

HW 2

1: Iterative refinement Recall from class that in an iterative refinement scheme for solving $\hat{A}x = b$,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + A^{-1}(b - \hat{A}x_k).$$

we have $\|x_{k+1} - x\| \leq \|A^{-1}E\|\|x_k - x\|$ if all the calculations are done in *exact* arithmetic. Now suppose that the residual is computed with some small error, so that the iteration in floating point looks like

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + A^{-1}(b - \hat{A}x_k + \delta_k).$$

Assuming $\|\delta_k\| < \epsilon$, what can we say about the asymptotic accuracy that the scheme can attain? Assume that $\|A^{-1}E\| < 1$.

2: Factoring a structured matrix. Let $A = I + uv^T$, where $\|u\|_2\|v\|_2 < 1$. Every principal minor of A is nonsingular (why?), so we may write $A = LU$. Using the fact that each Schur complement in A differs from an identity block by a rank 1 matrix, write an $O(n^2)$ time algorithm to compute L and U . Your code should look like

```
function [L,U] = p2lu(u, v)
% Equivalent to [L,U] = lu(I+u*v') without pivoting.
```

3: Bidiagonal conditioning. Let B be an upper bidiagonal matrix, i.e.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & \\ & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \alpha_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} & \\ & & & & & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Write a routine to compute $\kappa_\infty(B) = \|B\|_\infty\|B^{-1}\|_\infty$ in $O(n)$ time. Your code should look like

```
function [kappa] = p3cond(alpha,beta)
% Equivalent to
% B = diag(alpha) + diag(beta,1);
% kappa = cond(B,inf);
```

4: Modified Cholesky Suppose A is symmetric (maybe not positive definite), and consider the following modified Cholesky routine:

```
function [Lmod, d] = modchol(A);

n = length(A);
d = zeros(n,1);
for j = 1:n

    % If diagonal element is negative, flip the sign
    if A(j,j) < 0
        d(j) = -2*A(j,j);
        A(j,j) = -A(j,j);
    end

    % Compute a column of L
    A(j,j) = sqrt(A(j,j));
    A(j+1:end,j) = A(j+1:end,j)/A(j,j);

    % Schur complement
    A(j+1:end,j+1:end) = ...
        A(j+1:end,j+1:end)-A(j+1:end,j)*A(j+1:end,j)';

end
Lmod = tril(A);
```

Argue that $LL^T = A + D$, where D is a diagonal matrix whose entries are given by the elements of \mathbf{d} . Given \mathbf{Lmod} and \mathbf{d} , write a routine `p4solve` to solve $Ax = b$ in $O(n^2 + nm^2)$ time, where m is the number of negative elements in \mathbf{d} .

```
function x = p4solve(Lmod,d,b)
% Solve (Lmod*Lmod'-diag(d))*x = b.
```

Hint: Use the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula.