CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 25 Records and Subtyping

31 October 2016

Announcements

- Homework 6 returned: $\overline{x} = 34$ of 37, $\sigma = 3.8$
- Preliminary Exam II in class on Wednesday, November 16
 - New date! Please email me as soon as you can if you have a conflict.
 - Topics: λ-calculus through subtyping (today)
 - Not cumulative (unlike the final)
 - Practice problems available on CMS now

We've seen binary products (pairs), and they generalize to *n*-ary products (tuples).

Records are a generalization of tuples where we mark each field with a label.

We've seen binary products (pairs), and they generalize to *n*-ary products (tuples).

Records are a generalization of tuples where we mark each field with a label.

Example:

 $\{foo = 32, bar = true\}$

is a record value with an integer field foo and a boolean field bar.

We've seen binary products (pairs), and they generalize to *n*-ary products (tuples).

Records are a generalization of tuples where we mark each field with a label.

Example:

 $\{foo = 32, bar = true\}$

is a record value with an integer field foo and a boolean field bar.

```
Its type is:
{foo:int, bar:bool}
```

Syntax

$$l \in \mathcal{L}$$

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \{l_1 = e_1, \dots, l_n = e_n\} \mid e.l$$

$$v ::= \cdots \mid \{l_1 = v_1, \dots, l_n = v_n\}$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \{l_1 : \tau_1, \dots, l_n : \tau_n\}$$

Dynamic Semantics

$$E ::= \dots$$

| { $l_1 = v_1, \dots, l_{i-1} = v_{i-1}, l_i = E, l_{i+1} = e_{i+1}, \dots, l_n = e_n$ }
| E.l

$$\{l_1 = v_1, \ldots, l_n = v_n\}.l_i \rightarrow v_i$$

Static Semantics

$$\frac{\forall i \in 1..n. \quad \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{l_1 = e_1, \dots, l_n = e_n\} : \{l_1 : \tau_1, \dots, l_n : \tau_n\}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \{l_1 : \tau_1, \dots, l_n : \tau_n\}}{\Gamma \vdash e.l_i : \tau_i}$$

$\mathsf{GETX} \triangleq \lambda p : \{ \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{int}, \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{int} \}. p.\mathsf{x}$

$$\mathsf{GETX} \triangleq \lambda p : \{ \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{int}, \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{int} \}. p.\mathsf{x}$$

GETX
$$\{x = 4, y = 2\}$$

$$\mathsf{GETX} \triangleq \lambda p : \{ \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{int}, \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{int} \}. p.\mathsf{x}$$

GETX
$$\{x = 4, y = 2\}$$

GETX
$$\{x = 4, y = 2, z = 42\}$$

$$\mathsf{GETX} \triangleq \lambda p : \{ \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{int}, \mathsf{y} : \mathsf{int} \}. p.\mathsf{x}$$

$$\mathsf{GETX}\;\{x=4,y=2\}$$

GETX
$$\{x = 4, y = 2, z = 42\}$$

GETX
$$\{y = 2, x = 4\}$$

Subtyping

Definition (Subtype)

 τ_1 is a *subtype* of τ_2 , written $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, if a program can use a value of type τ_1 whenever it would use a value of type τ_2 .

If $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, we also say τ_2 is the supertype of τ_1 .

Subtyping

Definition (Subtype)

 τ_1 is a *subtype* of τ_2 , written $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, if a program can use a value of type τ_1 whenever it would use a value of type τ_2 .

If $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, we also say τ_2 is the supertype of τ_1 .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \quad \tau \leq \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau'}$$
 Subsumption

This typing rule says that if e has type τ and τ is a subtype of τ' , then e also has type τ' .

We'll define a new subtyping relation that works together with the subsumption rule.

$$\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$$

This program isn't well-typed (yet):

$$(\lambda p: \{x: int\}, p.x) \{x = 4, y = 2\}$$

This program isn't well-typed (yet):

$$(\lambda p: \{x: int\}, p.x) \{x = 4, y = 2\}$$

So let's add width subtyping:

$$\frac{k \ge 0}{\{l_1: \tau_1, \dots, l_{n+k}: \tau_{n+k}\} \le \{l_1: \tau_1, \dots, l_n: \tau_n\}}$$

This program also doesn't get stuck:

$$(\lambda p: \{x: int, y: int\}, p.x + p.y) \{y = 37, x = 5\}$$

This program also doesn't get stuck:

$$(\lambda p: \{x: int, y: int\}, p.x + p.y) \{y = 37, x = 5\}$$

So we can make it well-typed by adding permutation subtyping:

 π is a permutation on 1..*n*

 $\{l_1: \tau_1, \ldots, l_n: \tau_n\} \leq \{l_{\pi(1)}: \tau_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, l_{\pi(n)}: \tau_{\pi(n)}\}$

Does this program get stuck? Is it well-typed?

$$(\lambda p: \{x : \{y : int\}\}, p.x.y) \{x = \{y = 4, z = 2\}\}$$

Does this program get stuck? Is it well-typed?

$$(\lambda p: \{x : \{y : int\}\}, p.x.y) \{x = \{y = 4, z = 2\}\}$$

Let's add depth subtyping:

$$\frac{\forall i \in 1..n. \quad \tau_i \leq \tau'_i}{\{l_1: \tau_1, \ldots, l_n: \tau_n\} \leq \{l_1: \tau_1, \ldots, l_n: \tau_n\}}$$

Putting all three forms of record subtyping together:

$$\frac{\forall i \in 1..n. \exists j \in 1..m. \quad l'_i = l_j \land \tau_j \leq \tau'_i}{\{l_1 : \tau_1, \dots, l_m : \tau_m\} \leq \{l'_1 : \tau'_1, \dots, l'_n : \tau'_n\}} \text{ S-Record}$$

Standard Subtyping Rules

We always make the subtyping relation both reflexive and transitive.

$$\frac{\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \quad \tau_2 \leq \tau_3}{\tau_1 \leq \tau_3} \text{ S-Trans}$$

Think of every type describing a set of values. Then $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ when τ_1 's values are a subset of τ_2 's.

It's sometimes useful to define a *maximal* type with respect to subtyping:

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \top$$

$$\overline{\tau \leq \top}$$
 S-Top

Everything is a subtype of \top , as in Java's Object or Go's interface{}.

Subtype All the Things!

We can also write subtyping rules for sums and products:

$$rac{ au_{1} \leq au_{1}' \quad au_{2} \leq au_{2}'}{ au_{1} + au_{2} \leq au_{1}' + au_{2}'}$$
 S-Sum

Subtype All the Things!

We can also write subtyping rules for sums and products:

$$rac{ au_1 \leq au_1' \quad au_2 \leq au_2'}{ au_1 + au_2 \leq au_1' + au_2'}$$
 S-Sum

$$\frac{\tau_1 \leq \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 \leq \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \times \tau_2 \leq \tau_1' \times \tau_2'} \text{ S-Product}$$

Function Types

How should we decide whether one function type is a subtype of another?

$$rac{???}{ au_1 o au_2 \leq au_1' o au_2'}$$
 S-Function

Desiderata

We'd like to have:

$$int \rightarrow \{x : int, y : int\} \leq int \rightarrow \{x : int\}$$

Desiderata

We'd like to have:

$$int \rightarrow \{x: int, y: int\} \leq int \rightarrow \{x: int\}$$

And:

$$\{x\!:\!\text{int}\} \rightarrow \text{int} \leq \{x\!:\!\text{int},y\!:\!\text{int}\} \rightarrow \text{int}$$

Desiderata

We'd like to have:

$$int \rightarrow \{x: int, y: int\} \leq int \rightarrow \{x: int\}$$

And:

$$\{x\!:\!\text{int}\} \rightarrow \text{int} \leq \{x\!:\!\text{int},y\!:\!\text{int}\} \rightarrow \text{int}$$

In general, to prove:

$$\tau_1 \to \tau_2 \le \tau_1' \to \tau_2'$$

we'll require:

- Argument types are contravariant: $au_1' \leq au_1$
- Return types are covariant: $\tau_2 \leq \tau_2'$

Function Subtyping

Putting these two pieces together, we get the subtyping rule for function types:

$$rac{ au_1' \leq au_1 \quad au_2 \leq au_2'}{ au_1 o au_2 \leq au_1' o au_2'}$$
 S-Function

Reference Subtyping

What should the relationship be between τ and τ' in order to have $\tau \operatorname{ref} \leq \tau' \operatorname{ref}$?

If r' has type τ' **ref**, then !r' has type τ' .

Imagine we replace r' with r, where r has a type τ **ref** that we've somehow decided is a subtype of τ' **ref**.

If r' has type τ' **ref**, then !r' has type τ' .

Imagine we replace r' with r, where r has a type τ **ref** that we've somehow decided is a subtype of τ' **ref**.

Then !r should still produce something can be treated as a τ' . In other words, it should have a type that is a *subtype* of τ' .

So the referent type should be covariant:

$$\frac{\tau \leq \tau'}{\tau \operatorname{ref} \leq \tau' \operatorname{ref}}$$

If *v* has type τ' , then r' := v' should be legal.

If we replace r' with r, then it must still be legal to assign r := v. So !r would then produce a value of type τ' .

If v has type τ' , then r' := v' should be legal.

If we replace r' with r, then it must still be legal to assign r := v. So !r would then produce a value of type τ' .

So the referent type should be contravariant!

$$\frac{\tau' \leq \tau}{\tau \operatorname{ref} \leq \tau' \operatorname{ref}}$$

Reference Subtyping

In fact, subtyping for reference types must be *invariant*: a reference type τ **ref** is a subtype of τ' **ref** if and only if $\tau \leq \tau'$ and $\tau' \leq \tau$.

$$rac{ au \leq au' \quad au' \leq au}{ au \ extbf{ref} \leq au' \ extbf{ref}} \ \mathsf{S-Ref}$$

Java Arrays

Tragically, Java's mutable arrays use covariant subtyping!

Tragically, Java's mutable arrays use covariant subtyping!

Suppose that Cow is a subtype of Animal.

Code that only reads from arrays typechecks:

Animal[] arr = new Cow[] { new Cow("Alfonso") }; Animal a = arr[0]; Tragically, Java's mutable arrays use covariant subtyping!

Suppose that Cow is a subtype of Animal.

Code that only reads from arrays typechecks:

Animal[] arr = new Cow[] { new Cow("Alfonso") }; Animal a = arr[0];

but writing to the array can get into trouble:

arr[0] = new Animal("Brunhilda");

Specifically, this generates an ArrayStoreException.