We’ve seen how TCP “the protocol” works, but there are a lot of tricks required to make it work well. Indeed, the Internet nearly died an early death because of bad TCP performance problems.

Interactive TCP
- Interactive applications like telnet or RPC send only occasional data
- Data sent in both directions
- Data often very small
- Packet overhead is huge for small packets
  - <3% efficiency for a 1-byte data packet
  - This is bad for low-bandwidth links

Making interactive TCP efficient for low-bandwidth links
- Filling the pipe for bulk-data applications
- Estimating round trip time (RTT)
- Keeping the pipe full
- Avoiding congestion
Who cares about low-BW links?
- Historically low-BW links were a serious problem
  - As access links got faster, people worried less about this
- Ubiquitous computing over TCP/IP wireless links makes this interesting again
  - Low-power devices

Transmit versus wait
- One basic engineering tradeoff is to wait before transmitting
- Wait for more data to send a bigger packet
- Hold off on the ACK so that data can be piggybacked with the ACK
- This is not an easy tradeoff to make— you can only go so far with this approach

TCP/IP header compression
- A better approach is to "compress" the TCP and IP headers (RFC 1144, 2507 - 2509)
- Basic idea is to:
  - not transmit fields that don’t change from packet to packet,
  - and to transmit only the deltas of those fields that do change

TCP/IP compression components

---

---
TCP header compression

- How much compression can we get out of TCP/IP
- From 40 bytes to:
  - 20 bytes?
  - 10 bytes?
  - 5 bytes?
  - 2 bytes?

More compression

- Total length not needed because link layer transmits that (2 bytes)
- IP checksum not needed because there isn’t much left to checksum (2 more bytes)

TCP/IP fields that don’t change

This cuts the header in half!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Byte</th>
<th>Source Address</th>
<th>Destination Address</th>
<th>Source Port</th>
<th>Destination Port</th>
<th>Sequence Number</th>
<th>Acknowledgment Number</th>
<th>Window</th>
<th>urgent pointer</th>
<th>Data Offset</th>
<th>reserved</th>
<th>flags</th>
<th>checksum</th>
<th>protocol</th>
<th>header length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>4-15</td>
<td>16-31</td>
<td>32-111</td>
<td>112-255</td>
<td>256-323246</td>
<td>323247-65535</td>
<td>65536</td>
<td>65537</td>
<td>65538</td>
<td>65539</td>
<td>65540</td>
<td>65541</td>
<td>65541</td>
<td>65542-65536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compression header

- Connection number (C)
- TCP checksum
- Urgent pointer (U)
- Window (W)
- Acknowledgment Number (A)
- Sequence Number (S)
- IP ID (I)
- Data
Compression issues

- The main issue is how to deal with errors.
- Once an error occurs, the decompressor can’t recover unless a new complete packet is sent.
- RFC1144 has a clever solution to this.

When to schedule transmission

- As we saw, TCP segment transmit doesn’t have to correspond to app send().
- When should TCP send a fragment?
  - As soon as it gets data to send?
  - As soon as it has a packet’s worth to send (MSS Max Segment Size)?
  - Not until some timer goes off?

When to schedule transmission

- If TCP sends right away, it may send many small packets.
- If TCP waits for a full MSS, it may delay important data.
- If TCP waits for a timer, then bad behavior can result.
  - Lots of small packets get sent anyway.
  - Silly Window Syndrome.

Silly Window Syndrome

- This is a nice situation:
  - (nice big packets, full pipe)
Silly Window Syndrome

Imagine this situation:
- How could we get out of it???

Small packets introduced into the loop tend to stay in the loop.
How do small packets get introduced into the loop?

Silly Window Syndrome: Small packet introduced

Receiver and sender both wait until they have larger segments to ACK or send.

Receiver:
- Receiver will not advertise a larger window until the window can be increased by one full-sized segment or
- by half of the receiver’s buffer space whichever is smaller.
Silly Window Syndrome prevention

- Sender:
  - Waits to transmit until either a full sized segment (MSS) can be sent or
  - at least half of the largest window ever advertised by the receiver can be sent or
  - it can send everything in the buffer

When to schedule transmission (again)

- App can force sender to send immediately when data is available
  - Sockopt TCP_NODELAY
- Otherwise, sender sends when a full MSS is available
- Or when a timer goes off
  - But with silly window constraints...

TCP: Retransmission and Timeouts

Picking the RTO is important:
- Pick a value that's too big and it will wait too long to retransmit a packet.
- Pick a value too small, and it will unnecessarily retransmit packets.

The original algorithm for picking RTO:
1. \( \text{EstimatedRTT} \times (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \text{SampleRTT} \)
2. \( \text{RTO} = 2 \times \text{EstimatedRTT} \)

Characteristics of the original algorithm:
- Variance is assumed to be fixed.
- But in practice, variance increases as congestion increases.
There will be some (unknown) distribution of RTTs. We are trying to estimate an RTO to minimize the probability of a false timeout.

Load (Amount of traffic arriving to router)

Variance grows rapidly with load.

Router queues grow when there is more traffic, until they become unstable. As load grows, variance of delay grows rapidly.

Problem: How can we estimate RTT when packets are retransmitted?
Solution: On retransmission, don’t update estimated RTT (and double RTO).

Newer Algorithm includes estimate of variance in RTT:
- Difference = SampleRTT - EstimatedRTT
- EstimatedRTTₖ = EstimatedRTTₖ₋₁ + (δ * Difference)
- Deviation = Deviation + δ * (|Difference| - Deviation)
- RTO = μ * EstimatedRTT + φ * Deviation
  - μ ≈ 1
  - φ ≈ 4

SampleRTT -= (EstimatedRTT >> 3);
EstimatedRTT += SampleRTT;
if (SampleRTT < 0)
  SampleRTT = -SampleRTT;
SampleRTT -= (Deviation >> 3);
Deviation += SampleRTT;
TimeOut = (EstimatedRTT >> 3) + (Deviation >> 1);
Fast implementation of this

- Note no floating point arithmetic, just adds, subtract, and shift!

```c
SampleRTT -= (EstimatedRTT >> 3);
EstimatedRTT += SampleRTT;
if (SampleRTT < 0)
    SampleRTT = -SampleRTT;
SampleRTT -= (Deviation >> 3);
Deviation += SampleRTT;
TimeOut = (EstimatedRTT >> 3) + (Deviation >> 1);
```

- Also, TCP implementations use “header prediction” to gain execution speed

Fast Retransmit

- Even with all this fancy RTT estimation, retransmits still tend to over-estimate, and TCP can stall while waiting for a time-out
  - Stall because pipe often bigger than window!
  - This leads to the notion of “fast retransmit”

Delayed connection

Pipe is full. Life is good!

sender

receiver

window

sender stalled all this time

receiver

sender

window

timeout
Fast Retransmit

- Receiver should send an ACK every time it receives a packet, not only when it gets something new to ACK
  - If same bytes are ACK'd, this is called "duplicate ACK"
- Sender interprets 3 duplicate ACKs as a loss signal, retransmits right away
  - Don’t wait for timeout

Next Lecture

- TCP congestion control