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Here we add sum (disjoint union) types to our language. In lecture we relied on subtyping rules for sum constructs. Here we present an alternative in which sum types are provided with tagged “injection” constructors. This is more in the style of the recursive type rules, which will be presented in the next set of notes.

1 Syntax

Types

\[ \tau ::= \text{sum}( \ldots x_i : \pi \ldots ) \]

Informally a \textit{sum} type is a tagged union — each value is taken from a finite (multi-) set of constituent types, with an associated tag indicating the constituent type associated with the value. The constituent types of a \textit{sum} are not constrained to be distinct. For example,

\[ \text{sum}(a : \text{prod}(), b : \text{prod}()) \]

is a legal \textit{sum} type.

Expressions

\[ e ::= \text{inj}_\tau( x \sim e' ) \]

\[ \mid \text{case } e_0 ( \ldots x_i \Rightarrow e_i \ldots ) \]
The injection constructors inj\(_\tau\) convert a value to a sum type from one of its constituent types. Let

\[ \tau \equiv \text{sum}(a : \text{prod}(), b : \text{prod}(), c : \text{int}) \]

Then some expression of type \(\tau\) are

\[ \text{inj}_{\tau}(a \sim \langle \rangle) \quad \text{inj}_{\tau}(b \sim \langle \rangle) \quad \text{inj}_{\tau}(c \sim 17) \]

Note the first two of these expressions are distinct — they inject the same value \(\langle \rangle\) into the sum type, but with two different tags \(a\) and \(b\).

A case expression analyzes a value of a sum type based on the value’s tag. For example, for an expression \(e\) of the above type \(\tau\) one could write

\[ \text{case } e \ (a \Rightarrow 0, b \Rightarrow 1, c \Rightarrow (c + 2)) \]

Within the subexpression associated with a tag, the tag name itself is bound to the value inside \(e\). Thus, if \(e\) is \(\text{inj}_{\tau}(c \sim 17)\), then the value of the above case expression is \((17+2)\) or 19.

Values

\[ v \ := \ \text{inj}_{\tau}( x \sim v') \]

As usual, whenever we add a new type we must extend our notion of a value (canonical term). In this case it is straightforward — the values of sum type are exactly the inj constructors applied to values of the constituent types.

2 Typing Rules

Here are the new typing rules for sum types.

\[
\frac{\pi \vdash e : \tau'}{\pi \vdash \text{inj}_{\tau}( x \sim e ) : \tau}
\] (T14.1)

where \(\tau \equiv \text{sum}( \ldots x : \tau' \ldots )\)

An inj constructor applied to a well typed expression of a constituent type is a well typed expression of the sum type.

\[
\frac{\pi \vdash e : \text{sum}( \ldots x_i : \tau_i \ldots )}{\ldots}
\]

\[
(\pi \oplus \{x_i : \tau_i\}) \vdash e_i : \tau
\]

\[
\ldots
\]

\[
\pi \vdash \text{case } e ( \ldots x_i \Rightarrow e_i \ldots ) : \tau
\] (T14.2)
This rule analyzes an expression of a **sum** type according to the tag (hence the type) of the current value. Each case expression \( \epsilon_i \) must be well typed (and have the same type \( \tau \)) when the tag identifier \( x_i \) is assumed to have the \( 1^h \) constituent type.

### 3 Evaluation Rules

Finally, here are the evaluation rules.

\[
\frac{\langle \epsilon, \phi, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \text{inj}_\tau( x \sim \epsilon ), \phi, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{inj}_\tau( x \sim v, \sigma' ) \rangle}
\]  
(E14.3)

An \( \text{inj} \) constructor applied to a well typed expression of a constituent type reduces to the value of the constituent expression tagged with the appropriate selector name.

\[
\frac{\langle \epsilon, \phi, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{inj}_\tau( x_i \sim v_i, \sigma'' ) \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_i, ( \phi \oplus \{ x_i \sim v_i \} ), \sigma'' \rangle \rightarrow \langle v_i, \sigma' \rangle}
\]  
(E14.4)

To reduce a \( \text{case} \) expression, first evaluate the object expression \( \epsilon \) (the result value will necessarily have the form \( \text{inj}_\tau( x_i \sim v_i ) \)); then select the arm of the \( \text{case} \) expression identified by \( x_i \) and evaluate it with \( x_i \) bound to the value \( v_i \).

### 4 Soundness

The soundness proof outlined in Notes 12 can be extended to **sum** types without any surprises.