

CS211 Fall 2003

Prelim 2 Solutions and Grading Guide

Problem 1:

(a) `obj2 = obj1;`

ILLEGAL because type of reference must always be a supertype of type of object

(b) `obj3 = obj1;`

ILLEGAL because type of reference must always be a supertype of type of object

(c) `obj3 = obj2;`

ILLEGAL because type of reference must always be a supertype of type of object

(d) `I1 b = obj3;`

LEGAL because C3 is a subclass of C1 which implements I1

(e) `I2 c = obj1;`

ILLEGAL because type of reference must always be a supertype of type of object

Grading (total 5 points):

For each part

-1 : wrong conclusion or reason

Problem 2(a):

```
abstract class Exp {
    abstract int eval();
}

class BinExp extends Exp {
    protected char op;
    protected Exp left;
    protected Exp right;

    public BinExp(char op, Exp l, Exp r) {
        this.op = op;
        this.left = l;
        this.right = r;
    }

    public int eval() {
        switch(op) {
            case '+': return left.eval() + right.eval();
            case '*': return left.eval() * right.eval();
            default: System.out.println("ERROR: Unknown op");
                      return -1;
        }
    }

    public char get() { return op; }

    public Exp getLeft() { return left; }

    public Exp getRight() { return right; }
}

class NumExp extends Exp {
    protected int n;

    public NumExp(int n) { this.n = n; }

    public int get() { return n; }

    public int eval() { return n; }
}
```

Grading (total 10 points):

The solution for this part would vary widely. But at a minimum, a correct solution must have all the class definitions with variable declarations, constructors and getter methods. Setter methods are not required.

- 7 : no separate class for numbers and binary operators
- 4 : incorrect derivation of classes (e.g. NumExp should not be a subclass of BinExp)
- 3 : BinExp class stores integers
- 3 : NumExp class stores operators
- 3 : no constructor for BinExp for directly setting left, right children
- 3 : not enough getter methods

Problem 2(b):

```
public static int eval(Exp root) {  
    if (root==null) {  
        System.out.println("ERROR: Tree not initialized");  
        return -1;  
    }  
    return root.eval();  
}
```

Grading (total 10 points):

This part would greatly depend on the solution for part (a). At a minimum, it should implement a recursive method that evaluates the tree passed.

- 2 : no error checking for `root == null`
- 3 : does not work if `root` is just a `NumExp` node
- 5 : illegal downcast if `eval()` implemented externally and `Exp` objects not checked for type before downcasting
- 3 : returns wrong result
- 2 : has any sort of parsing code (this problem does not require parsing expressions)

Problem 3(a):

$n, n \log n, n^2, 2^n, n!$ (in increasing order of asymptotic complexity)

Grading (total 7 points):

- 2 : n not smallest
- 2 : $n!$ not largest
- 2 : n^2 smaller than n
- 2 : $n \log n$ smaller than n
- 2 : 2^n smaller than $n, n \log n$, or n^2
- 2 : wrote in reverse order

Problem 3(b):

TRUE: $2^n = O(3^n)$ one valid witness pair: (1,0)

FALSE: $3^n = O(2^n)$

Proof: Assume $3^n = O(2^n)$. Therefore there exists a witness pair (c, n_0) such that

$3^n \leq c \cdot 2^n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. In other words:

$$\frac{3^n}{2^n} \leq c \quad | \quad n \geq n_0$$

But the limit (as $n \rightarrow +\infty$) is $\frac{3^n}{2^n} = +\infty$. Therefore, it is not possible to have a constant upper

bound on $\frac{3^n}{2^n}$. This implies our initial assumption of the existence of a witness pair was false.

Therefore, the statement $3^n = O(2^n)$ is also false.

Grading (total 8 points):

- 4 : first statement concluded FALSE
- 2 : first statement concluded TRUE but invalid witness pair
- 4 : second statement concluded TRUE
- 2 : second statement concluded FALSE but no relevant argument (informal good enough)

Problem 3(c):

No. Here is a counter example:

Let $f(n) = 2n$ and $g(n) = n$. We can easily show that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ using the witness pair $(2,0)$. Now,

$$2^{f(n)} = 2^{2n} = 4^n \text{ and, } 2^{g(n)} = 2^n$$

By the same process that we used to show that $3^n = O(2^n)$ is false, we can prove that $4^n = O(2^n)$ is also false. Therefore, if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ it does not imply that $2^{f(n)} = O(2^{g(n)})$.

Grading (total 5 points):

-5 : wrong conclusion (answered yes instead of no)

-3 : if counter example (or other proof) not valid

Problem 4:

[Breadth-first]

- a) ABDCE
- b) Not unique. Another possibility: ADBCE

[Depth-first]

- c) ABCED
- d) Not unique. Another possibility: ADECB
- e) Yes. Graph with one node (A) or, (A)→(B), or a graph that looks like a "linked list" in general, among many other possibilities.

Grading (total 10 points):

2 points for each part:

- a) -2 if wrong sequence
- b) -2 if answered “unique”
 - 1 if answered “not unique” but gave wrong sequence
- c) -2 if wrong sequence
- d) -2 if answered “unique”
 - 1 if answered “not unique” but gave wrong sequence
- e) -2 if answered “no”
 - 1 if answered “yes” but gave wrong example

Problem 5:

```
public static boolean Valid(String s) {  
    if (s==null)  
        return false;  
  
    return Valid(s,0,s.length()-1);  
}  
  
public static boolean Valid(String s, int low, int high) {  
    if (low > high)  
        return true;  
    if (low == high)  
        return false;  
    else  
        return(s.charAt(low) == '(') &&  
               (s.charAt(high) == ')') &&  
               (Valid(s,low+1,high-1));  
}
```

Grading (total 15 points):

- 2 : function does not return Boolean
- 2 : fails if s is null
- 5 : does not work for empty string ""
- 3 : *extremely* inefficient (e.g. scans string from beginning in each iteration)
- 7 : does not work for strings of odd length (i.e. either crashes or returns true)
- 2 : incorrect use of s.charAt(i)
- 10 : no recursion
- 3 : bad algorithm
- 7 : allows invalid string
- 1 : returns true if input is null

Problem 6:

```
class Hashley implements SearchStructure {
    protected ListCell[] spine;
    protected int size;
    private final int buckets = 10;

    public Hashley() {
        spine = new ListCell[buckets];
        for (int i=buckets; i<buckets; i++)
            spine[i] = null;
    }

    public void insert(Object o) {
        int index = ((Integer) o).intValue() % buckets;

        ListCell l = new ListCell(o,spine[index]);
        spine[index] = l;
        ++size;
        return;
    }

    public void delete(Object o) {
        int index = ((Integer) o).intValue() % buckets;
        ListCell curr = spine[index];
        ListCell prev = null;

        while (curr != null &&
               ((Comparable) curr.getDatum()).compareTo(o) != 0) {
            prev = curr;
            curr = curr.getNext();
        }

        if (curr == null)
            return;

        if (prev == null)
            spine[index] = curr.getNext();
        else
            prev.setNext(curr.getNext());

        --size;
        return;
    }

    public boolean search(Object o) {
        int index = ((Integer) o).intValue() % buckets;
        ListCell curr = spine[index];

        while (curr != null) {
            if (((Comparable) curr.getDatum()).compareTo(o) == 0)
                return true;
            curr = curr.getNext();
        }
        return false;
    }

    public int size() { return size; }
}
```

Grading (total 30 points):

- 3 : class header does not have “implements SearchStructure”
- 5 : spine is not declared as an array
- 5 : spine array not allocated (no new) before first use
- 3 : object not type-casted to Integer before calling intValue()
- 2 : insert() does not increment size
- 5 : deletion of first node in a list fails
- 5 : deletion of intermediate nodes fail
- 2 : delete() does not decrement size
- 3 : objects not compared correctly
- 5 : inefficient search if all lists are traversed to look for an object
- 3 : tries to call methods on a null pointer (no checking in while loops etc.)
- 3 : does not keep a size variable
- 2 : each index in spine initialized to point to empty ListCell’s
- 5 : function headers don’t match interface