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## Motivation: census data

Enter the information from census forms like these into a database:


Smith's SSN?
Brown's marital status?
How to make sure SSN is unique?

| $R$ | SSN | N | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | null | Smith | null |
| $t_{2}$ | null | Brown | null |

## Motivation: web information extraction

Automatic extraction of structured data from the web:

| Volkswagen Cars For Sale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volkswagen : Rabbit Volkswagen 2008 VW | \$10,750 |
|  | Rabbit |  |
|  | 2008 Volkswagen Rabbit, 184 miles, Red Location: Carmel, IN |  |
|  | Source: visint on eBay, 1 week ago |  |
|  | Details \| Share | Report |  |
|  | 2008 Volkswagen Rabbit | \$10,750 |
|  | 2008 Volkswagen Rabbit, 183 miles |  |
|  | Location: Carmel, IN |  |
|  | Details \| Share | Report |  |
|  | 2005 Volkswagen Passat | \$10,999 |
|  | 2005 Volkswagen Passat, 8,075 miles Location: Pittsford, NY |  |
|  | Source: Auction Piranha, 4 days ago |  |

## Motivation: uncertain data

## Data integration:

| DB1: |  | DB2: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| John | \$1200 | John | \$4000 |


| John | $\$ 1200$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| John | $\$ 4000$ |

## Scientific data:



## Sensor networks:



| ID | Time | Temp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | $7: 00$ | 25 |
| s1 | $8: 00$ | 27 |
| s2 | $7: 00$ | 25 |

## Decision support queries:

Given sales and competitors data and a number of possible solutions which is the one that maximizes the expected profit

## Managing uncertain data: motivation

- Uncertainty present in many real-world applications: information extraction, data integration, scientific data,...
- Limited support for managing uncertain data in traditional database management systems (DBMS)
- Other solutions typically not expressive or not scalable enough
- Goal of the MayBMS project: create a scalable probabilistic database management system
- Representation system
- Query language
- Updates and transactions
- ...


## Outline

(1) Motivation
(2) Representing uncertain information.
(3) Querying uncertain data.

4 Implementation and experimental evaluation.
(5) APIs for Probabilistic Databases

# Representing Uncertain Information 

## Representing uncertain data

## Definition

Representation system is a tuple ( $\mathbf{T}, r e p$ ) of a a set of structures $\mathbf{T}$ and a function rep : $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow$ sets of worlds.

Desiderata for a representation system:
(3) Space-efficient storage.
(2) Efficient query processing.
(3) Expressiveness: represent the result of any query.

## Representing uncertain data: U-relational databases



| $U_{R[S S N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | SSN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 185 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 785 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 185 |
|  | $y \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 186 |


| $U_{R[\text { Name }]}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t_{1}$ | Smith |
|  | $t_{2}$ | Brown |


| $U_{R[M S]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $v \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 1 |
|  | $v \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 2 |
|  | $w \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 1 |
|  | $w \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 2 |
|  | $w \mapsto 3$ | $t_{2}$ | 3 |
|  | $w \mapsto 4$ | $t_{2}$ | 4 |

## U-relational databases

| $U_{R[S S N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | SSN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 185 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 785 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 185 |
|  | $y \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 186 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $U_{R[N]}$ | TID | N |  |
|  | $t_{1}$ | Smith |  |
|  | $t_{2}$ | Brown |  |


| $W$ | $\mathrm{~V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | .4 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | .6 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | .7 |
|  | $y \mapsto 2$ | .3 |
|  | $v \mapsto 1$ | .8 |
|  | $v \mapsto 2$ | .2 |
|  | $v \mapsto r$ |  |
|  | $w \mapsto 1$ | .25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 2$ | .25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 3$ | .25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 4$ | .25 |

- Table W: discrete independent (random) variables
- U-relations: the schema of each U-relation consists of
- a tuple id column,
- a set of column pairs ( $\mathrm{Vi}, \mathrm{Di}$ ) representing variable assignments, and
- a set of value columns.


## U-relational databases: semantics (example)

- Pick a valuation $\theta$ that assigns a value to each variable.

| $U_{R[S S N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | SSN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 185 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 785 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 185 |
|  | $y \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 186 |


| $U_{R[N]}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline t_{1} \\ & t_{2} \end{aligned}$ | Smith Brown |
| W | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | P |
| - | $x \mapsto 1$ | . 4 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | . 6 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | . 7 |
|  | $y \mapsto 2$ | . 3 |
|  | $v \mapsto 1$ | \|. 8 |
|  | $v \mapsto 2$ | . 2 |
|  | $w \mapsto 1$ | . 25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 2$ | . 25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 3$ | . 25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 4$ | \| 25 |

## U-relational databases: semantics (example)

- Select the tuples consistent with $\theta$.

| $U_{R[S S N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | SSN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x \mapsto 1 \quad t_{1} \quad 185$ |  |  |  |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 785 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 185 |
| $y \mapsto 2$ |  | $t_{2}$ | 186 |
| $\underline{U R[M S]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | M |
|  | $v \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 1 |
|  | $v \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 2 |
|  | $w \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 1 |
|  | $w \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 2 |
|  | $w \mapsto 3$ | $t_{2}$ | 3 |
|  | $w \mapsto 4$ | $t_{2}$ | 4 |


| $\underline{U R[N]}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t_{1}$ | Smith Brown |
|  | $t_{2}$ |  |
| W | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | P |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | . 4 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | . 6 |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | . 7 |
|  | $y \mapsto 2$ | . 3 |
|  | $v \mapsto 1$ | . 8 |
|  | $v \mapsto 2$ | . 2 |
|  | $w \mapsto 1$ | . 25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 2$ | . 25 |
|  | $w \mapsto 3$ |  |
|  | $w \mapsto 4$ | \| 25 |

## U-relational databases: semantics (example)

- Select the tuples consistent with $\theta$.




## U-relational databases: semantics (example)

- Undo the vertical decompositioning by rejoining the partitions.
- Possible world:

- Probability of the world: $0.4 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.25=0.006$


## Representing correlations in U-relational databases

- SSN is unique:

| $U_{R[S S N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | SSN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 185 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 785 |
|  | $x \mapsto 3$ | $t_{1}$ | 785 |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 186 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 185 |
|  | $x \mapsto 3$ | $t_{2}$ | 186 |

- No valuation exists that results in both $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ having 185 for SSN.


## Properties of U-relational databases

Desiderata for a representation system:
(3) Space-efficient storage.

- U-relations can represent compactly an exponential number of possible worlds
- Purely relational
(2) Efficient query processing.
- (next)
(3) Expressiveness: represent the result of any query.
- U-relations are complete for finite sets of possible worlds


## Querying Uncertain Data

## Possible worlds semantics



- T: probabilistic database.
- Conceptually, evaluate $Q$ on each world
- Find a query $\bar{Q}$ on the representation that produces the representation of the result.


## Query evaluation on U-relations

Names of possibly married persons: possible $\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S=2}(R)\right)\right)$

| $U_{R[N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | Smith |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | Brown |


| $U_{R[M S]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | MS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 1 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 2 |
|  | $z \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 1 |
|  | $z \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 2 |

Evaluation steps:

## Query evaluation on U-relations

Names of possibly married persons: possible $\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S=2}(R)\right)\right)$

| $U_{R[N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | Smith |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | Brown |


| $U_{R[M S]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | MS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 1 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 2 |
|  | $z \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 1 |
|  | $z \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 2 |

Evaluation steps:
(3) Merge the U-relations storing the necessary columns

$$
Q=\operatorname{possible}\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S}=2\left(\operatorname{merge}\left(\pi_{N} R, \pi_{M S} R\right)\right)\right)\right.
$$

## Query evaluation on U-relations

Names of possibly married persons: possible $\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S=2}(R)\right)\right)$

| $U_{R[N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | Smith |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | Brown |


| $U_{R[M S]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | MS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | 1 |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 2 |
|  | $z \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | 1 |
|  | $z \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 2 |

Evaluation steps:
(3) Merge the U-relations storing the necessary columns
$Q=\operatorname{possible}\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S}=2\left(\right.\right.\right.$ merge $\left.\left.\left(\pi_{N} R, \pi_{M S} R\right)\right)\right)$
(2) Rewrite $Q$ on column-stores:
$\left.\operatorname{merge}\left(\pi_{N} R, \pi_{M S} R\right)\right)=U_{R[N]} \bowtie_{\psi \wedge \phi} U_{R[M S]}$

- $\psi$ : do not create inconsistent conditions:

$$
\psi:=\left(U_{R[N]} \cdot V=U_{R[M S]} \cdot V \Rightarrow U_{R[N]} \cdot D=U_{R[M S]} \cdot D\right)
$$

- $\phi$ : tuple reconstruction:

$$
\phi:=\left(U_{R[N]} \cdot T I D=U R_{[M S]} \cdot T I D\right)
$$

## Query evaluation on U-relations

Names of possibly married persons: possible $\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S=2}(R)\right)\right)$

| $U_{R[N]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $t_{1}$ | Smith |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $t_{2}$ | Brown |


| $U_{R[M S]}$ | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}$ | TID | MS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | 2 |
|  | $z \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | 2 |

Evaluation steps:
(3) Merge the U-relations storing the necessary columns
$Q=\operatorname{possible}\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S}=2\left(\right.\right.\right.$ merge $\left.\left.\left(\pi_{N} R, \pi_{M S} R\right)\right)\right)$
(2) Rewrite $Q$ on column-stores: $\left.\operatorname{merge}\left(\pi_{N} R, \pi_{M S} R\right)\right)=U_{R[N]} \bowtie_{\psi \wedge \phi} U_{R[M S]}$

- $\psi$ : do not create inconsistent conditions:

$$
\psi:=\left(U_{R[N]} \cdot V=U_{R[M S]} \cdot V \Rightarrow U_{R[N]} \cdot D=U_{R[M S]} \cdot D\right)
$$

- $\phi$ : tuple reconstruction:

$$
\phi:=\left(U_{R[N]} \cdot T I D=U R_{[M S]} \cdot T I D\right)
$$

## Query evaluation on U-relations

Names of possibly married persons: possible $\left(\pi_{N}\left(\sigma_{M S=2}(R)\right)\right)$

| $U_{R[N]}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{1} \mapsto \mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mapsto \mathrm{D}_{2}$ | TID | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mapsto 1$ | $x \mapsto 2$ | $t_{1}$ | Smith |
|  | $y \mapsto 1$ | $z \mapsto 2$ | $t_{2}$ | Brown |

Result:

| $Q$ | N |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Brown |

## Evaluating positive relation algebra queries on U-relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\llbracket R \times S \rrbracket & :=\pi_{\left(U_{R} \cdot \overline{V D} \cup U_{S} \cdot \overline{V D}\right) \rightarrow \overline{V D}, \operatorname{sch}(R), \operatorname{sch}(S)} \quad\left(U_{R} \bowtie_{\psi} U_{S}\right) \\
\llbracket \sigma_{\phi} R \rrbracket & :=\sigma_{\phi}\left(U_{R}\right) \\
\llbracket \pi_{\vec{B}} R \rrbracket & :=\pi_{\overline{V D}, \vec{B}}(R) \\
\llbracket R \cup S \rrbracket & :=U_{R} \cup U_{S} \\
\llbracket \operatorname{poss}(R) \rrbracket & :=\pi_{\operatorname{sch}(R)}\left(U_{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Simple translation, essentially preserves number of joins
- Can be fed to any relational query optimizer


## Query language of MayBMS

- Relational algebra operations
- Confidence computation: conf $(Q)$ for computing tuple confidence values.
- For each tuple that occurs in $Q$ in at least one world, sum up the probabilities of the worlds where it occurs.
- repair-key
- operation for introducing uncertainty.
- turns a possible world into the set of worlds consisting of all possible maximal repairs.


## Random graph example

- Random graphs as probabilistic databases
- Consider table Edge(A,B,bit,P):

| Edge | A | B | bit | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{10}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.5 |
| $e_{11}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| $e_{20}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0.5 |
| $e_{21}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0.5 |

- Pick edges non-deterministically: create table $T$ as (repair key $A, B$ in Edge weight by $P$ );
create table E1 as (select $A, B$ from $T$ where bit $=1$ );
create table $E 0$ as (select $A, B$ from $T$ where bit $=0$ );


## Random graph example

- Random graphs as probabilistic databases
- Consider table Edge(A,B,bit,P):

| Edge | A | B | bit | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{10}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.5 |
| $e_{11}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| $e_{20}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0.5 |
| $e_{21}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0.5 |


| E1 | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{P}$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 2 |
|  | $x_{2} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 3 |

- Pick edges non-deterministically: create table $T$ as (repair key $A, B$ in Edge weight by $P$ );
create table E1 as (select $A, B$ from $T$ where bit $=1$ );
create table E0 as (select $A, B$ from $T$ where bit $=0$ );


## Random graph example

- U-relational representation of the random graph:

| E0 | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{P}$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 1 | 2 |
|  | $x_{2} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 1 | 3 |
|  | $x_{3} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 1 | 4 |
|  | $x_{4} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 2 | 3 |


| E1 | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{P}$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 2 |
|  | $x_{2} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 3 |
|  | $x_{3} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 4 |
|  | $x_{4} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 2 | 3 |

- Queries:
- Probability for a triangle in the random graph:
select conf()
from E1 R, E1 S, E1 T
where R.A $=S . B$ and $S . A=T . A$ and $T . B=R . B$ and R.A $!=S . A$ and R.A $!=T . A$ and $S . A!=T . A$;


## Random graph example

- U-relational representation of the random graph:

| E0 | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{P}$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 1 | 2 |
|  | $x_{2} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 1 | 3 |
|  | $x_{3} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 1 | 4 |
|  | $x_{4} \mapsto 0,0.5$ | 2 | 3 |


| E1 | $\mathrm{V} \mapsto \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{P}$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 2 |
|  | $x_{2} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 3 |
|  | $x_{3} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 1 | 4 |
|  | $x_{4} \mapsto 1,0.5$ | 2 | 3 |

- Queries:
- Probability for a triangle in the random graph:
select conf()
from E1 R, E1 S, E1 T
where R.A $=S . B$ and $S . A=T . A$ and $T . B=R . B$
and R.A! $=$ S.A and R.A $!=$ T.A and S.A $!=$ T.A;
- Probability of a 4-clique
- Probability of a path of length 5
- ...


## Experimental Evaluation

## Experimental evaluation

- Uncertain data generator
- extend TPC-H generator 2.6 to generate U-relational databases
- parameters: scale (s), uncertainty ratio ( x ), correlation ratio ( z ), max alternatives per field (8), drop after correlation (0.25)
- Evaluate modified TPC-H queries (without aggregation) on the generated data


## Experimental results: storage

| S | z | TPC-H dbsize | \#worlds | Rng | size | \#worlds | Rng | dbsize | \#worlds | Rng | dbsize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | 0.1 | 17 | $10^{857.076}$ | 21 | 82 | $10^{7955.30}$ | 57 | 85 | $10^{79354.1}$ | 57 | 114 |
| 0.01 | 0.5 | 17 | $10^{523.031}$ | 71 | 82 | $10^{4724.56}$ | 901 | 88 | $10^{46675.6}$ | 662 | 139 |
| 0.05 | 0.1 | 85 | $10^{4287.23}$ | 22 | 389 | $10^{39913.8}$ | 33 | 403 | $10^{396137}$ | 65 | 547 |
| 0.05 | 0.5 | 85 | $10^{2549.14}$ | 178 | 390 | $10^{23515.5}$ | 449 | 416 | $10^{232650}$ | 1155 | 672 |
| 0.10 | 0.1 | 170 | $10^{8606.77}$ | 27 | 773 | $10^{79889.9}$ | 49 | 802 | $10^{793611}$ | 53 | 1090 |
| 0.10 | 0.5 | 170 | $10^{5044.65}$ | 181 | 776 | $10^{46901.8}$ | 773 | 826 | $10^{466038}$ | 924 | 1339 |
| 0.50 | 0.1 | 853 | $10^{43368.0}$ | 49 | 3843 | $10^{400185}$ | 71 | 3987 | $10^{3.97 e+06}$ | 85 | 5427 |
| 0.50 | 0.5 | 853 | $10^{25528.9}$ | 214 | 3856 | $10^{234840}$ | 1832 | 4012 | $10^{2.33 e+06}$ | 2586 | 6682 |
| 1.00 | 0.1 | 1706 | $10^{87203.0}$ | 57 | 7683 | $10^{800997}$ | 99 | 7971 | $10^{\text {. } 949+06}$ | 113 | 11264 |
| 1.00 | 0.5 | 1706 | $10^{51290.9}$ | 993 | 7712 | $10^{470401}$ | 1675 | 8228 | $10^{4.66 e+06}$ | 3392 | 13312 |
|  |  | $x=0.0$ | $\mathbf{x}=0.001$ |  |  | $x=0.01$ |  |  | $\mathbf{x}=0.1$ |  |  |

Figure: Total number of worlds, max. number of domain values for a variable (Rng), and size in MB of the U-relational database for each of our settings.

- exponentially more succinct than representing worlds individually
- $10^{8 \cdot 10^{6}}$ worlds need $13 \mathrm{GBs} \approx 8$ times the size of one world ( 1.4 GBs )
- case $x=0$ is the DB generated by the original TPC-H (without uncertainty)


## Experimental results: evaluation of positive relational algebra queries.

Q1:
possible (select o.orderkey, o.orderdate, o.shippriority from customer c, orders o, lineitem I where c .mktsegment $=$ 'BUILDING' and c.custkey $=0 . c u s t k e y ~ a n d ~ o . o r d e r k e y ~=1 . o r d e r k e y ~$ and o.orderdate > '1995-03-15' and I.shipdate < '1995-03-17')

Query 1 z 0.1


Query 1 z 0.5


Figure: Performance of query evaluation for various scale, uncertainty, and correlation.

## Experimental results: effect of vertical partitioning.

SPJ query on six relations represented by equivalent

- attribute-level U-relational databases
- tuple-level U-relational databases
- Trios ULDBs (are tuple-level only)

Query 3 z 0.1


- Experiment only possible for small scenarios: $1 \%$ uncertainty, lowest correlation factor 0.1, and scale up to 0.1.
- an increase in any of our parameters would create prohibitively large (exponential in the arity of relations) tuple-level representations.


## MayBMS

## MAYBEN

- Built inside Postgres.
- Representation system: U-relations.
- Query language: an extension of SQL with uncertainty-aware constructs.
- Supports updates.
- Exact and approximate confidence computation.
- Prototype available at http://maybms.sourceforge.net
- Project website: http://www.cs.cornell.edu/bigreddata/maybms/


## APIs for Probabilistic Databases

## Database APIs for RDBMS

Write programs that involve:

- queries
- updates
- user interaction (input/output)
- ANSI 3-layer model: abstract away from physical representation details.
- What about APIs for uncertain DBMS?


## Levels of Abstraction in DBMS


(a) Traditional DBMS
(b) DBMS for uncertain data

## Data Independence

Clean reference model for uncertain DBMS:

- Sets of possible worlds.
- Any representation system can be modeled by possible worlds.

- Challenges:
- How to find $\bar{P}$ ?
- What if there is user interaction?


## Programs on uncertain databases

```
read("Enter license plate:", $x);
if (exists select * from cars where num=$x){ // modify existing entry
    for($t in select * from cars where num=$x){
        write("Current entry: $t");
        read("New location and color:", $loc,$color);
        if (exists select * from cars where num=$x and loc=$loc and color=$color)
            update cars set wit=wit+1 where num=$x and loc=$loc and color=$color;
        else
            insert into cars values($x,$loc,$color,1);
    }
}
else { // entry does not exist
    write(" No entry found for $x");
    read("Enter location and color:", $loc, $color);
    insert into cars values($x,$loc,$color,1);
}
```


## Programs on uncertain databases

| Cars $^{1}$ | num | color | loc | wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S87 | red | MN | 1 |
| 2 | M34 | blue | PA | 1 |



| Cars $^{1}$ | num | color | loc | wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S87 | red | MN | 2 |
| 2 | M34 | blue | PA | 1 |


| Cars $^{2}$ | num | color | loc | wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S87 | red | TX | 1 |
| 2 | M34 | blue | MD | 1 |


| Current entry: S87 red TX |
| :--- |
| New location and color: - |


| Cars $^{2}$ | num | color | loc | wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S87 | red | TX | 1 |
| 2 | M34 | blue | MD | 1 |
| 3 | S87 | red | MN | 1 |


| Cars $^{3}$ | num | color | loc | wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B87 | red | TX | 1 |
| 2 | M34 | blue | PA | 1 |$\quad$| No entry found for S87 |
| :--- |


| Cars $^{4}$ | num | color | loc | wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B87 | red | TX | 1 |
| 2 | M34 | blue | MD | 1 |

(a) Possible worlds

| No entry found for S87 |
| :--- |
| Enter location and color: - |

(b) Output of the program

(c) Result of the program

## Running possible worlds in "parallel"

- Control flow can be different in each possible world.
- User interaction that is different in each world unintuitive/infeasible
- Our approach:
- Exclude "unsafe" programs (next)
- All other programs: rewrite into bulk queries and updates (set-at-a-time processing for world-sets).


## Observational determinism

## Definition

A program is called observationally deterministic (o.d.) if its user interaction is identical in all possible worlds.

- User interaction: input and output of the program.
- We consider programs that do not satisfy this property unsound.


## Checking observational determinism

- Model relations R as two disjoint sets of tuples, the certain and the uncertain ones, $R^{c}, R^{u}$.
- Propagate pairs $\left(R^{c}, R^{u}\right)$ conservatively through program operations.
- A program is o.d. if we never output or condition on an uncertain tuple.


## Propagation of uncertainty during querying

Let $R$ - relation name, $\phi$ - boolean condition
$Q, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ - queries in $R A^{+} \cup\{$ conf $\}$
$\llbracket R \rrbracket:=\left(R^{c}, R^{u}\right)$
$\llbracket \pi u(Q) \rrbracket:=\left(\pi u\left(\llbracket Q \rrbracket^{c}\right), \pi u\left(\llbracket Q \rrbracket^{u}\right)\right)$
$\llbracket \sigma_{\phi}(Q) \rrbracket:=\left(\sigma_{\phi}\left(\llbracket Q \rrbracket^{c}\right), \sigma_{\phi}\left(\llbracket Q \rrbracket^{u}\right)\right)$
$\llbracket Q_{1} \bowtie_{\phi} Q_{2} \rrbracket:=\left(\llbracket Q_{1} \rrbracket^{c} \bowtie_{\phi} \llbracket Q_{2} \rrbracket^{c}\right.$,
$\left.\llbracket Q_{1} \rrbracket^{u} \bowtie_{\phi} \llbracket Q_{2} \rrbracket^{u} \cup \llbracket Q_{1} \rrbracket^{c} \bowtie_{\phi} \llbracket Q_{2} \rrbracket^{u} \cup \llbracket Q_{1} \rrbracket^{c} \bowtie_{\phi} \llbracket Q_{2} \rrbracket^{u}\right)$
$\llbracket Q_{1} \cup Q_{2} \rrbracket:=\left(\llbracket Q_{1} \rrbracket^{c} \cup \llbracket Q_{2} \rrbracket^{c}, \llbracket Q_{1} \rrbracket^{u} \cup \llbracket Q_{2} \rrbracket^{u}\right)$
$\llbracket \operatorname{conf}(Q) \rrbracket:=\left(\llbracket Q \rrbracket^{c} \cup \operatorname{possible}\left(\llbracket Q \rrbracket^{u}\right), \emptyset\right)$

## Checking observational determinism

- Example: let $R=\left(R c, \sigma_{A!=1}\left(R_{u}\right)\right)$.
for ( $\$ \mathrm{t}$ in select * from R where $\mathrm{A}=1$ ) write(\$t);
- $Q=\left(\sigma_{A=1}(R c), \sigma_{A=1}\left(\sigma_{A!=1}\left(R_{u}\right)\right)\right)$.

This program is observationally deterministic.

## Results

- MayBMS: open-source system for managing probabilistic data
- U-relational databases
- Compact representation of large sets of possible worlds
- Expressive
- Efficient query evaluation
- Query language and API for probabilistic databases.
- Ongoing and future work
- Approximate confidence computation
- Official release of the system
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