CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 11 More Hoare Logic

19 September 2016

Announcements

- New TA with new office hours (welcome back, Andrew!)
 - Monday usually; Friday this week
- Homework 2 returned
 - Out of 36, $\bar{x} = 28.9$, $\sigma = 6.2$, median 30

1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.

- 1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.
- **2.** Write down a property, $P(\mathcal{D}) = \dots$

- 1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.
- 2. Write down a property, $P(\mathcal{D}) = \dots$
- 3. For every rule in the relation:
 - a. Write down the derivation tree rooted at that rule. Use : to indicate any omitted remainder of the tree.

- 1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.
- 2. Write down a property, $P(\mathcal{D}) = \dots$
- 3. For every rule in the relation:
 - a. Write down the derivation tree rooted at that rule. Use : to indicate any omitted remainder of the tree.
 - **b**. Write down P(D) for this derivation tree. That's your goal.

- 1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.
- 2. Write down a property, $P(\mathcal{D}) = \dots$
- 3. For every rule in the relation:
 - a. Write down the derivation tree rooted at that rule. Use : to indicate any omitted remainder of the tree.
 - **b**. Write down P(D) for this derivation tree. That's your goal.
 - c. Is the goal vacuously true? If so, you're done!

- 1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.
- 2. Write down a property, $P(\mathcal{D}) = \dots$
- 3. For every rule in the relation:
 - a. Write down the derivation tree rooted at that rule. Use : to indicate any omitted remainder of the tree.
 - **b**. Write down P(D) for this derivation tree. That's your goal.
 - c. Is the goal vacuously true? If so, you're done!
 - d. Does the goal have premises from the same relation? If not, this is a base case. Reason directly.

- 1. Choose the relation you're going to induct over.
- 2. Write down a property, $P(\mathcal{D}) = \dots$
- 3. For every rule in the relation:
 - a. Write down the derivation tree rooted at that rule. Use : to indicate any omitted remainder of the tree.
 - b. Write down P(D) for this derivation tree. That's your goal.
 - c. Is the goal vacuously true? If so, you're done!
 - d. Does the goal have premises from the same relation? If not, this is a base case. Reason directly.
 - e. If so, this is an inductive case. Apply *P* to those subderivations you marked with vertical dots. Write down the resulting conclusion. Use that fact to prove P(D) for this derivation.

Overview

Last time

• Hoare Logic

Today

- "Decorated" programs
- Weakest Preconditions

 $\frac{1}{\vdash \{P[a/x]\} := a \{P\}} \text{ Assign}$ $\overline{\vdash \{P\} \operatorname{skip} \{P\}}$ SKIP $\frac{\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{R\}}{\vdash \{P\} c_1; c_2 \{Q\}} \mathsf{Seq}$ $\frac{\vdash \{P \land b\} c_1 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{Q\}} \text{ IF}$ $\frac{\vdash \{P \land b\} c \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{P \land \neg b\}} \text{ WHILE}$ $\models P \Rightarrow P' \qquad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \qquad \models Q' \Rightarrow Q$ Consequence $\vdash \{P\} \in \{0\}$

Observation: Once we've identified loop invariants and uses of consequence, the structure of a Hoare logic is determined!

Notation: Can write proofs by "decorating" programs with:

- A precondition ({*P*})
- A postcondition ({*Q*})
- Invariants ({*I*}**while** *b* **do** *c*)
- Uses of consequence $\{R\} \Rightarrow \{S\}$
- Assertions between sequences c_1 ; $\{T\}c_2$

A decorated program describes a valid Hoare logic proof if the rest of the proof tree's structure is implied. (Caveats: Invariants are constrained, etc.)

Example: Decorated Factorial

$$\{ x = n \land n > 0 \}$$

y := 1;
while x > 0 do {
y := y * x;
x := x - 1
}
 $\{ y = n! \}$

Example: Decorated Factorial

$$\{x = n \land n > 0\} \Rightarrow \{1 = 1 \land x = n \land n > 0\} y := 1; \{y = 1 \land x = n \land n > 0\} \Rightarrow \{y * x! = n! \land x \ge 0\} \Rightarrow \{y * x! = n! \land x \ge 0\} \Rightarrow \{y * x! = n! \land x > 0 \land x \ge 0\} \Rightarrow \{y * x * (x - 1)! = n! \land (x - 1) \ge 0\} y := y * x; \{y * (x - 1)! = n! \land (x - 1) \ge 0\} x := x - 1 \{y * x! = n! \land x \ge 0\} \} \{y * x! = n! \land (x \ge 0) \land \neg (x > 0)\} \Rightarrow \{y = n!\}$$

Check whether a decorated program represents a valid proof using local consistency checks.

Check whether a decorated program represents a valid proof using local consistency checks.

For **skip**, the precondition and postcondition should be the same:

{P}
skip
{P}

For sequences, $\{P\} c_1 \{R\}$ and $\{R\} c_2 \{Q\}$ must be (recursively) locally consistent:

 ${P} \\ c_1; \\ {R} \\ c_2 \\ {Q}$

Assignment should use the substitution from the rule:

 ${P[a/x]}$ x := a ${P}$ An **if** is locally consistent when both branches are locally consistent after adding the branch condition to each:

```
\{P\}
if b then
  \{P \land b\}
  C_1
  {Q}
else
  \{P \land \neg b\}
  C<sub>2</sub>
  {Q}
{Q}
```

Decorate a **while** with the loop invariant:

 $\{P\}$ while *b* do $\{P \land b\}$ *c* $\{P\}$ $\{P \land \neg b\}$

To capture the CONSEQUENCE rule, you can always write a (valid) implication:

$$\{P\} \Rightarrow \{Q\}$$

```
{
while (0 < y) do (
    x := x + 1;
    y := y - 1
)
{
</pre>
```

```
{x = m \land y = n \land 0 \le n}

while (0 < y) do (

x := x + 1;

y := y - 1

)

{x = m + n}
```

```
\{\mathbf{x} = m \land \mathbf{y} = n \land \mathbf{0} \leq n\} \Rightarrow
{I}
while (0 < y) do(
   \{I \land 0 < y\} \Rightarrow
    \{/[y - 1/y][x + 1/x]\}
   x := x + 1:
    \{I[y - 1/y]\}
   y := y - 1
    \{I\}
\{I \land 0 \not< y\} \Rightarrow
\{x = m + n\}
```

Where *I* is $(x = m + n - y) \land 0 \le y$.

```
{ }
while (x \neq 0) do (x := x - 1)
{ }
```

{true} while $(x \neq 0)$ do (x := x - 1) {x = 0}

$$\{ x = n \land 0 \le n \}$$

y := 1
while (0 < x) do (
 $x := x - 1;$
 $y := y * 2$)
 $\{ y = 2^n \}$