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In this lecture

• UDP (user datagram protocol)
  – Unreliable, packet-based
• TCP (transmission control protocol)
  – Reliable, connection oriented, stream-based
• IP multicast
Process-to-Process

• We’ve talked about host-to-host packet delivery with IP
• Now we look at process-to-process communication
  – UDP (user datagram protocol)
  – TCP (transmission control protocol)
• Transport Layer Protocols
  – OSI model, TCP/IP model
What we want from transport layer

- Guaranteed message delivery
- Same order message delivery
- Send arbitrarily large messages
- Allow receiver to apply flow control to sender
- Support multiple application processes on each host
- Network congestion control
Remember Network may

• Drop packets
• Reorder packets
• Deliver duplicate copies of a packet
• Limit packets to some finite size
• Deliver packets after arbitrarily long delay

Note: things sent in the network layer are normally called packets, whereas things sent in the transport layer are normally called messages.

UDP

• Simple – don’t add much more than the best effort given by IP
• Demultiplex messages via port numbers
  – port number – number that kernel uses to deliver to appropriate application
  – For instance: HTTP is port 80, SMTP is port 25, Telnet is port 23, DNS is port 53, FTP is port 21
• Ensure correctness of message with checksum
• No connection established, datagram-based
What we get from UDP

- NO guaranteed message delivery
- NO guaranteed same order message delivery
- NO ability to send arbitrarily large messages
- NO flow control
- Support multiple application processes on each host
- NO network congestion control

TCP

- Stream based protocol allows us to send arbitrarily long messages
- Uses port numbers like UDP to send to multiple processes on each host
- Full duplex protocol
  - Both sides are senders and receivers
- We need each packet to have a sequence number to ensure reliable/in-order delivery
TCP connection establishment

- Three-way handshake
  - SYN sent with initial sequence number of client
  - Server ACKs client’s sequence number and sends its own sequence number for SYN
TCP connection establishment

- Three-way handshake
  - SYN sent with initial sequence number of client
  - Server ACKs client’s sequence number and sends its own sequence number for SYN
  - Client ACKs server’s sequence number
  - Now we are synchronized and ready to communicate

TCP connection termination

- Each side needs to independently close its own half of the connection
- There is a handshake for closing, but I won’t describe it here
Sliding window gives us

- Guaranteed message delivery
- Same order message delivery
- Send arbitrarily large messages
- Allow receiver to apply flow control to sender
- Support multiple application processes on each host
- Network congestion control
Sliding Window
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Using sliding window for flow control

- Flow control:
  - make sure sender doesn’t send more than receiver can handle
- Receive window can’t slide if receiving process isn’t ready to read from buffer
- Send advertised window size with ACK
  - Sender limits send window size based on advertised window size
- If send buffer fills up, TCP blocks sender
What about congestion control?

- Introduced 8 years after TCP/IP had become operational
- Internet suffering from congestion collapse
- Idea:
  - Determine how many packets can be safely transmitted
  - ACK is a signal that a packet is out of network and can safely add another
- How do you find out capacity of network?

Additive Increase
Multiplicative Decrease

- Want to find point of congestion in network
- Maintain congestion window size
- TCP send window = min(congest, advert)
- Interpret dropped packets as congestion

TCP sawtooth pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KB</th>
<th>Time (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why decrease aggressively increase conservatively?

- Too large window worse than too small
  - Too large: dropped packets retransmitted making congestion worse
  - Too small: can’t send as many packets without receiving an ACK
- What about at the start of connection?
  - Takes too long to get a large window

Slow Start

- Double congestion window after every ACK until we get a loss or threshold is reached
- Threshold = half of the congestion window at which a packet was dropped
- Then do additive increase
- Called “slow start” because it starts slower than TCP without congestion control
Now we can see how RED works

- Recall RED (Random Early Detection)
  - Router monitors its own queue length
  - Router implicitly notifies sender of congestion by randomly dropping a packet
- TCP will note this as congestion and multiplicatively decrease congestion window
What we get from TCP

• Guaranteed message delivery
• Same order message delivery
• Send arbitrarily large messages
• Allow receiver to apply flow control to sender
• Support multiple application processes on each host
• Network congestion control

IP multicast

• Host may want to send a packet to multiple hosts
• Send to a group, not individual hosts
  – Reduces overhead for sender
  – Reduces bandwidth consumption in network
  – Reduces latency in receiver (all see packet at same time)
Unicast to multiple hosts

Multicast to multiple hosts
Multicast Group

• Idea:
  – Send to group that receivers may join
  – Group has specially assigned address

• Class D IP addresses for group
  – 224.0.0.0 to 239.255.255.255

• How does a host join a group?
  – IGMP (Internet Group Management Protocol)

IGMP

• Detects if a multicast group has any members within a LAN

• *Query* and *report* messages
  – router sends query of group membership periodically
  – hosts report groups they’re in
Avoiding overloading

- Report packets may overload router
  - upon getting a query, each group member sets a timer
  - if it sees a report for its group before the timer expires, it suppresses its report
  - otherwise reports on expiration
Multicast over Ethernet

• Trivial
• Ethernet is a broadcast medium – every host hears every packet
• Simply need to receive packets sent to group address
• Want to extend this between LANs connected by routers

Naïve Approach

• We want to send multicasts everywhere where there are group members
  – use *flooding* to send multicast between routers
  – when we get to a LAN, use regular (Ethernet) multicast
Flooding not a good solution

- Not scalable
- LANs which do not have any group members will still receive multicast
- Need to know which LANs want to receive multicast message
  - Implement in routers
  - Create spanning tree

Multicast trees

- Shortest-path tree to all multicast members, rooted at sender
- But must be computed independently by each router
- And must be dynamically adjusted for joins and leaves
A multicast tree

A multicast tree
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