CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 2
Introduction to Semantics

26 August 2016 29 August 2012

Announcements

Wednesday Lecture

Moved to Thurston 203

Kozen Foster Office Hours

Today 11a 12pm in Gates 432 10-11am Gates 436

Mota Office Hours

- Wed 11am 12pm in TBD
- Thurs 2:30pm-4pm in TBD

Homework #1

- Out: Wednesday, September 3rd August 31
- Due: Wednesday, September 10th Sept 7
- Distributed via CMS <- most likely

Semantics

Question: What is the meaning of a program?

Semantics

Question: What is the meaning of a program?

Answer: We could execute the program using an interpreter or a compiler, or we could consult a manual...



A6.7 Void

The finonexistent) value of a vo.1d object may not be used in any way, and neither explicit nor implicit conversion to any non-void type may be applied. Because a vid expression denotes a nonexistent value, such an expression may be used only where the value is not required, for example as an expression statement (\$A.9.2) or as the left operand of a comma operator (\$A.7.18).

An expression may be converted to type void by a cast. For example, a void cast documents the discarding of the value of a function call used as an expression statement.

void did not appear in the first edition of this book, but has become common since.

...but none of these is a satisfactory solution.

Formal Semantics

Three Approaches

Operational

$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$$

- Model program by execution on abstract machine
- Useful for implementing compilers and interpreters
- Denotational:

 $\llbracket e \rrbracket$

- Model program as mathematical objects
- Useful for theoretical foundations
- Axiomatic

$$\vdash \{\phi\} e \{\psi\}$$

- Model program by the logical formulas it obeys
- Useful for proving program correctness

Arithmetic Expressions

Syntax

A language of integer arithmetic expressions with assignment.

Syntax

A language of integer arithmetic expressions with assignment.

Metavariables:

$$x,y,z \in Var$$

 $n,m \in Int$
 $e \in Exp$

Syntax

A language of integer arithmetic expressions with assignment.

Metavariables:

$$x,y,z \in Var$$

 $n,m \in Int$
 $e \in Exp$

BNF Grammar:

$$e := x$$
 $| n$
 $| e_1 + e_2$
 $| e_1 * e_2$
 $| x := e_1 ; e_2$

6

Ambiguity

What expression does the string "1 + 2 * 3" describe?

Ambiguity

What expression does the string "1 + 2 * 3" describe?

There are two possible parse trees:



Ambiguity

What expression does the string "1 + 2 * 3" describe?

There are two possible parse trees:



In this course, we will distinguish abstract syntax from concrete syntax, and focus primarily on abstract syntax (using conventions or parentheses at the concrete level to disambiguate as needed).

7

Representing Expressions

BNF Grammar:

```
e := x
| n
| e_1 + e_2
| e_1 * e_2
| x := e_1 ; e_2
```

Representing Expressions

BNF Grammar:

```
e := x
| n 
| e_1 + e_2 
| e_1 * e_2 
| x := e_1 ; e_2
```

OCaml:

Example: Mul(Int 2, Add(Var "foo", Int 1))

Representing Expressions

BNF Grammar:

```
e := x
| n 
| e_1 + e_2 
| e_1 * e_2 
| x := e_1 ; e_2
```

Java:

```
abstract class Expr {}
class Var extends Expr { String name; ... }
class Int extends Expr { int val; ... }
class Add extends Expr { Expr exp1, exp2; ... }
class Mul extends Expr { Expr exp1, exp2; ... }
class Assgn extends Expr { String var, Expr exp1, exp2; ... }
```

Example: new Mul(new Int(2), new Add(new Var("foo"), new Int(1)))

• 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to ...?

• 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to 15

- 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to 15
- i := 6 + 1; 2 * 3 * i evaluates to ...?

- 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to 15
- i := 6 + 1; 2 * 3 * i evaluates to 42

- 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to 15
- i := 6 + 1; 2 * 3 * i evaluates to 42
- *x* + 1 evaluates to ...?

- 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to 15
- i := 6 + 1; 2 * 3 * i evaluates to 42
- x + 1 evaluates to error?

- 7 + (4 * 2) evaluates to 15
- i := 6 + 1; 2 * 3 * i evaluates to 42
- x + 1 evaluates to error?

The rest of this lecture will make these intuitions precise...

9

Mathematical Preliminaries

The *product* of two sets A and B, written $A \times B$, contains all ordered pairs (a, b) with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

The *product* of two sets A and B, written $A \times B$, contains all ordered pairs (a, b) with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

A binary relation on A and B is just a subset $R \subseteq A \times B$.

1

The *product* of two sets A and B, written $A \times B$, contains all ordered pairs (a, b) with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

A binary relation on A and B is just a subset $R \subseteq A \times B$.

Given a binary relation $R \subseteq A \times B$, the set A is called the *domain* of R and B is called the *range* (or *codomain*) of R.

1

The *product* of two sets A and B, written $A \times B$, contains all ordered pairs (a, b) with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

A binary relation on A and B is just a subset $R \subseteq A \times B$.

Given a binary relation $R \subseteq A \times B$, the set A is called the *domain* of R and B is called the *range* (or *codomain*) of R.

Some Important Relations

- empty ∅
- total $A \times B$
- identity on $A \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$.
- composition R; $S \{(a, c) \mid \exists b. (a, b) \in R \land (b, c) \in S\}$

A (total) function f is a binary relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ with the property that every $a \in A$ is related to exactly one $b \in B$

A (total) function f is a binary relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ with the property that every $a \in A$ is related to exactly one $b \in B$

When f is a function, we usually write $f: A \rightarrow B$ instead of $f \subseteq A \times B$

A (total) function f is a binary relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ with the property that every $a \in A$ is related to exactly one $b \in B$

When f is a function, we usually write $f: A \rightarrow B$ instead of $f \subseteq A \times B$

The *domain* and *range* of *f* are defined the same way as for relations

A (total) function f is a binary relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ with the property that every $a \in A$ is related to exactly one $b \in B$

When f is a function, we usually write $f: A \rightarrow B$ instead of $f \subseteq A \times B$

The *domain* and *range* of *f* are defined the same way as for relations

The *image* of f is the set of elements $b \in B$ that are mapped to by at least one $a \in A$. More formally: image $(f) \triangleq \{f(a) \mid a \in A\}$

Given two functions $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$, the composition of f and g is defined by: $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ Note order!

Given two functions $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$, the composition of f and g is defined by: $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ Note order!

A partial function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a total function $f: A' \rightarrow B$ on a set $A' \subseteq A$. The notation dom(f) refers to A'.

Given two functions $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$, the composition of f and g is defined by: $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ Note order!

A partial function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a total function $f: A' \rightarrow B$ on a set $A' \subseteq A$. The notation dom(f) refers to A'.

A function $f: A \to B$ is said to be *injective* (or *one-to-one*) if and only if $a_1 \neq a_2$ implies $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$.

Given two functions $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$, the composition of f and g is defined by: $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ Note order!

A partial function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a total function $f: A' \rightarrow B$ on a set $A' \subseteq A$. The notation dom(f) refers to A'.

A function $f: A \to B$ is said to be *injective* (or *one-to-one*) if and only if $a_1 \neq a_2$ implies $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$.

A function $f: A \to B$ is said to be *surjective* (or *onto*) if and only if the image of f is B.

Operational Semantics

An operational semantics describes how a program executes on some (typically idealized) abstract machine.

An operational semantics describes how a program executes on some (typically idealized) abstract machine.

A small-step semantics describes how such an execution proceeds in terms of successive reductions: $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

An operational semantics describes how a program executes on some (typically idealized) abstract machine.

A small-step semantics describes how such an execution proceeds in terms of successive reductions: $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

For our language, a configuration $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ has two components:

- a store σ that records the values of variables
- and the expression e being evaluated

An operational semantics describes how a program executes on some (typically idealized) abstract machine.

A small-step semantics describes how such an execution proceeds in terms of successive reductions: $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

For our language, a configuration $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ has two components:

- a store σ that records the values of variables
- and the expression e being evaluated

More formally,

Store
$$\triangleq$$
 Var \rightarrow Int Config \triangleq Store \times Exp

Note that a store is a *partial* function from variables to integers.

The small-step operational semantics itself is a relation on configurations—i.e., a subset of **Config** \times **Config**.

The small-step operational semantics itself is a relation on configurations—i.e., a subset of $\textbf{Config} \times \textbf{Config}$.

Notation:
$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$$

The small-step operational semantics itself is a relation on configurations—i.e., a subset of $\textbf{Config} \times \textbf{Config}$.

Notation: $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

Question: How should we define this relation?

The small-step operational semantics itself is a relation on configurations—i.e., a subset of $\textbf{Config} \times \textbf{Config}$.

Notation:
$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$$

Question: How should we define this relation? Note that there are an infinite number of configurations and possible steps!

The small-step operational semantics itself is a relation on configurations—i.e., a subset of $\textbf{Config} \times \textbf{Config}$.

Notation:
$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$$

Question: How should we define this relation? Note that there are an infinite number of configurations and possible steps!

Answer: define it inductively, using inference rules:

$$\frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Add}$$

The small-step operational semantics itself is a relation on configurations—i.e., a subset of $\textbf{Config} \times \textbf{Config}$.

Notation:
$$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$$

Question: How should we define this relation? Note that there are an infinite number of configurations and possible steps!

Answer: define it inductively, using inference rules:

$$\frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Add}$$

Intuitively, if facts above the line hold, then facts below the line hold. More formally, " \longrightarrow " is the smallest relation "closed" under the inference rules.

Variables

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ Var}$$

Addition

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \text{ LAdd}$$

Addition

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \text{ LAdd}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n + e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', n + e_2' \rangle} \text{ RAdd}$$

Addition

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \text{ LAdd}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n + e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', n + e_2' \rangle} \text{ RAdd}$$

$$\frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Add}$$

Multiplication

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \text{ LMul}$$

Multiplication

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \text{ LMul}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n * e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', n * e_2' \rangle} \text{ RMul}$$

Multiplication

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \text{ LMul}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n * e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', n * e_2' \rangle} \text{ RMul}$$

$$\frac{p = m \times n}{\langle \sigma, m * n \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Mul}$$

Assignment

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', x := e_1' ; e_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn1}$$

Assignment

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e'_1 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', x := e'_1 ; e_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn1}$$

$$\frac{\sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto n]}{\langle \sigma, x := n ; e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$$

Notation: $\sigma[x \mapsto n]$ maps x to n and otherwise behaves like σ

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \to \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ Var } \frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \text{ LAdd}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n + e_2' \rangle} \text{ RAdd} \frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Add}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \text{ LMul} \frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n * e_2' \rangle} \text{ RMul}$$

$$\frac{p = m \times n}{\langle \sigma, m * n \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Mul} \frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', x := e_1' ; e_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn1}$$

 $\frac{\sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto n]}{\langle \sigma, x := n : e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$

2