CS 4110 Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 5
IMP Properties

7 September 2016

Announcements

• I'm here!



Announcements

Web Site

- My office hours
 - Usually Monday 10–11am and Friday 2–3pm
 - ► This week only, Thursday 1–2pm instead of Friday
- Grading details
 - Three 24-hour slip days
 - You can use at most two per assignment
 - Lowest score dropped
- Slides and notes posted there from now on (instead of Piazza)

Homework #1

Due: Today, 11:59pm

Homework #2

Out: Today

Review

Last time we defined the IMP programming language...

$$a :== x \mid n \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 \times a_2$$
 $b :== \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid a_1 < a_2$
 $c :== \text{skip}$
 $\mid x := a$
 $\mid c_1; c_2$
 $\mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2$
 $\mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c$

5

Again, three relations, one for each syntactic category:

$$\begin{subarray}{l} $\downarrow_{\sf Aexp} \subseteq {\sf Store} imes {\sf Aexp} imes {\sf Int} \ $\downarrow_{\sf Bexp} \subseteq {\sf Store} imes {\sf Bexp} imes {\sf Bool} \ $\downarrow_{\sf Com} \subseteq {\sf Store} imes {\sf Com} imes {\sf Store} \ $\downarrow_{\sf Com} \cap {\sf Com} \ $\downarrow_{\sf Com} \cap {\sf Co$$

$$\frac{\sigma(x) = n}{\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\sigma(x) = n}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow n_1}{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \Downarrow n_2} \qquad n = n_1 + n_2$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow n_1}{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \Downarrow n_2} \qquad n = n_1 \times n_2$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow n_1}{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow n_2} \qquad n = n_1 \times n_2$$

7

$$\frac{}{\langle \sigma, \mathbf{skip} \rangle \Downarrow \sigma}$$

ASSGN
$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle \sigma, x := e \rangle \Downarrow \sigma[x \mapsto n]}$$

$$\mathsf{SEQ}\,\frac{\langle \sigma, c_1 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' \qquad \langle \sigma', c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \sigma, c_1; c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}$$

IF-T
$$\frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{true} \qquad \langle \sigma, c_1 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{if} \ b \ \mathsf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$
IF-F
$$\frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{false} \qquad \langle \sigma, c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{if} \ b \ \mathsf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{WHILE-F} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \text{false}}{\langle \sigma, \text{while } b \text{ do } c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma} \\ \\ \text{WHILE-T} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \text{true} \qquad \langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' \qquad \langle \sigma', \text{while } b \text{ do } c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \sigma, \text{while } b \text{ do } c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''} \end{array}$$

Command Equivalence

Intuitively, two commands are equivalent if they produce the same result under any store...

Definition (Equivalence of commands)

Two commands c and c' are equivalent (written $c \sim c'$) if, for any stores σ and σ' , we have

$$\langle \sigma, \mathbf{c} \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' \iff \langle \sigma, \mathbf{c}' \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'.$$

8

Command Equivalence

For example, we can prove that every **while** command is equivalent to its "unrolling":

Theorem

For all $b \in \mathbf{Bexp}$ and $c \in \mathbf{Com}$ we have

while b do $c \sim$ if b then (c; while b do c) else skip.

Proof.

We show each implication separately...

• Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?

• Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?

• A: while true do skip

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?
- A: Then we would lose Turing completeness.

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?
- A: Then we would lose Turing completeness.
- Q: How much space do we need to represent configurations during execution of an IMP program?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?
- A: Then we would lose Turing completeness.
- Q: How much space do we need to represent configurations during execution of an IMP program?
- A: Can calculate a fixed bound!

Determinism

Theorem

 $\forall c \in \mathsf{Com}, \sigma, \sigma' \sigma'' \in \mathsf{Store}.$

if $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$ and $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''$ then $\sigma' = \sigma''$.

Determinism

Theorem

 $\forall c \in \mathsf{Com}, \sigma, \sigma' \sigma'' \in \mathsf{Store}.$

if
$$\langle \sigma, \mathbf{c} \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$$
 and $\langle \sigma, \mathbf{c} \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''$ then $\sigma' = \sigma''$.

Proof.

By structural induction on c...

Determinism

Theorem

 $\forall c \in \mathbf{Com}, \sigma, \sigma' \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}.$

if $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$ and $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''$ then $\sigma' = \sigma''$.

Proof.

By structural induction on c...

Proof.

By induction on the derivation of $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$...

Derivations

Write $\mathcal{D} \Vdash y$ if the conclusion of derivation \mathcal{D} is y.

Derivations

Write $\mathcal{D} \Vdash y$ if the conclusion of derivation \mathcal{D} is y.

Example:

Given the derivation,

we would write: $\mathcal{D} \Vdash \langle \sigma, i := 42 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma[i \mapsto 42]$

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

This means we can prove properties by induction on derivations!

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

This means we can prove properties by induction on derivations!

A derivation \mathcal{D}' is an immediate subderivation of \mathcal{D} if $\mathcal{D}' \Vdash z$ where z is one of the premises used of the final rule of derivation \mathcal{D} .

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

This means we can prove properties by induction on derivations!

A derivation \mathcal{D}' is an immediate subderivation of \mathcal{D} if $\mathcal{D}' \Vdash z$ where z is one of the premises used of the final rule of derivation \mathcal{D} .

In a proof by induction on derivations, for every axiom and inference rule, assume that the property *P* holds for all immediate subderivations, and show that it holds of the conclusion.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{SKIP} & \frac{\langle \sigma, a \rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{skip} \rangle \Downarrow \sigma} & \mathsf{ASSGN} \frac{\langle \sigma, a \rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle \sigma, x := a \rangle \Downarrow \sigma[x \mapsto n]} \\ & \mathsf{SEQ} & \frac{\langle \sigma, c_1 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' & \langle \sigma', c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \sigma, c_1; c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''} \\ & \mathsf{IF-T} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{true} & \langle \sigma, c_1 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{if} \ b \ \mathsf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'} \\ & \mathsf{IF-F} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{false} & \langle \sigma, c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{if} \ b \ \mathsf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'} \\ & \mathsf{WHILE-T} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{true} & \langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' & \langle \sigma', \mathsf{while} \ b \ \mathsf{do} \ c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{while} \ b \ \mathsf{do} \ c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''} \\ & \mathsf{WHILE-F} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{false}}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{while} \ b \ \mathsf{do} \ c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''} \\ & \mathsf{WHILE-F} & \frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \mathsf{false}}{\langle \sigma, \mathsf{while} \ b \ \mathsf{do} \ c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''} \end{aligned}$$