

CS 4110

# Programming Languages & Logics

---

Lecture 20  
Normalization

17 October 2016



# Announcements

---

- Proof-writing workshop tomorrow night, 7pm, in Gates 310!

# Type “Completeness”?

---

Are all well-behaved programs well-typed?

# Normalization

---

The simply-typed lambda calculus enjoys a remarkable property:

Every well-typed program terminates.

# Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

## Syntax

expressions	$e ::= x \mid \lambda x:\tau. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid ()$
values	$v ::= \lambda x:\tau. e \mid ()$
types	$\tau ::= \mathbf{unit} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$

# Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

## Syntax

expressions	$e ::= x \mid \lambda x:\tau. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid ()$
values	$v ::= \lambda x:\tau. e \mid ()$
types	$\tau ::= \mathbf{unit} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$

## Dynamic Semantics

$$E ::= [\cdot] \mid E e \mid v E$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{E[e] \rightarrow E[e']}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x:\tau. e) v \rightarrow e\{v/x\}}$$

# Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

## Static Semantics

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash () : \mathbf{unit}} \text{T-UNIT}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{T-VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{T-ABS}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau'} \text{T-APP}$$

# Supporting Lemmas

## Lemma (Inversion)

- *If  $\Gamma \vdash x : \tau$  then  $\Gamma(x) = \tau$*
- *If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1. e : \tau$  then  $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  and  $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2$ .*
- *If  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau$  then  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau'$ .*



# Supporting Lemmas

## Lemma (Inversion)

- If  $\Gamma \vdash x:\tau$  then  $\Gamma(x) = \tau$
- If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\tau_1. e:\tau$  then  $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  and  $\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e:\tau_2$ .
- If  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2:\tau$  then  $\Gamma \vdash e_1:\tau' \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e_2:\tau'$ .

## Lemma (Canonical Forms)

- If  $\Gamma \vdash v:\mathbf{unit}$  then  $v = ()$
- If  $\Gamma \vdash v:\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  then  $v = \lambda x:\tau_1. e$  and  $\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e:\tau_2$ .

# First Attempt

## Theorem (Normalization)

*If  $\vdash e : \tau$  then there exists a value  $v$  such that  $e \rightarrow^* v$ .*

# Logical Relations

---

**Idea:** define a set with the following properties:

- At base types the set contains all expressions satisfying some property.
- At function types, the set contains all expressions such that the property is preserved whenever we apply the function to an argument of appropriate type that is also in the set.

# Logical Relations

---

**Idea:** define a set with the following properties:

- At base types the set contains all expressions satisfying some property.
- At function types, the set contains all expressions such that the property is preserved whenever we apply the function to an argument of appropriate type that is also in the set.

In our setting, the property will concern normalization...

# Logical Relation

## Definition (Logical Relation)

- $R_{\mathbf{unit}}(e)$  iff  $\vdash e : \mathbf{unit}$  and  $e$  halts.
- $R_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}(e)$  iff  $\vdash e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  and  $e$  halts, and for every  $e'$  such that  $R_{\tau_1}(e')$  we have  $R_{\tau_2}(e e')$ .

# Supporting Lemmas

---

## Lemma

*If  $R_\tau(e)$  then  $e$  halts.*

# Supporting Lemmas

## Lemma

*If  $R_\tau(e)$  then  $e$  halts.*

## Lemma

*If  $\vdash e : \tau$  and  $e \rightarrow e'$  then  $R_\tau(e)$  iff  $R_\tau(e')$ .*

# Supporting Lemmas

## Lemma

*If  $R_\tau(e)$  then  $e$  halts.*

## Lemma

*If  $\vdash e : \tau$  and  $e \rightarrow e'$  then  $R_\tau(e)$  iff  $R_\tau(e')$ .*

## Lemma (Goal)

*If  $\vdash e : \tau$  then  $R_\tau(e)$*



# Main Lemma

## Lemma (Goal – Strengthened)

*If*

- $x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_k:\tau_k \vdash e:\tau$ ,
- $v_1$  through  $v_k$  are values such that  $\vdash v_1:\tau_1$  through  $\vdash v_k:\tau_k$ , and
- $R_{\tau_1}(v_1)$  through  $R_{\tau_k}(v_k)$ ,

*then*  $R_\tau(e\{v_1/x_1\} \dots \{v_k/x_k\})$ .