Towards a global IP Anycast service

Hitesh Ballani, Paul Francis

Cornell University

ACM SIGCOMM 2005



Robust and efficient service discovery

- Query-Reply Services : DNS Root-Servers etc.
- Routing Services : IPv6 transition (6to4) etc.



Robust and efficient service discovery

- Query-Reply Services : DNS Root-Servers etc.
- Routing Services : IPv6 transition (6to4) etc.



Robust and efficient service discovery

- Query-Reply Services : DNS Root-Servers etc.
- Routing Services : IPv6 transition (6to4) etc.



Robust and efficient service discovery

- Query-Reply Services : DNS Root-Servers etc.
- Routing Services : IPv6 transition (6to4) etc.

But its use has been limited?

Limitations of Inter-domain IP Anycast

- Wastes address space
- Does not scale by number of groups



- Difficult to deploy
 - obtain an address prefix
 - a certain level of expertise
- Is limited by IP routing
 - inability to offer load-based selection

Proxy IP Anycast Service (PIAS)

What is PIAS?

A practical anycast deployment architecture

- addresses native IP Anycast limitations
- offers new features
 - opens new anycast usage avenues

Key Insight





Deploy Anycast Proxies

All proxies advertise the same prefix







Group Members register with proxies





Client (C) \Rightarrow Group 1 (blue group)





Native IP Anycast delivers packets to proxies





Proxies tunnel to appropriate member





Different client might go to a different member





Multiple groups can register





- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

Efficient use of address space Thousands of groups per IP address in prefix Group address - [IP-Address]:[Port]



- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

Amortization of effort Deployment effort spread across thousands of groups



- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- ► Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

Ease of join/leave No interaction with routing



- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

No changes to clients just as native IP Anycast



- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

Multiple selection criteria for example, load balance, proximity



- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

Multiple selection criteria for example, load balance, proximity Group members can be clients for the group!



- Address Usage
- Effort Amortization
- Ease-of-Use
- Backwards Compatible
- Selection Criteria

All this just by proxying?

- decoupled issues from routing
- can be easily addressed in proxy infrastructure

- Scalability by
 - no. of groups, group size/dynamics
 - no. of proxies
- Robustness and fast-failover

- Scalability by
 - no. of groups, group size/dynamics
 - no. of proxies
- Robustness and fast-failover



Members register with Join Proxies (JP) Registration involves member authentication

- Scalability by
 - no. of groups, group size/dynamics
 - no. of proxies
- Robustness and fast-failover



Rendezvous Proxies (RP)

group address mapped to RP using consistent hash

- Scalability by
 - no. of groups, group size/dynamics
 - no. of proxies
- Robustness and fast-failover



Hierarchy

RPs track JPs, JPs track members

- Scalability by
 - no. of groups, group size/dynamics
 - no. of proxies
- Robustness and fast-failover



Overlay and Routing issues

- Scalability by
 - no. of groups, group size/dynamics
 - no. of proxies
- Robustness and fast-failover



Proxy and Member failures

Anycast : Client (C) to Group 1 (blue)







$\mathsf{C} \Rightarrow \textit{Ingress Proxy}$



Ingress Proxy \Rightarrow Join Proxy



$\mathsf{Join}\;\mathsf{Proxy}\Rightarrow\mathsf{Member}$



$\mathsf{Client} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Ingress} \ \mathsf{P}. \Rightarrow \mathsf{Join} \ \mathsf{P}. \Rightarrow \mathsf{Member}$



Anycast service offered by PIAS

- practical
- easy-to-use
- scales by group number/size/dynamics
- group members can be clients too

Applications

- Peer discovery : network games, p2p applications etc.
- Reaching an overlay network : querying OpenDHT, global RON, *i3* etc.

- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity

- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity



Stretch = PIAS path len. / Direct path len. What is the stretch imposed by PIAS?

- Stretch : simulation
- Affinity
- Proximity

Topology

- POP-level topology for tier-1 ISPs (Rocketfuel)
- ► 22 ISPs, 687 POPs, 2825 inter-POP links
- Annotated links with actual distance (kms)

Simulation

SSFNET for BGP route calculation

- Stretch : simulation
- Affinity
- Proximity



- Stretch : simulation
- Affinity
- Proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity



Affinity : same client to same ingress

- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity



Affinity : same client to same ingress What is the affinity offered by native IP Anycast?

- Stretch
- Affinity : measured anycasted DNS root-servers
- Proximity

Traceroute-Servers

- 244 vantage points
- Duration : 7 days
- Europe-centric distribution

Planetlab

- 163 Planetlab sites
- Duration : 3 months (Dec'04-Mar'05)
- US-centric distribution

- Stretch
- Affinity : measured anycasted DNS root-servers
- Proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity : measured anycasted DNS root-servers
- Proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity : measured anycasted DNS root-servers

Proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity



Is native IP anycast based proximity useful?

- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity : measuring proximity

Does IP Anycast offer latency-based proximity?

- measured the proximity offered by root-server anycast deployments
- \blacktriangleright from ${\sim}40000$ clients

- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity : measuring proximity

Does IP Anycast offer latency-based proximity?

- measured the proximity offered by root-server anycast deployments
- ▶ from ~40000 clients

Results (details in technical report)

- No (for a naive deployment)
 - ► 5-6 times the ideal proximity was common

- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity : example of poor proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity : example of poor proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity : example of poor proximity



- Stretch
- Affinity
- Proximity : a simple alleviative



Planned deployment to attain proximity



Application-layer anycast is already out there

Application-layer anycast is already out there Advantages of PIAS

- \checkmark use for low-level protocols
- ✓ proximity is a lot easier
 - easier management
- ✓ faster failover
- ✓ no extra round-trip
 - **x** the overhead of proxy traversal

Summary

Proxy IP Anycast Service

- practical anycast deployment architecture
- addresses native IP and application-layer anycast limitations
- opens new usage avenues

Anycast for the network community

- currently deploying PIAS
- publicly usable in the near future

http://pias.gforge.cis.cornell.edu

PIAS : the real picture



PIAS : the real picture



PIAS : the real picture



Scalability by no. of proxies

- a clustered deployment model
- decouples proxy dynamics from inter-domain routing



PIAS : engineering issues

Failures

no impact on inter-domain routing

