


Top-down Learning for Structured Labeling with Convolutional Pseudoprior


Saining Xie* and Xun Huang* and Zhuowen Tu
s9xie.ztu@ucsd.edu, xh258@cornell.edu
 University of California San Diego, Cornell University

Abstract



Current practice in convolutional neural networks (CNN) remains largely bottom-up and the role of top-down process in CNN for pattern analysis and visual inference is not very clear. In this paper, we propose a new method for structured labeling by developing convolutional pseudoprior (ConvPP) on the ground-truth labels. Our method has several interesting properties: (1) compared with classic machine learning algorithms like CRFs and Structural SVM, ConvPP automatically learns rich convolutional kernels to capture both short- and long-range contexts; (2) compared with cascade classifiers like Auto-Context, ConvPP avoids the iterative steps of learning a series of discriminative classifiers and automatically learns contextual configurations; (3) compared with recent efforts combining CNN models with CRFs and RNNs, ConvPP learns convolution in the labeling space with improved modeling capability and less manual specification; (4) compared with Bayesian models like MRFs, ConvPP capitalizes on the rich representation power of convolution by automatically learning priors built on convolutional filters. We accomplish our task using pseudo-likelihood approximation to the prior under a novel fixed-point network structure that facilitates an end-to-end learning process.

Network Architecture



Background

- We approximate the appearance $p(X|Y)$ with a discriminative model
 - Tu, et al. "Brain anatomical structure segmentation by hybrid discriminative/generative models." *Medical Imaging, IEEE Transactions on*, 2008
- And the prior $p(Y)$ with pseudo-prior
 - inspired from the pseudo-likelihood approximation: Besag, Julian. "On the statistical analysis of dirty pictures." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* (1986): 259-302.
 - Detailed approximation steps please refer to our paper

$$p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}) \propto p(\mathbf{Y})p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \propto \prod_i p(y_i | \mathbf{Y}_{N_i \setminus i}) \cdot \prod_i p(y_i | \mathbf{X})$$

Bottom-up CNN
Top-down convolutional pseudo-prior (ConvPP)

Results

Structured Labeling: 1-D case


Methods	small	large
Linear-chain CRF (Do & Arti, 2010)	21.62%	14.20%
M ³ N (Do & Arti, 2010)	21.13%	13.46%
SEARNs (Daumé et al., 2009)	-	9.09%
SVM + CRF (Hofel & Elkan, 2008)	-	5.76%
Neural CRF (Do & Arti, 2010)	10.8%	4.44%
Hidden-unit CRF (van der Maaten et al., 2011)	18.36%	1.99%
Fixed-point (Li et al., 2013)	2.13%	0.89%
Online deep learning (Chen et al., 2014)	-	0.63%
ConvPP (ours)	6.49%	0.57%

Methods	accuracy
Linear SVM (Do & Arti, 2010)	9.87%
Linear CRF (van der Maaten et al., 2011)	6.54%
NeuroCRFs (Do & Arti, 2010)	6.05%
Hidden-unit CRF (van der Maaten et al., 2011)	4.43%
Online deep learning (Chen et al., 2014)	3.34%
ConvPP (ours)	1.09%

Performance on OCR dataset

Training Data Percentage (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
Generalization Error (%)	6.49	3.28	2.09	1.67	1.55	1.03	0.92	0.72	0.57

Performance on OCR dataset: varying training size



Performance on OCR dataset:
 (a) Varying context window length
 (b) Varying testing iterations


Image Labeling: 2-D case

Methods	mean IU
O ² P (Carreira et al., 2012)	18.1
CFM (VGG+SS) (Dai et al., 2015b)	31.5
CFM (VGG+MCG) (Dai et al., 2015b)	34.4
CRF-RNN (Zheng et al., 2015)	39.3
BoxSup (trained with additional COCO boxes) (Dai et al., 2015a)	40.5
FCN-32s (Long et al., 2015)	37.1
ConvPP-32s (ours)	37.1
FCN-16s (Long et al., 2015)	37.6
ConvPP-16s (ours)	40.3
FCN-8s (Long et al., 2015)	37.8
ConvPP-8s (ours)	41.0


Methods	mean IU
FCN-16s (Long et al., 2015)	39.1
ConvPP-16s (ours)	39.7
FCN-8s (Long et al., 2015)	39.5
ConvPP-8s (ours)	40.7

Performance on SIFT Flow dataset

Performance on Pascal-Context dataset




Iterative update of labeling results during testing. Segmentation results are gradually refined.



Method



Illustration of ConvPP learning process in 1-D structured labeling tasks (OCR)



Integrating with Fully Convolutional Networks (FCN) for 2-D structured labeling tasks