show that $d[u, v]=\mathbf{\delta}(u, v)$
$\square \quad$ Lemma: Initialising $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$ and $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty$ for all $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}-\{\mathrm{s}\}$ establishes $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}] \geq \mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for all $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$, and this invariant is maintained over any sequence of relaxation steps. Upper Bound Property

## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square \quad$ Want to show that $d[u, v]=\mathbf{\delta}(u, v)$
$\square \quad$ Lemma: Initialising $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]=0$ and $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\infty$ for all $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}-\{\mathrm{s}\}$ establishes $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}] \geq \mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for all $v \in \mathrm{~V}$, and this invariant is maintained over any sequence of relaxation steps. Upper Bound Property
$\square$ Proof:
$\square$ At initialisation $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{x}]=\infty$ so $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{x}]>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{x})$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{V}$
$\square$ Assume, after i relaxation steps, that for all nodes $x \in V, d[x]>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, x)$. And consider relaxing edge ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{v}$ ) (the ( $\mathrm{i}+1$ )th relaxation step):

- If we relax ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{v}$ ): $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{x}]+\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{v})$
- By assumption $d[x]>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, x)$
- It follows that $d[v]>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, x)+w(x, v)$.
- It follows that $d[v]>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, x)+\boldsymbol{\delta}(x, v)$. By definition, $w(x, v)>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(x, v)$
- It follows that $d[v]>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, x)+\boldsymbol{\delta}(x, v)>=\boldsymbol{\delta}(u, v)$ (by triangle inequality)


## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square \quad$ Theorem: Dijkstra's algorithm terminates with $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for all in $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$

Proof: Want to show that $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for every $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ when v is added to S

## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square \quad$ Theorem: Dijkstra's algorithm terminates with $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for all in $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$
$\square \quad$ Proof: Want to show that $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for every $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ when v is added to S
$\square$ Suppose $u$ is the first vertex added to $S$ for which $d[u] \neq \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u})$
$\square$ Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the first vertex in $\mathbf{Q}$ along a shortest path from $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{u}$, and let $\mathbf{x}$ be its predecessor

## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square \quad$ Theorem: Dijkstra's algorithm terminates with $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for all in $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$
$\square \quad$ Proof: Want to show that $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathbf{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v})$ for every $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ when v is added to S
$\square$ Suppose $u$ is the first vertex added to $S$ for which $d[u] \neq \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u})$
$\square$ Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the first vertex in $\mathbf{Q}$ along a shortest path from $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{u}$, and let $\mathbf{x}$ be its predecessor

S, just before adding $\mathbf{u}$


## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square$ Since $u$ is the first vertex violating the invariant, we have $d[x]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, x)$
$\square$ Since subpaths of shortest paths are shortest paths, and $y$ is on shortest path from s to $u, d[y]$ was set to $\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, x)+w(x, y)=\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, y)$ just after x was added to s
$\square$ We have $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{y}]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{y})$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{y})<=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u})<=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]$ (Upper Bound Property)

S, just before adding $\mathbf{u}$


## Correctness Proof (Intuition)

$\square$ But, $d[y] \geq d[u]$ since the algorithm chose u first
$\square$ Hence $d[y]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, y)=\boldsymbol{\delta}(s, u)=d[u]$
$\square$ We have a contradiction! So d[u]= $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u})$

S, just before adding $\mathbf{u}$



[^0]:    Lecture 13: Shortest Path
    http://courses.cs.cornell.edu/cs2110/2018su

