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Problem:
Repeated or ambiguous structures are a key challenge to structure from motion algorithms. Examples include:

- Faces of towers (e.g., Big Ben)
- Radially symmetric domes
- Buildings with strong bilateral symmetry

Ambiguities can cause major errors ranging from large duplicate structures to a complete failure to reconstruct.

Method:
A preprocessing step improves our method’s effectiveness: Internet photo collections tend to have some viewpoints represented vastly more than others. This artificially inflates blcc scores. We compute a covering subgraph, a subset of the images which more uniformly observes each track. We prefer images with large field of view because they see more background context.

Model:
A track is a set of 2D observations of a 3D point matched across several images. Good tracks (A,C) each represent a single 3D point, but bad tracks (B) represent more than one, due to errors in correspondence.

Our key insight is that bad tracks often span distinct groups of background elements.

We measure this in the visibility graph, a bipartite graph connecting tracks with images they are seen in.

The bipartite local clustering coefficient is a measure of graph connectedness. It is low for tracks that bridge clusters. (This is a generalization of triadic closure to bipartite graphs). This is based on work in network analysis [1,2].

To illustrate, a sample 4-path is highlighted in red on a toy scene and blcc scores are indicated. The bad tracks have lower scores.

Algorithm to Disambiguate a Scene:
1. Compute a covering subgraph
2. Compute blcc for each track (using subgraph)
3. Remove tracks scoring lower than a threshold
4. Iteratively choose that threshold as the lowest which gives a specified number of components
5. Run reconstruction on each component
6. Rigidly merge components if possible

Limitations:
- Some scenes break into unnecessary components
- Based around assumptions of lots of redundant data – does not work on small, sparse toy problems
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