Notes for 2016-09-26

1 Cricket chirps: an example

Did you know that you can estimate the temperature by listening to the rate of chirps? The data set in Table 1\(^1\) represents measurements of the number of chirps (over 15 seconds) of a striped ground cricket at different temperatures measured in degrees Fahrenheit. A plot (Figure 1) shows that the two are roughly correlated: the higher the temperature, the faster the crickets chirp. We can quantify this by attempting to fit a linear model

\[
\text{temperature} = \alpha \cdot \text{chirps} + \beta + \epsilon
\]

where \(\epsilon\) is an error term. To solve this problem by linear regression, we minimize the Euclidean norm of the residual

\[
r = b - Ax
\]

where

\begin{align*}
   b_i &= \text{temperature in experiment } i \\
   A_{i1} &= \text{chirps in experiment } i \\
   A_{i2} &= 1
\end{align*}

\[
x = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}
\]

MATLAB and Octave are capable of solving least squares problems using the backslash operator; that is, if chirps and temp are column vectors in MATLAB, we can solve this regression problem as

\begin{verbatim}
1 A = [chirps, ones(ndata,1)];
2 x = A\temp;
\end{verbatim}

The algorithms underlying that backslash operation will make up most of the next lecture.

In more complex examples, we want to fit a model involving more than two variables. This still leads to a linear least squares problem, but one in which \(A\) may have more than one or two columns. As we will see later in the semester, we also use linear least squares problems as a building block for more complex fitting procedures, including fitting nonlinear models and models with more complicated objective functions.

\(^{1}\)Data set originally attributed to http://mste.illinois.edu
Figure 1: Cricket chirps vs. temperature and a model fit via linear regression.

Table 1: Cricket data: Chirp count over a 15 second period vs. temperature in degrees Farenheit.
Figure 2: Picture of a linear least squares problem. The vector $Ax$ is the closest vector in $\mathcal{R}(A)$ to a target vector $b$ in the Euclidean norm. Consequently, the residual $r = b - Ax$ is normal (orthogonal) to $\mathcal{R}(A)$.

2 The least squares problem

The ordinary linear least squares problem, simply stated, is

$$\operatorname{minimize}_x \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m > n$. Unless otherwise stated, we will assume that undecorated norms refer to the two-norm for this part of the course.

2.1 The normal equations

The quantity $r = Ax - b$ is the least squares residual; unlike in the case of linear systems, this residual is not generally zero at the exact minimizer. We may write $\|r\|^2$ as a quadratic function of $x$,$$
\|r\|^2 = x^T A^T Ax - 2x^T A^T b + b^T b,$$
and taking variations with respect to $x$ gives$$_\delta(\|r\|^2) = 2_\delta x^T (A^T Ax - A^T b) = 2_\delta x^T A^T r.$$Thus, at a minimizer, we require $A^T r = 0$. Geometrically, this says that at the minimizer, $r$ is orthogonal to (normal to) any vector in the range space of $A$ (see Figure 2); hence, we call this the normal equations.
2.2 The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

If $A$ is full rank, then $A^T A$ is symmetric and positive definite, and we have that
\[ x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \equiv A^\dagger b \]
is a linear function of the right hand side $b$. We call $A^\dagger$ the *Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse* of $A$. It is a pseudoinverse because $A^\dagger A = I$; this implies as well that $P = AA^\dagger$ is a projector (i.e. $P^2 = P$). For the purposes of this class, we will call this “the pseudoinverse,” but though the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse is the most common and well-known, it is useful to know that it is not the only pseudoinverse out there – the Drazin pseudoinverse is a good alternate example.

3 Why least squares?

Why is the ordinary least squares problem interesting? There are at least three natural responses.

1. **Simplicity:** The least squares problem is one of the simplest formulations around for fitting linear models. The quadratic loss model is easy to work with analytically; it is smooth; and it leads to a problem whose solution is linear in the observation data.

2. **Statistics:** The least squares problem is the optimal approach to parameter estimation among linear unbiased estimators, assuming independent Gaussian noise. The least squares problem is also the maximum likelihood estimator under these same hypotheses.

3. **It’s a building block:** Linear least squares are not the right formulation for all regression problems — for example, they tend to lack robustness in the face of heavy-tailed, non-Gaussian random errors. But even for these cases, ordinary least squares is a useful *building block*. Because least squares problems are linear in the observation vector, they are amenable to direct attack by linear algebra methods in a way that other estimation methods are not. The tools we have available for more complex fitting boil down to linear algebra subproblems at the end of the day, so it is useful to learn how to work effectively with linear least squares.
4 Least squares and statistical models

Consider the model

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_{ij} + \epsilon_i$$

where the factors $x_{ij}$ for example $j$ are known, and the observations $y_i$ are assumed to be an (unknown) combination of the factor values plus a small independent Gaussian noise term $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. In terms of a linear system, we have

$$y = Xc + \epsilon.$$ 

A linear unbiased estimator for $c$ is a linear combination of the observations whose expected value is $c$; that is, we need a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that

$$E[M^Ty] = M^TXc = c.$$ 

That is, $M$ should be a pseudo-inverse of $X$.

According to the Gauss-Markov theorem, the choice $M = X^+$ is optimal, and the estimator $\hat{c} = X^+y$ is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE). That is, it is the linear unbiased estimator of $c$ such that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u^T\hat{c}$ has the smallest variance possible. Alternately (and equivalently), $\text{Var}(\hat{c}) \preceq \text{Var}(\tilde{c})$ for any linear unbiased estimator $\tilde{c}$. Here $\succeq$ refers to the partial ordering among symmetric matrices: if $A$ and $B$ are symmetric matrices, then

$$A \succeq B \equiv (A - B) \text{ is positive semidefinite.}$$

What if we have more interesting noise? For example, what if the noise variables $\epsilon$ are drawn from a multivariate Gaussian distribution with mean zero and positive definite covariance matrix $C$? In this case, it turns out that if $C = R^TR$ is the Cholesky factorization, then

$$z = R^{-1}\epsilon$$

has independent standard normal entries, and so we can apply the Gauss-Markov theorem to the equation

$$R^{-1}y = R^{-1}Xc + R^{-1}\epsilon.$$ 

The solution $\hat{c} = (R^{-1}X)^+R^{-1}y$ can be also written as

$$\hat{c} = \arg\min_c \|Xc - y\|_C^2.$$
where
\[ \|u\|_{C^{-1}}^2 \equiv u^T(C^{-1})u. \]
This is a generalized least squares problem; the most common version is the weighted least squares case where the noise is assumed to be independent, but does not have the same variance for every equation.

5 A family of factorizations

5.1 Cholesky

If \( A \) is full rank, then \( A^T A \) is symmetric and positive definite matrix, and we can compute a Cholesky factorization of \( A^T A \):
\[ A^T A = R^T R. \]
The solution to the least squares problem is then
\[ x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b = R^{-1} R^{-T} A^T b, \]
or, in MATLAB world
1. \( R = \text{chol}(A'*A, 'upper'); \)
2. \( x = R \backslash (R' \backslash (A'*b)); \)

5.2 Economy QR

The Cholesky factor \( R \) appears in a different setting as well. Let us write \( A = QR \) where \( Q = AR^{-1}; \) then
\[ Q^T Q = R^{-T} A^T A R^{-1} = R^{-T} R^T R R^{-1} = I. \]
That is, \( Q \) is a matrix with orthonormal columns. This “economy QR factorization” can be computed in several different ways, including one that you have seen before in a different guise (the Gram-Schmidt process). MATLAB provides a numerically stable method to compute the QR factorization via
1. \([Q,R] = \text{qr}(A,0);\)
and we can use the QR factorization directly to solve the least squares problem without forming \( A^T A \) by
1. \([Q,R] = \text{qr}(A,0);\)
2. \( x = R \backslash (Q'*b); \)
5.3 Full QR

There is an alternate “full” QR decomposition where we write

\[ A = QR, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}. \]

To see how this connects to the least squares problem, recall that the Euclidean norm is invariant under orthogonal transformations, so

\[ \|r\|^2 = \|Q^T r\|^2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Q_1^T b \\ Q_2^T b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} x \right\|^2 = \|Q_1^T b - R_1 x\|^2 + \|Q_2^T b\|^2. \]

We can set \( \|Q_1^T v - R_1 x\|^2 \) to zero by setting \( x = R_1^{-1} Q_1^T b \); the result is \( \|r\|^2 = \|Q_2^T b\|^2 \).

5.4 SVD

The full QR decomposition is useful because orthogonal transformations do not change lengths. Hence, the QR factorization lets us change to a coordinate system where the problem is simple without changing the problem in any fundamental way. The same is true of the SVD, which we write as

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T \quad \text{Full SVD} \]
\[ = U_1 \Sigma V^T \quad \text{Economy SVD}. \]

As with the QR factorization, we can apply an orthogonal transformation involving the factor \( U \) that makes the least squares residual norm simple:

\[ \|U^T r\|^2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} U_1^T b \\ U_2^T b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma V^T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} x \right\|^2 = \|U_1^T b - \Sigma V^T x\|^2 + \|U_2^T b\|^2, \]

and we can minimize by setting \( x = V \Sigma^{-1} U_1^T b \).